

Question and Answer for RFP of CFS Territory

Question: Is there a chance the application itself will be improved so we may actually type in the text boxes as intended?

Answer: There is a word document now posted so that a Respondent can more easily fill in the forms.

Question: There is inconsistency in the funding amounts that seem to be available. The text on page 4 says there was \$289,152 in total for DOE and \$257,042 in total for LIHEAP for all six counties in 2022. However, the chart of page 5 totals to \$129,700 for DOE and \$141,700 for LIHEAP for all six counties. The \$129,700 for DOE makes even less sense when the language above the chart states that IHCD A thinks there will be 23 DOE completions in all six counties based on an \$8000 ACPU. That amount is \$184,000. I know there's language about a "base amount," but how can there be such a difference? Which dollar amounts should we assume are available? Does IHCD A expect less money in 2023 than 2022? The answer affects how the production schedule on page 21 is completed as well.

Answer: The total DOE award for this territory in 2023 is expected to be approximately \$281,000. When deducting H&S, Liability, Fiscal Audits, etc., the base operations will be approximately \$186,000, which is giving us the estimated production of 23 units. The numbers are not exact, but they are close estimates. This does not include Readiness Funds, which IHCD A expects to be approximately \$28,000 for the six county territory.

Question: We need clarification on funding amounts listed on pages 4 & 5. Page 4, point "a" lists DOE funding exclusive of T&TA & Readiness of \$289,152 and point "b" LIHEAP of \$257,042 but these sums do not reconcile with the table on page 5. I cannot follow the train of thought in point "c". The six county total of DOE from the table on page 5 is \$129,700 and \$141,700 for LIHEAP. Before we can assemble a production schedule we need to know which figures are correct. We would prefer the table breakdown so we know how much by county were talking about. Thanks.

Answer:

This table is for production schedule and does not include H&S, Readiness, Liability, etc. For LIHEAP PY2023, the budget for Mechanical Operations was \$161,700; the budget for Capital Intensive Operations was \$46,200. The county breakdown in the below table is an estimate only to assist with production schedule estimates. Actual numbers will vary.

	DOE	LIHEAP
Adams	\$ 40,151.12	\$ 47,832.74
Blackford	\$ 21,585.19	\$ 25,090.18
Huntington	\$ 34,814.81	\$ 40,056.25
Jay	\$ 29,134.93	\$ 31,692.86
Randolph	\$ 30,729.38	\$ 32,573.21
Wells	\$ 29,279.88	\$ 30,665.77

Question: have a couple of questions regarding the RFP. The funding projections include \$56,800 DOE and \$60,900 LIHEAP for Blackford, Jay and Randolph respectively. When I extrapolate the funding you mentioned in "Funding Amounts" on Page 4, and based on your funding synopsis on Page 5, it looks as though the BJR counties consist of 43% of the total funding for the 6 counties. And if that's near accurate, it looks as though total funding for LIHEAP annually (based on '22 figures) is \$110,528 and DOE is \$133,000 minus any county base. If this calculation is in the ballpark, can we then expect the DOE BIL fund to double the DOE allocation to 266K? My second question deals with taking the contract over May 1 and whether the new grantee will be asked to complete any remaining units left unfinished by CFS?

Answer: See above Answers with respect to funding. Regarding the second question, all of the units in which work began were completed prior to the publishing of this RFP. IHCD is working with CFS to determine if any units had an Energy Audit performed, but no other work had begun.