

State of Indiana Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Program Year 2014
July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

Final Report

September 30, 2015

State of Indiana Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for Program Year 2014, July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015

Prepared for

State of Indiana Indiana Office of Community and Rural Affairs Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority One North Capitol Avenue, Suite 600 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 317.232.8831

Prepared by

BBC Research & Consulting 1999 Broadway, Suite 2200 Denver, Colorado 80202-9750 303.321.2547 fax 303.399.0448 www.bbcresearch.com bbc@bbcresearch.com



Table of Contents

I. eCAPER

CR-	R-05 Goals and Objectives	1
CR-	R-10 Racial and Ethnic Composition of Families Assisted	6
CR-	R-15 Resources and Investments	7
CR-	R-20 Affordable Housing	14
CR-	R-25 Homeless and Other Special Needs	16
CR-	R-30 Public Housing	18
CR-	R-35 Other Actions	19
CR-	R-40 Monitoring	23
CR-	R-45 CDBG	26
CR-	R-50 HOME	27
CR-	R-55 HOPWA	28
CR-	R-60 ESG	29
CR-	R-65 Persons Assisted	35
CR-	R-70 ESG Assistance Provided and Outcomes	38
CR-	R-75 Expenditures	39
At	ttachments	
1.	Public Notice and Comment	1
2.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	2

Electronic CAPER

IDIS Version

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a). Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Goal	Category	Source/ Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year
Brownfield/Clearance Projects	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	15	6	13	1
Complete Owner Occupied Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1,500	1,002	240	10
Construct & Rehab Infrastructure Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	120	104	19	25
Construct Public Facility Projects	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	30	25	9	8
Construction & Rehab of Migrant Farmworker Housing	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs		Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	40	0	0	0
Construction & Rehab of Perm Supportive Housing	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs		Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	250	19	40	0
Create Jobs for Low to Moderate Income Populations	Non-Housing Community Development		Jobs created/retained	Jobs	1,300	475	0	0

Create New & Rehabilitate Affordable Rentals	Affordable Housing	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	337	593	100	258
Create New & Rehabilitate Affordable Rentals	Affordable Housing	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	338	335	50	Included above
Develop Affordable Owner Occupied Units	Affordable Housing	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	125	95	0	0
Emergency Shelter Essential Services	Homeless	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds	Clients Served			11,700	10,330
Emergency Shelter Essential Services	Homeless	Other	Other	53	77		
Equipment for Emergency Services	Non-Housing Community Development	Other	Other	40	26	0	0
Funding for Organizational Capacity	Affordable Housing	Other	Other	80	35		
Historic Preservation Projects	Non-Housing Community Development	Other	Other	10	8	0	0
HOPWA Housing Information Services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	Other	Other	375		75	105
HOPWA Housing Placement Services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	Other	Other	500		100	12
HOPWA Improve Housing Options	Non-Homeless Special Needs	Tenant-based rental assistance/Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1,000		110	90

HOPWA Improve Housing Options	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	35		20	24
HOPWA Improve Housing Options	Non-Homeless Special Needs	Other	Other	1,600		220	180
Operating Support Funding for Shelters	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	Other	Other	55	221	60	19
Predevelopment Loan Funding for Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	Other	Other	25	50	5	0
Provide & Support Homebuyer Assistance	Affordable Housing	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	2,500	2,528	700	0
Provide Planning Grants to Local Governments/CHDOs	Non-Housing Community Development	Other	Other	145	144	50	0
Provide Project Support for Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	Other	Other	10	59		23
Rapid Re-Housing	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	Tenant-based rental assistance/Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0		320	1,409
Rapid Re-Housing	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	100,650	2,499		119
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	Tenant-based rental assistance/Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1,000	0	200	0

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Note about the HOME TBRA Program:

There were two nonprofit agencies, Aurora, Inc. and Indiana Connected by 25 that utilized HOME TBRA for Special Needs populations. The populations were for persons that were reentering from jail or prison and young adults graduating out of the foster care system. These programs accomplished the following:

Aurora ReEntry Program: Forty-one percent of the individuals who left the program were permanently housed. Seven individuals were able to maintain their current residences; 11 individuals obtained and maintained employment or other sources of income. None were sent back into corrections. Seven individuals were reunited with their families due to the re-entry program. Parents reported that due to the reunification of families, their children increased their academic status. They also reported their children's overall behaviors in the classroom, including anxiety and defiance, diminished once the children were reunified and housed with their families.

Indiana Connected by 25: Seventy-eight percent of the individuals who left the program were permanently housed. Two clients graduated with their associate degrees, two with nursing certificates, and 10 were enrolled in continuing education classes. Seventeen reported being employed, two as certified nursing assistants. One client is looking at buying a townhome. Overall, fewer clients were served than expected because the last client was discharged in February 2015.

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The State of Indiana determines its high priority needs through a combination of economic analysis on housing and community development conditions and input from stakeholders and residents. During the five-year Consolidated Planning cycle, the following have consistently been top needs: affordable housing to assist the state's lowest income households, community and economic development in rural Indiana, housing for persons with disabilities and housing for persons who are homeless.

The most recent stakeholder engagement was conducted for the updated Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice. In the stakeholder survey and interviews conducted for the most recent Consolidated Plan and AI, stakeholders mentioned the following challenges and highest priority needs for Indiana:

- Increased state or federal funding for transitional housing;
- A separate funding mechanism for tax credit developments for rural counties/cities/towns with populations less than 15,000;
- Transitional housing targeted to formerly incarcerated; homeless or people with mental illness;

- Need for programs, applications and policies to be "right-sized" for small rural communities;
- Need for technical assistance for local nonprofits, governments to create local solutions to housing and service needs;
- Continued foreclosure prevention programs.

During PY2014, the largest amount of CDBG was allocated to public infrastructure improvements, followed by public facilities. Despite being the top-ranked community development need by stakeholders, public transit was not funded, as transit improvements are not an eligible CDBG activity. Job training programs were suspended for PY2013 due to lack of applications. Planning grants and technical assistance—a top priority for stakeholders—was continued.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA
White	322	431	0
Black or African American	8	77	0
Asian	0	6	0
American Indian or American Native	0	1	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Total	330	515	0
Hispanic	6	13	0
Not Hispanic	324	502	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The above numbers are pre-populated by IDIS. IHCDA's internal records show 221 whites, 45 African Americans, one American Indian/Alaskan Native, one Asian, two multi-racial and four Hispanics benefitting from a direct allocation of HOME funding during PY2014.

HOPWA begin entering data into the HMIS system in program year 2014; as such, the data will not be available in a complete form until 2015.

In addition to the above, CDBG was awarded to the following women- and minority-owned businesses:

- Four contracts to African American-owned firms, totaling \$763,000;
- Two contracts to Hispanic-owned firms, totaling \$67,000; and
- 42 contracts to women-owned firms, totaling \$3.9 million.

Three HOME contracts were awarded to a women-owned business; altogether, these contracts totaled \$205,000.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	HUD	\$28,023,644	\$17,072,895
HOME	HUD	\$10,493,153	
HOPWA	HUD	\$ 961,711	\$955,207
ESG	HUD	\$3,353,851	\$3,475,401

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Narrative

The amount of ESG funds expended during the program year, were higher than the resources made available due to prior year rollovers.

HOPWA received a slight increase of funds from HUD for the 2014 program year. However, there were less rollover dollars from the previous year, so agencies did not receive as much of an award. Left over funds from the 2014 year will be rolled over and reallocated in 2015.

ESG funds not expended were due to the fact that not all subrecipients expended all of their funds within the one year limit of June-July (Shelter programs and Street Outreach programs). This portion will be rolled over to the 2015 program year. Also, there is a portion of the ESG Rapid Rehousing and Homeless Prevention that has not been spent due to the fact that subrecipient agreements are still active until December 31, 2015. We expect them to expend all of these funds by the end of their agreement.

CDBG funds were not fully expended in the program year due to program changes. Awards were made later in the year.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments. The figures below show where CDBG and ESG funds were allocated by program during PY2014.

Program Type	Amount	County
Water/Wastewater	\$350,000	CLINTON
	\$234,150	FLOYD
	\$800,000	GREENE
	\$794,838	HAMILTON
	\$270,755	JASPER
	\$550,000	JENNINGS
	\$500,000	MARSHALL
	\$450,000	NEWTON
	\$432,000	PERRY
	\$450,000	PULASKI
	\$1,000,000	ST. JOSEPH
	\$500,000	TIPTON
	\$450,000	UNION
	\$400,000	VERMILLION
	\$600,000	WARREN
	\$400,000	WHITE
Stellar Communities	\$1,333,000	GIBSON
	\$98,000	LAWRENCE
Stormwater Improvements	\$400,000	CASS
	\$500,000	CLAY
	\$500,000	DEKALB
	\$500,000	JOHNSON
	\$500,000	PERRY
	\$500,000	PUTNAM
Planning Grants	\$40,000	CLINTON
	\$80,000	DEARBORN
	\$20,000	DECATUR
	\$40,000	DUBOIS
	\$89,600	GRANT
	\$40,000	HARRISON
	\$50,000	HUNTINGTON
	\$40,000	JOHNSON
	\$40,000	KOSCIUSKO
	\$39,600	MADISON
	\$70,000	MONTGOMERY
	\$40,000	OWEN
	\$76,000	PARKE
	\$15,000	PERRY
	\$40,000	SPENCER
	\$30,000	VERMILLION
	\$30,000	WARRICK
-	\$38,700	WHITE
	\$40,000	WHITLEY
Public Facilities	\$150,000	BARTHOLOMEW
	\$150,000	GRANT

Public Facilities, continued	\$150,000	LAGRANGE
	\$800,000	MIAMI
	\$336,880	MONTGOMERY
	\$354,112	MORGAN
	\$400,000	PUTNAM
Street/Sidewalk Improvements	\$400,000	ALLEN
	\$400,000	WASHINGTON
	\$400,000	WHITLEY
Blight Clearance	\$145,260	ORANGE

Table 4 – CDBG Geographic Allocations, PY2014

Program Type	Amount	County
Rapid Re-Housing	\$135,520.00	ALLEN
	\$181,714.00	BARTHOLOMEW
	\$28,902.00	FLOYD
	\$52,483.65	HAMILTON
	\$125,719.76	LAKE
	\$178,902.00	MONROE
	\$178,610.00	PORTER
	\$63,332.00	TIPPECANOE
	\$472,621.00	VANDERBURGH
Essential Services	\$100,466.00	ALLEN
	\$67,730.00	BARTHOLOMEW
	\$33,301.00	CASS
	\$30,019.00	DEARBORN
	\$44,288.50	DELAWARE
	\$46,660.00	ELKHART
	\$57,570.00	FLOYD
	\$25,740.00	GRANT
	\$37,251.00	HANCOCK
	\$42,332.00	HENDRICKS
	\$45,722.00	HOWARD
	\$12,398.00	HUNTINGTON
	\$40,639.00	JACKSON
	\$22,256.00	JASPER
	\$37,816.00	KOSCIUSKO
	\$81,465.00	LAKE
	\$59,264.00	LAPORTE
	\$33,871.00	LAWRENCE
	\$41,768.00	MADISON
	\$103,853.00	MONROE
	\$24,082.00	MONTGOMERY
	\$41,768.00	MORGAN
	\$44,383.00	PORTER
	\$21,615.00	RIPLEY
	\$217,266.00	TIPPECANOE
	\$112,252.00	VANDERBURGH
	\$64,908.00	VIGO
	\$32,672.00	WASHINGTON
	\$3,260.00	WAYNE
Transitional Housing	\$100,970	ALLEN
	\$43,711	DELAWARE
	\$41,203	HOWARD
	\$34,429	MONROE
	\$40,074	PORTER
	\$62,134	VANDERBURGH
	\$35,816	WHITLEY

Transitional Housing, continued	\$27,828	ALLEN
	\$30,424	BARTHOLOMEW
	\$5,882	HAMILTON
Outreach	\$39,826	MONROE
	\$49,783	VANDERBURGH

Table 5 – ESG Geographic Allocations, PY2014

HOPWA funds were allocated by region as follows:

REGION 1

Counties Served: Lake, Porter, LaPorte

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$186,208

REGION 2

Counties Served: St. Joseph, Elkhart, Starke, Marshall, Pulaski, Fulton

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$143,337

REGION 3

Counties Served: LaGrange, Steuben, Kosciusko, Noble, DeKalb, Whitley, Allen, Wabash,

Huntington, Wells, Adams

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$128,640

REGIONS 4, 5, 6, and 9

Counties Served (Region 4): Newton, Jasper, Benton, White, Carroll, Warren, Tippecanoe, Clinton, Fountain, Montgomery

Counties Served (Region 5): Grant, Blackford, Jay, Delaware, Randolph

Counties Served (Region 6): Cass, Miami, Howard, Tipton, Hamilton, Hancock

Counties Served (Region 9): Henry, Wayne, Rush, Fayette, Union, Decatur, Franklin, Ripley,

Dearborn, Ohio

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$172,261

REGION 7*

Counties Served: Boone, Hendricks, Marion, Morgan, Johnson, Shelby, Madison

*Not served by IHCDA

REGION 8

Counties Served: Vermillion, Parke, Putnam, Vigo, Clay, Sullivan

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$45,000

REGION 10

Counties Served: Owen, Greene, Monroe, Lawrence, Brown, Bartholomew

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$82,502

REGION 11

Counties Served: Jackson, Jennings, Orange, Washington, Scott, Jefferson, Switzerland, Crawford, Harrison, Floyd, Clark

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$25,000

REGION 12

Counties Served: Knox, Daviess, Martin, Gibson, Pike, Dubois, Posey, Vanderburgh, Warrick, Spencer, Perry

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$178,763

Narrative

The State of Indiana does not prioritize the allocation of CDBG, HOME or ESG geographically. Instead, the State identifies the greatest needs for the state and nonentitlement areas overall and this information is used to guide the funding priorities for each program year. For local needs, the State relies on the information presented in block grant program funding applications.

OCRA does include a component of scoring in their CDBG applications where the low and moderate income percentage is a weighted score; a higher percentage of low and moderate income will yield a higher score. IHCDA includes a preference for application that attempts to reach low- and very low-income levels of area median income.

ESG allocates emergency shelter and rapid re-housing activities statewide; homeless prevention and outreach activities are more targeted geographically.

The HOPWA grant does rely on a geographic allocation, determined through the Continuum of Care regions. Because IHCDA allocates HOPWA to all ISDH-established care coordination regions except Region 7, it was determined that IHCDA will fund one HOPWA project sponsor per every care coordination region. This will remain true for all care coordination regions. If a distinct eligible population with specific needs exists in a region (for example, homeless men in Lake County), IHCDA will work with the regional sponsor to tailor services to meet the needs of

the population. In instances where the sponsor cannot meet these needs, the sponsor will have the ability to sub-grant a portion of its HOPWA award to another service provider.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG. During PY2014, the following additional resources were contributed to support CDBG-funded projects:

- Other federal funds = \$5.3 million,
- State and local funds = \$14.4 million, and
- Private funds = \$347,000.

Total CDBG leverage = \$20 million.

HOME. During PY2014, the following additional resources were contributed to support HOME-funded projects:

- Cash donated = \$536,000,
- Federal Home Loan Bank grants = \$2.5 million,
- Private grants = \$397,000,
- Volunteer labor valued at \$111,500,
- Professional services valued at \$31,500,
- Value of land and buildings donated = \$658,000,
- Value of donated materials = \$60,000,
- Private mortgage financing = \$468,000,
- Required infrastructure = \$345,000, and
- Other leveraged sources = \$1.1 million.

Total HOME leverage = \$6.1 million.

ESG funds were leveraged with \$2 million in in-kind donations and \$1.385 million in cash donations, for a total match of about \$3.5 million.

HOPWA. \$1.45 million leveraged HOPWA funds, which included:

- Ryan White program = \$180,000,
- ESG = \$24,000 and CDBG = \$9,000,
- Case management services, valued at \$138,000,
- Indiana Department of Health = \$681,000, and
- Grants, donations and in-kind and food pantry donations = \$415,000.

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless households to be	40 through construction	No. of households assisted
provided affordable housing units	and rehab of supportive	not available
	housing	
Number of non-homeless households to		No. of households assisted
be provided affordable housing units		not available
Number of special-needs households to	320 through RRH activities	1,409 people assisted
be provided affordable housing units		
Total	360	

Table 6 - Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported	200 through TBRA with	1,409 people assisted
through rental assistance	RRH	
Number of households supported	100 rental units created	258 units completed
through the production of new units		
Number of households supported	50 rental units	Rehab of rentals included
through the rehab of existing units	rehabilitated, 240	above; 10 homeowners
	homeowner assisted with	assisted
	rehabilitation	
Number of households supported		
through the acquisition of existing units		
Total	590	

Table 7 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The shift in assistance towards renters reflected changes in the rental market and increased need for subsidies for low income renters.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The rental market will be monitored annually with development of Annual Action Plans. The need for rental subsidies will be balanced with the need for home rehabilitation, particularly accessibility modifications, for low income homeowners. The state is also exploring development of a state permanent supportive housing program to better meet the needs of persons who are homeless and need permanent housing, which is challenging to find in rural Indiana.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	Not required	193
Low-income	Not required	79
Moderate-income	Not required	0
Total	0	272

Table 8 – Number of Persons Served

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs.

Street outreach programs were funded in Evansville, Bloomington and Lafayette. 424 households were connected to services including emergency shelter, mainstream services and medical care. The average length of engagement for street outreach was 172 days and 98 percent of households exited to permanent housing.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons.

The State of Indiana addressed the emergency shelter needs of homeless persons through direct provision of funding to shelters to support operations. \$1.1 million was allocated to shelter operation activities. These funds were used by shelters for operating and maintenance costs, shelter lease costs, capital expenses, payment of utilities, purchases of equipment and furnishings, provision of security, as well as purchase of food. Providing funding to shelters for operations is important for their continued provision of services to Indiana residents needing emergency assistance.

Nine (9) grandfathered Transitional Housing programs were funded in 2014. No new Transitional Housing Programs have been awarded funds since 2010. Of these programs, 602 people experiencing homelessness were assisted with transitional housing through ESG. Of these, 65 percent were discharged into permanent housing after an average stay of 113 days.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again.

The State allocated \$1.1 million to rapid re-housing and homeless prevention activities for the 2014 funding year and utilized rollover funds from PY2013 to further support rapid rehousing (RRH) efforts in the state. As a result, 1,408 households were supported in returning to permanent housing options through Rapid Re-housing and Homelessness Prevention. Rapid re-housing activities include housing relocation and stabilization services and financial assistance with rent, utilities, arrears, and deposits. The function of these funds is to provide short-term assistance to individuals and families. The State offered shelters a version of RRH that did not include rental assistance, but did cover one time assistance to support a direct connection from shelter to permanent housing including housing relocation and stabilization services, utilities, arrears, and deposits. As a result, 1,408 households were supported in returning to permanent housing options through RRH intervention and 84 percent of households exited to permanent housing after an average length of assistance of 184 days.

Sub-recipients that receive RRHP funds are required to create a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with shelters in their region to further strengthen the connection from emergency housing to permanent options including rentals with short/medium term subsidy.

Nine (9) shelters were awarded RRH funds, totaling \$47,500 in 2014. The shelter RRH funds are intended to be used as limited, one-time assistance to support the transition from shelter to permanent housing. 385 households staying in shelter were served by these one-time assistance funds. Exits to permanent housing increased by seven percent for the nine (9) emergency shelter programs utilizing RRH funds as a part of their service delivery.

Sub-recipients implementing Rapid Re-housing and Prevention services in their communities continued to be in a learning process this year as they familiarized themselves with the program model. A goal for this upcoming year is to develop a learning environment for RRHP providers to learn and share best practices with one another on a quarterly basis. Another goal for the upcoming year is to provide training for all HMIS users on recent and upcoming data standard changes.

A persistent barrier to the transition to permanent housing is lack of employment. This remains especially difficult in rural areas. In light of this challenge 53 percent of clients exited the shelter system with improvements to their household income and 50 percent exited into permanent housing.

Emergency shelters also reported that clients face challenges in moving from the shelter into permanent or transitional housing within the 40-day timeframe, which was the objective. Lack of affordable housing availability continues to be a key factor in extended lengths of stay in shelter while the housing search is in process. The average length of stay in shelter was 51 days.

Over 90 percent of the households served in 2014 identified as a member of a special population, including 4,977 households who identified as experiencing domestic violence (DV). The current DV question captures if a person has experienced DV in their lifetime, or a timeline defined by the individual. We anticipate a change to the data standard regarding this question in October 2015 and will likely see a decrease in the number of people who report experiencing DV when the parameters are focused more on current and immediate experiences.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs.

For the second year, the State made Homelessness Prevention awards totaling \$75,000, which supported 119 households from becoming homeless. Households are offered limited/one-time financial assistance to prevent the loss of housing as well receive connection to mainstream resources intended to build the household's safety net for future support needs.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing:

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership and

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

IHCDA is a Section 8 public housing authority (PHA) and the PHA serving the state's rural areas. IHCDA is a high performing PHA. During PY2014, IHCDA:

- Continued the Section 8 HCVP Family Self Sufficiency Program (FSS). FSS is designed to
 enable families to achieve economic independence and self-sufficiency. By linking the
 Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) vouchers with the help of both
 private and public resources, families are able to receive job training, educational
 services and other much needed assistance over a five year period. The goal is to
 eliminate the family's need for public assistance and enhance their ability to achieve
 homeownership, if desired.
- Applied for Section 811 Project Based Rental Assistance in 2013-2014. The target
 population was for individuals with disabilities in State Operated Facilities and other
 restricted living situations. In lieu of receiving these funds, the State is exploring other
 models to expand housing opportunities for extremely low income residents and
 residents with special needs, such as a sustainable, integrated supportive housing model
 at the state level.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The State of Indiana is in the process of updating its statewide Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) to more directly address HUD's current expectations of AIs. A draft AI will be completed in fall 2015.

Stakeholders, residents and public housing authorities were asked about barriers to housing choice in the surveys they completed for this Consolidated Plan. Stakeholders mentioned very few public policies and potential negative effects on affordable housing and residential development; instead, they had many suggestions for improvements to existing housing and community development programs and practices. The most commonly mentioned suggestions included:

- Setting aside additional funding for home modifications;
- Re-establishing Section 8 homeownership program at the state and local level;
- Expanding accessibility and visitability requirements of publicly funded projects;
- Expanding the number of days families can stay in shelters;
- Fund a bedbug removal program;
- Consistently investing in small communities to build capacity and address local needs;
- Continuing and expanding liaison presence in rural areas so communities are aware of the resources available from the state; and
- Expanding investments and resources in rural areas.

The State will consider these recommendations as it finalizes its five-year Fair Housing Action Plan this fall.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

OCRA will continue the Hometown Collaboration Initiative (HCI). HCI is intended for any community that is serious about enhancing their hometown. HCI provides a framework for helping hometowns build on their assets by developing and acting on a plan that has local buyin. This innovative program will offer five building blocks for energizing communities which include the following: starting the initiative; leadership skills; strengthening hometown economies; focusing on natural and built resources; and keeping the momentum going.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Indiana Lead and Healthy Homes Program (ILHHP), of ISDH, has as its goal the elimination of lead poisoning as a public health problem, especially among young children whose health and development are most susceptible to the harmful effects of lead. The primary source of lead poisoning is lead-based paint. Effective January 1, 2010, ISDH has taken responsibility to implement and enforce the state and federal regulations concerning lead-based paint. The

regulations are designed to eliminate environmental hazards by ensuring that trained lead professionals are available to conduct the safe and effective elimination of the primary sources of lead poisoning.

Addressing the problem through existing and new housing rehabilitation programs is fundamental to reach the State and federal goal of eliminating childhood lead poisoning. Each recipient of a HOME award is subject to the HUD requirements of addressing lead-based paint hazards pursuant to 24 CFR Part 35. If a risk assessment is required, then all lead-based paint issues must be addressed. Lead-based paint controls and abatement costs are eligible activities in IHCDA's HOME-funded rehabilitation programs.

Using HOME dollars, IHCDA also funds lead-hazard mitigation training as part of the CHDO operating support.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State of Indiana does not have a formally adopted statewide anti-poverty strategy. In a holistic sense, the entirety of Indiana's Consolidated Plan Strategy and Action Plan is anti-poverty related because a stable living environment is also a service delivery platform. However, many of the strategies developed for the five-year Plan directly assist individuals who are living in poverty.

Indiana has a history of aggressively pursuing job creation through economic development efforts at the state and local levels. This emphasis on creating employment opportunities is central to a strategy to reduce poverty by providing households below the poverty level with a means of gaining sustainable employment.

Other efforts are also needed to combat poverty. Many of the strategies outlined in the Consolidated Plan are directed at providing services and shelter to those in need. Once a person has some stability in a housing situation, it becomes easier to address related issues of poverty and provide resources such as childcare, transportation and job training to enable individuals to enter the workforce. Indiana's community action agencies are frontline anti-poverty service providers. They work in close cooperation with State agencies to administer a variety of State and federal programs.

Education and skill development are an important aspect of reducing poverty. Investment in workforce development programs and facilities is an essential step to break the cycle of poverty. Finally, there continue to be social and cultural barriers that keep people in poverty. Efforts to eliminate discrimination in all settings are important. In some cases, subsidized housing programs are vital to ensure that citizens have a safe and secure place to live.

The State also utilizes the Section 3 requirement (a provision of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968). Section 3 applies to employment opportunities generated (jobs created) as a result of projects receiving CDBG or HOME funding through ORCA or IHCDA, whether those opportunities are generated by the award recipient, a subrecipient, and/or a contractor. The requirements of Section 3 apply to all projects or activities associated with CDBG or HOME funding, regardless of whether the Section 3 project is fully or partially funded

with CDBG/HOME. A detailed description of Section 3 requirements is included in OCRA/IHCDA's award manual. A notice of Section 3 requirements is included in bid solicitations and is covered during the award trainings.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Regional workshops. OCRA will be hosting eight regional conferences in the month of October. The conferences will provide communities an excellent opportunity to learn about and work with OCRA to launch new initiatives. The audience for the conferences include civic volunteers, mayors, council-members, county officials, members of the Indiana General Assembly, Main Street organizations, local and regional economic development officials (LEDOs), community foundation staff, convention and visitors bureau staff, educators, and youth program coordinators

IN Main Street. The Indiana Main Street Program will host three Community Exchanges this year and participate in 7 OCRA Regional Conferences. The exchanges help build capacity for organizations who are working towards revitalizing the downtown in their community. These exchanges have a variety of topics from Brownfields discussion to developing business incentives in the downtown area. The Indiana Main Street Program also has a Downtown Enhancement Grant Program, which is a small grant program aimed at helping communities build capacity in their downtown through projects that are permanent in nature. Projects in the past have included façade improvement programs, creation of pocket parks, restoration or creation of murals, creation of a revolving loan for business start-ups in downtown, and the list goes on. The grants are a dollar-for-dollar match, so it also used to show how communities can raise capital for projects that they are working on within the downtown area.

Legislation. The 2014-2015 Indiana legislature transitioned the State Historic Rehab Tax Credit Program to OCRA to manage and operate. This additional resource will further OCRA's ability to serve rural low-to-moderate income communities spur economic development.

Shovel Ready Certification. OCRA has a formal partnership with the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC) for OCRA to administer the Indiana Shovel Ready Program. OCRA assists community leaders by providing technical assistance in certifying their sites, as well as, work with participating State agencies to expedite approvals. The Indiana Shovel Ready designation certifies sites that are ready for economic development. Communities of any size may apply for the designation.

Community Entrepreneurship Initiative. OCRA partnered with the Office of Small Business and Entrepreneurship (OSBE) to establish the Community Entrepreneurship Initiative (CEI). CEI will assist communities in growing and retaining entrepreneurial talent. CEI provides consulting services and training for community economic development leaders, elected officials, and citizens interested in forging local initiatives to grow and retain entrepreneurs. The CEI staff will help develop plans with measureable steps in cultivating a more vibrant and innovative entrepreneurial community.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

OCRA and IHCDA continued regular outreach to its private and public sector partners through regional staff, workshops and training and opportunities for feedback about program requirements and structure (through regional meetings, surveys, etc).

The State's new Section 108 loan program was explored as another program that would reward and encourage innovative private-public partnerships.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Please see above. The State is currently in the process of creating an updated five-year Fair Housing Action Plan.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG Monitoring. OCRA conducts a monitoring of every grant project receiving HUD funds. Two basic types of monitoring are used: off-site, or "desk" monitoring and on-site monitoring. Desk monitoring is conducted by staff for non-construction projects. Desk monitoring confirms compliance with national objective, eligible activities, procurement and financial management. On-site monitoring is a structured review conducted by OCRA staff at the locations where project activities are being carried out or project records are being maintained. One on-site monitoring visit is normally conducted during the course of a project, unless determined otherwise by OCRA staff. Grants utilizing a sub-recipient to carry out eligible activities are monitored on-site annually during the 5-year reporting period to confirm continued compliance with national objective and eligible activity requirements. In addition, if there are findings at the monitoring, the grantee is sent a letter within 3 to 5 days of monitoring visit and is given 30 days to resolve it.

OCRA evaluates recipients' and subrecipients' employment practices in order to determine whether or not equal opportunity guidelines are followed in advertising vacancies, such as stating they are an "EEO employer." The State's field monitors review recipients' civil rights files to determine if there have been any EEO complaints filed against a recipient within five years. The field monitors also review records of complaints and responses to complaints, if any, regarding alleged discrimination in the provision of program benefits.

There are numerous procedures that must be followed and policies that must be adhered to for both the recipient and their contractors to assure compliance with these requirements. All policies and procedures must be fully documented to provide adequate record of civil rights compliance. In addition, the recipient must fully document the characteristics of the population of the area in which the project will be implemented in order to determine the specific actions that must be taken to ensure civil rights compliance.

IHCDA Monitoring.

IHCDA conducts at least one monitoring of every grant project receiving CDBG and HOME funds. The recipient must ensure that all records relating to the award are available at IHCDA's monitoring. For those projects determined to need special attention, IHCDA may conduct one or more monitoring visits while award activities are in full progress. Some of the more common factors that would signal special attention include: activity appears behind schedule, previous audit or monitoring findings of recipient or administrative firm, high dollar amount of award, inexperience of recipient or administrative firm, and/or complexity of program. These visits will combine on-site technical assistance with compliance review. However, if the recipient's systems are found to be nonexistent or are not functioning properly, other actions could be

taken by IHCDA, such as suspension of funding until appropriate corrective actions are taken or termination of funding altogether.

Two basic types of monitoring are used: on-site monitoring and desk-top monitoring.

On-site monitoring review.

- Community Development Representative will contact recipient to set-up monitoring based on award expiration and completion/close-out documentation submitted and approved.
- Recipient will receive a confirmation letter stating date, time, and general monitoring information.
- On date of monitoring, IHCDA staff will need: files, an area to review files, and a staff person available to answer questions.
- Before leaving, IHCDA staff will discuss known findings and concerns, along with any areas that are in question.

Desk-top monitoring review.

- Community Development Representative or Community Development Coordinator will request information/documentation from award recipient in order to conduct the monitoring. IHCDA staff will give approximately 30 days for this information to be submitted.
- IHCDA staff will review information/documentation submitted and correspond via the chief executive officer the findings of the desk-top review. However, if during the course of the review additional information and/or documentation is needed, staff will contact the award administrator.

CDBG (housing) monitoring. IHCDA uses the following processes and procedures for monitoring projects receiving CDBG and HOME funds:

- Self-monitoring;
- Monitoring reviews (on-site or desk-top);
- Results of monitoring review;
- Determination and responses;
- Clearing issues/findings;
- Sanctions;
- Resolution of disagreements;
- Audits.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

A copy of the notice announcing the availability of the CAPER for public review appears in Appendix 1. The notice was available in both English and Spanish.

The CAPER was available for public inspection and members of the public, including low and moderate income persons, were encouraged to review the CAPER online at www.in.gov/ocra or in hard copy between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday, September 14, 2015 through Monday, September 28, 2015 at the Indiana Office of Community & Rural Affairs, One North Capitol, Suite 600 in Indianapolis.

Residents were able to mail, email or verbally (via a toll-free number) communicate comments on the CAPER.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

During the program year, the goals, objectives and priorities for the State of Indiana CDBG allocation did not change substantially. Instead, OCRA continued to implement the program changes that began in 2012 and became effective during PY2013.

At the time the program year objectives and priorities were established, community needs for water, wastewater and sewer improvements continued to be ranked as the top economic development needs by stakeholders in rural areas, in addition to well-paying jobs.

During the 2015 program year, housing needs increased in importance, as identified by stakeholders. This will be monitored during development of future Action Plan priorities and allocation plans.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants? No.

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year. N/A

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual	
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility	207	180	
assistance payments			
Tenant-based rental assistance	110	90	
Units provided in transitional housing	9	19	
facilities developed, leased, or operated			
with HOPWA funds			
Units provided in permanent housing	20	24	
facilities developed, leased, or operated			
with HOPWA funds			
Permanent Housing Placement Services	31	12	
Households duplication adjustment	-2	-33	
Total	375	292	

Table 9 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

Please see Attachment 2 for more detailed information on HOPWA performance outcomes and monitoring.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name INDIANA
Organizational DUNS Number 602667136
EIN/TIN Number 356000158
Identify the Field Office INDIANAPOLIS
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Ms. First Name Lori

Middle Name

Last Name Dimick

Suffix

Title Director of Community Services

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 30 South Meridian Street

Street Address 2Suite 1000CityIndianapolisStateINDIANAZIP Code46204

Phone Number Extension Fax Number Email Address

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix Ms. First Name Jill

Last Name Robertson

Suffix Title

Phone Number Extension Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date07/01/2014Program Year End Date06/30/2015

3a. Subrecipients

Subrecipient-Shelter/TH	City	Victim services provider?	Nonprofit?	Award amount
A Better Way Services, Inc.	Muncie	Yes	Yes	\$44,289
Albion Fellows Bacon Center, Inc.	Evansville	Yes	Yes	\$21,167
Alternatives Incorporated of Madison County	Anderson	Yes	Yes	\$41,768
Anchor House, Inc.	Seymour	No	Yes	\$40,639
Becky's Place (Catholic Charities)	Bedford	No	Yes	\$33,871
Bridges Community Services, Inc.	Muncie	No	Yes	\$43,711
Catholic Charities Terre Haute	Terre Haute	No	Yes	\$32,736
Citizens Concerned for the Homeless, Inc.	Michigan City	No	Yes	\$22,577
Columbus Regional Shelter for Victims of Domestic	Columbus	Yes	Yes	\$29,350
Violence, Inc. dba Turning Point				
Community and Family Services	Huntington	No	Yes	\$12,398
Community Service Center of Morgan County, Inc. dba	Martinsville	No	Yes	\$41,768
WellSpring Center				
Coordinated Assistance Ministries, Inc.	Kokomo	No	Yes	\$41,203
Council on Domestic Abuse, Inc.	Terre Haute	Yes	Yes	\$32,172
Emmaus Mission Center, Inc.	Logansport	No	Yes	\$33,301
Evansville Goodwill Industries, Inc.	Evansville	No	Yes	\$22,060
Family Crisis Shelter, Inc.	Crawfordsville	Yes	Yes	\$24,082
Family Promise of Greater Lafayette, Inc.	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$14,111
Family Service Association of Howard County, Inc.	Kokomo	Yes	Yes	\$45,722
Family Service Society, Inc.	Marion	Yes	Yes	\$25,740
YWCA North Central Indiana-Elkhart Safe Haven	Elkhart	Yes	Yes	\$20,414

			1	
Gabriel's Horn Corporation	Valparaiso	No	Yes	\$32,172
Gary Commission for Women	Gary	Yes	Yes	\$37,816
Genesis Outreach, Inc.	Fort Wayne	No	Yes	\$39,510
Goshen Interfaith Hospitality Network	Goshen	No	Yes	\$26,246
Hancock Hope House, Inc.	Greenfield	No	Yes	\$37,251
Haven House, Inc.	Hammond	Yes	Yes	\$12,042
Heart House, Inc.	Aurora	No	Yes	\$30,019
Hope House, Inc.	Fort Wayne	No	Yes	\$21,386
House of Bread and Peace, Inc.	Evansville	No	Yes	\$20,747
Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Valparaiso	No	Yes	\$40,074
Human Services, Inc.	Columbus/	No	Yes	\$38,380
	Clifford			
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Greater Fort Wayne	Fort Wayne	No	Yes	\$32,736
Interfaith Mission, Inc.	Columbia City	No	Yes	\$35,816
Kosciusko County Shelter for Abuse, Inc.	Warsaw	Yes	Yes	\$37,816
Lafayette Transitional Housing Center, Inc.	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$41,203
Lafayette Urban Ministry	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$44,026
Martha's House, Inc.	Bloomington	No	Yes	\$12,230
Mental Health America of Tippecanoe County, Inc.	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$41,767
Middle Way House, Inc.	Bloomington	Yes	Yes	\$39,510
New Hope Family Shelter, Inc.	Bloomington	No	Yes	\$31,607
North Central Indiana Rural Crisis Center	Rensselaer	Yes	Yes	\$24,756
Ozanam Family Shelter Corporation	Evansville	No	Yes	\$32,522
Prisoner and Community Together, Inc.	Salem	Yes	Yes	\$32,672
Safe Passage, Inc.	Batesville	Yes	Yes	\$21,615
Shalom Community Center, Inc.	Bloomington	No	Yes	\$32,736
	1		1	l

Sheltering Wings Center for Women	Danville	Yes	Yes	\$42,332
St. Elizabeth Catholic Charities	New Albany	No	Yes	\$31,607
St. Jude House, Inc.	Crown Point	Yes	Yes	\$31,607
Stepping Stone Shelter for Women, Inc.	Michigan City	Yes	Yes	\$36,687
Stepping Stones, Inc.	Bloomington	No	Yes	\$34,429
The Caring Place, Inc.	Valparaiso	Yes	Yes	\$12,211
The Center for Women and Families, Inc.	Sellersburg	Yes	Yes	\$25,963
The Salvation Army	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$42,332
United Caring Shelters, Inc.	Evansville	No	Yes	\$37,816
Vincent Village, Inc.	Fort Wayne	No	Yes	\$40,074
YWCA Northeast Indiana	Fort Wayne	Yes	Yes	\$34,994
YWCA of Evansville, IN Inc.	Evansville	No	Yes	\$40,074
YWCA of Greater Lafayette	Lafayette	Yes	Yes	\$33,827
Young Womens Christian Association of Richmond	Richmond	Yes	Yes	\$3,260
Total				\$1,866,947

Subrecipient-Rapid Rehousing/Homeless Prevention	City	Victim services	Nonprofit?	Award
		provider?		amount
Aspire Indiana, Inc.	Noblesville	No	Yes	\$63,025
Aurora, Inc.	Evansville	No	Yes	\$219,495
Community Action of Northeast Indiana	Fort Wayne	No	Yes	\$169,400
Continuum of Care Network of NWI	Gary	No	Yes	\$129,608
Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Valparaiso	No	Yes	\$178,610
Human Services, Inc.	Clifford	No	Yes	\$212,652
Lafayette Transitional Housing Center, Inc.	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$63,332
Shalom Community Center, Inc.	Bloomington	No	Yes	\$178,902

The Center for Women and Families	Louisville, KY	Yes	Yes	\$28,902
Total				\$1,243,926

Subrecipient-Outreach	City	Victim services provider?	Nonprofit?	Award amount
Aurora, Inc.	Evansville	No	Yes	\$49,783
Shalom Community Center	Bloomington	No	Yes	\$39,826
Wabash Valley Alliance	Lafayette	No	Yes	\$34,333
Total				\$123,942

Table 10 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Note: None of the organizations funded were identified as faith-based.

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	56
Children	63
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	119

Table 11 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	804
Children	603
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
Total	1,408

Table 12 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	6,024
Children	3,639
Don't Know/Refused/Other	24
Missing Information	0
Total	9,687

Table 13 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	423
Children	1
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	424

Table 14 - Household Information for Street Outreach

4+. Transitional Housing

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	436
Children	166
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	602

Table 15 - Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	7,743
Children	4,472
Don't Know/Refused/Other	25
Missing Information	0
Total	12,240

Table 16 - Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	4,687
Female	7,320
Transgender	4
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	509
Total	12,516

Table 17 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	4,472
18-24	1,365
25 and over	6,378
Don't Know/Refused/Other	24
Missing Information	0
Total	12,239

Table 18 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	335	1	37	234
Victims of Domestic Violence	5,447	7	328	4,878
Elderly	37	1	9	N/A
HIV/AIDS	6	0	5	N/A
Chronically Homeless	225	1	69	N/A
Persons with Disabili	ties:			
Severely Mentally III	462	8	197	N/A
Chronic Substance Abuse	421	0	125	N/A
Other Disability	590	14	320	N/A
Total (unduplicated if possible)	7,523	32	1,090	5,112

Table 19 – Special Population Served

CR-70 - ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units – Conversion	0
Total Number of bed - nights available	1,513
Total Number of bed - nights provided	1,096
Capacity Utilization	72%

Table 20 – Shelter Capacity

9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Balance of State C of C has established the following performance standards for ESG grantees, the majority of which were met or exceeded during PY2014:

- ESG program sub-recipients that are emergency shelters that have activities: operations, essential services and financial assistance: 50 percent persons will discharge to permanent housing, 25 percent will increase their income. **PY2014 outcome: 47** percent discharged into permanent housing; 51 percent increased income.
- ESG program sub-recipients that are Transitional Housing programs that have activities: operations, essential services and financial assistance: 69 percent will discharge to permanent housing, 50 percent will increase their income. **PY2014 outcome: 51** percent discharged into permanent housing; 57 percent increased income.
- ESG rental assistance program sub-recipients: At discharge from program, 82 percent persons assisted will still be permanently housed. 65 percent of persons will increase their income. PY2014 outcome for grantees with RRH activities: 84 percent discharged into permanent housing; 64 percent increased income.
- ESG program sub-recipients that have outreach component: 50 percent of identified caseload will be permanently housed; 50 percent identified caseload will increase their income. PY2014 outcome: 98 percent discharged into permanent housing; 46 percent increased income.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012	2013	2014	
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	33,882	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	7,364	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	22,039	
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	43,677	Not collected	
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	43,677	63,285	

Table 21 - ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012	2013	2014	
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	348,739	0	0	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and				
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &				
Stabilization Services - Services	0	67,686	0	
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under				
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	1,731,430	1,052,602	
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	348,739	1,799,116	1,052,602	

Table 22 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012 2013 201			
Essential Services	643,789	448,426	652,258	
Operations	1,496,419	1,156,617	1,105,775	
Renovation	0	0	0	
Major Rehab	0	0	0	
Conversion	0 0			
Subtotal	2,140,208	1,605,043	1,758,033	

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012 2013 2014				
Street Outreach	0	0	89,609		
HMIS	270,691	175,976			
Administration	0	29,003			

Table 24 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2012	2013	2014
	2,759,638	3,623,812	3,475,401

Table 25 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2012	2013	2014
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds			
Other Federal Funds			
State Government			
Local Government			
Private Funds			
Other: In-kind and cash donations	\$2.19 million	\$1.88 million	\$1.85 million
Fees			
Program Income			
Total Match Amount	\$2.19 million	\$1.88 million	\$1.85 million

Table 26 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2012	2013	2014
	2,759,638	3,623,812	3,475,401

Table 27 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment 1.

Public Notice and Comment

ATTACHMENT 1. Public Notice and Comment

The 2014 CAPER was available for public review between September 15 and September 29, 2015. A hard copy of the CAPER was on file with OCRA, and electronic copies were available on OCRA's and IHCDA's websites. Public comments were encouraged and accepted during this period. The public notice announcing the availability of the CAPER is included in this section. No public comments were received.

Attachment 2.

HOPWA CAPER



Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

Revised 1/22/15

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 12/31/2017)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 42 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

form HUD-40110-D (Expiration Date: 10/31/2017

Overview. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER, in conjunction with the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS), fulfills statutory and regulatory program reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives.

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER, and complete annual performance information for all activities undertaken during each program year in the IDIS, demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER and IDIS data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, Subrecipient organizations, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

Table of Contents

PART 1: Grantee Executive Summary

- 1. Grantee Information
- 2. Project Sponsor Information
- 3. Administrative Subrecipient Information
- 4. Program Subrecipient Information
- 5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment
 - a. Grantee and Community Overview
- b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
- c. Barriers or Trends Overview
- d. Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

- 1. Sources of Leveraging
- 2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

PART 3: Accomplishment Data: Planned Goals and Actual Outputs PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

- 1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities
- 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments
- 3. Access to Care and Support: Housing Subsidy Assistance with Supportive Services

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes PART 6: Annual Certification of Continued Use for HOPWA FacilityBased Stewardship Units (Only)

PART 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

- A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility Based Units, Master Leased Units ONLY)
- B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Continued Use Periods. Grantees that received HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitations are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA-eligible beneficiaries for a ten (10) years period. If no further HOPWA funds are used to support the facility, in place of completing Section 7B of the CAPER, the grantee must submit an Annual Certification of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This certification is included in Part 6 in CAPER. The required use period is three (3) years if the rehabilitation is non-substantial.

In connection with the development of the Department's standards for Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS), universal data elements are being collected for clients of HOPWA-funded homeless assistance projects. These project sponsor/subrecipient records would include: Name, Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Ethnicity and Race, Gender, Veteran Status, Disabling Conditions, Residence Prior to Program Entry, Zip Code of Last Permanent Address, Housing Status, Program Entry Date, Program Exit Date, Personal Identification Number, and Household Identification Number. These are intended to match the elements under HMIS. The HOPWA program-level data elements include: Income and Sources, Non-Cash Benefits, HIV/AIDS Status, Services Provided, and Housing Status or Destination at the end of the operating year. Other suggested but optional elements are: Physical Disability, Developmental Disability, Chronic Health Condition, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Domestic Violence, Date of Contact, Date of Engagement, Financial

Assistance, Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services, Employment, Education, General Health Status, , Pregnancy Status, Reasons for Leaving, Veteran's Information, and Children's Education. Other HOPWA projects sponsors may also benefit from collecting these data elements.

Final Assembly of Report. After the entire report is assembled, please number each page sequentially.

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee's State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: at HOPWA@hud.gov. Electronic submission to HOPWA Program office is preferred; however, if electronic submission is not possible, hard copies can be mailed to: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing, Room 7212, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

Record Keeping. Names and other individual information must be kept confidential, as required by 24 CFR 574.440. However, HUD reserves the right to review the information used to complete this report for grants management oversight purposes, except for recording any names and other identifying information. In the case that HUD must review client level data, no client names or identifying information will be retained or recorded. Information is reported in aggregate to HUD without personal identification. Do not submit client or personal information in data systems to HUD.

Definitions

Adjustment for Duplication: Enables the calculation of unduplicated output totals by accounting for the total number of households or units that received more than one type of HOPWA assistance in a given service category such as HOPWA Subsidy Assistance or Supportive Services. For example, if a client household received both TBRA and STRMU during the operating year, report that household in the category of HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in Part 3, Chart 1, Column [1b] in the following manner:

H	OPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	[1] Outputs: Number of Households
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	90
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units	24
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies	19
3a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	0
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	0
4.	Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance	180
5.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	(21)
6.	TOTAL Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1-4 minus Row 5)	292

Administrative Costs: Costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting. By statute, grantee administrative costs are limited to 3% of total grant award, to be expended over the life of the grant. Project sponsor administrative costs are limited to 7% of the portion of the grant amount they receive.

Beneficiary(ies): All members of a household who received HOPWA assistance during the operating year including the one individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance as well as any other members of the household (with or without HIV) who benefitted from the assistance.

Central Contractor Registration (CCR): The primary registrant database for the U.S. Federal Government. CCR collects, validates, stores, and disseminates data in support of agency acquisition missions, including Federal agency contract and assistance awards. Both current and potential federal government registrants (grantees) are required to register in CCR in order to be awarded contracts by the federal government. Registrants must update or renew their registration at least once per year to maintain an active status. Although recipients of direct federal contracts and grant awards have been required to be registered with CCR since 2003, this requirement is now being extended to indirect recipients of federal funds with the passage of ARRA (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act). Per ARRA and FFATA (Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act) federal regulations, all grantees and sub-grantees or subcontractors receiving federal grant awards or contracts must have a DUNS (Data Universal Numbering System) Number.

Chronically Homeless Person: An individual or family who: (i) is homeless and lives or resides individual or family who: (i) Is homeless and lives or resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; (ii) has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least 4 separate occasions in the last 3 years; and (iii) has an adult head of household (or a minor head of household if no adult is present in the household) with a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability, including the co-occurrence of 2 or more of those conditions. Additionally, the statutory definition includes as chronically homeless a person who currently lives or resides in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar facility, and has resided there for fewer than 90 days if such person met the other criteria for homeless prior to entering that facility. (See 42 U.S.C. 11360(2)) This does not include doubled-up or overcrowding situations.

Disabling Condition: Evidencing a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, chronic physical illness, or disability, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions. In addition, a disabling condition may limit an individual's ability to work or perform one or more activities of daily living. An HIV/AIDS diagnosis is considered a disabling condition.

Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All eligible HOPWA Housing expenditures for or associated with supporting facilities including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term facilities, project-based rental units, master leased units, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

Faith-Based Organization: Religious organizations of three types: (1) congregations; (2) national networks, which include national denominations, their social service arms (for example, Catholic Charities, Lutheran Social Services), and networks of related organizations (such as YMCA and YWCA); and (3) freestanding religious organizations, which are incorporated separately from congregations and national networks.

Grassroots Organization: An organization headquartered in the local community where it provides services; has a social services budget of \$300,000 or less annually, and six or fewer full-time equivalent employees. Local affiliates of national organizations are not considered

"grassroots."

HOPWA Eligible Individual: The one (1) low-income person with HIV/AIDS who qualifies a household for HOPWA assistance. This person may be considered "Head of Household." When the CAPER asks for information on eligible individuals, report on this individual person only. Where there is more than one person with HIV/AIDS in the household, the additional PWH/A(s), would be considered a beneficiary(s).

HOPWA Housing Information Services: Services dedicated to helping persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families to identify, locate, and acquire housing. This may also include fair housing counseling for eligible persons who may encounter discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, familial status, or handicap/disability.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Total: The unduplicated number of households receiving housing subsidies (TBRA, STRMU, Permanent Housing Placement services and Master Leasing) and/or residing in units of facilities dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families and supported with HOPWA funds during the operating year.

Household: A single individual or a family composed of two or more persons for which household incomes are used to determine eligibility and for calculation of the resident rent payment. The term is used for collecting data on changes in income, changes in access to services, receipt of housing information services, and outcomes on achieving housing stability. Live-In Aides (see definition for Live-In Aide) and nonbeneficiaries (e.g. a shared housing arrangement with a roommate) who resided in the unit are not reported on in the CAPER.

Housing Stability: The degree to which the HOPWA project assisted beneficiaries to remain in stable housing during the operating year. See *Part 5: Determining Housing Stability Outcomes* for definitions of stable and unstable housing situations.

In-kind Leveraged Resources: These involve additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the rate established in HUD notices, such as the rate of ten dollars per hour. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

Leveraged Funds: The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population. Leveraged funds or other assistance are used directly in or in support of HOPWA program delivery.

Live-In Aide: A person who resides with the HOPWA Eligible Individual and who meets the following criteria: (1) is essential to the care and wellbeing of the person; (2) is not obligated for the support of the person; and (3) would not be living in the unit except to provide the necessary supportive services. See the Code of Federal Regulations Title 24, Part 5.403 and the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide for additional reference.

Master Leasing: Applies to a nonprofit or public agency that leases units of housing (scattered-sites or entire buildings) from a landlord, and subleases the units to homeless or low-income tenants. By assuming the tenancy burden, the agency facilitates housing of clients who may not be able to maintain a lease on their own due to poor credit, evictions, or lack of sufficient income.

Operating Costs: Applies to facility-based housing only, for facilities that are currently open. Operating costs can include day-to-day housing

function and operation costs like utilities, maintenance, equipment, insurance, security, furnishings, supplies and salary for staff costs directly related to the housing project but not staff costs for delivering services.

Outcome: The degree to which the HOPWA assisted household has been enabled to establish or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support.

Output: The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA assistance during the operating year.

Permanent Housing Placement: A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including but not limited to reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rent costs

Program Income: Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration requirements on program income for state and local governments at 24 CFR 85.25, or for non-profits at 24 CFR 84.24.

Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA): A rental subsidy program that is tied to specific facilities or units owned or controlled by a project sponsor or Subrecipient. Assistance is tied directly to the properties and is not portable or transferable.

Project Sponsor Organizations: Any nonprofit organization or governmental housing agency that receives funds under a contract with the grantee to provide eligible housing and other support services or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. Project Sponsor organizations are required to provide performance data on households served and funds expended. Funding flows to a project sponsor as follows:

HUD Funding --> Grantee --> Project Sponsor

Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance: A time-limited, housing subsidy assistance designed to prevent homelessness and increase housing stability. Grantees may provide assistance for up to 21 weeks in any 52 week period. The amount of assistance varies per client depending on funds available, tenant need and program guidelines.

Stewardship Units: Units developed with HOPWA, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation that no longer receive operating subsidies from HOPWA. Report information for the units is subject to the three-year use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial and to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

Subrecipient Organization: Any organization that receives funds from a project sponsor to provide eligible housing and other support services and/or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. If a subrecipient organization provides housing and/or other supportive services directly to clients, the subrecipient organization must provide performance data on household served and funds expended. Funding flows to subrecipients as follows:

HUD Funding ——> Grantee ——> Project Sponsor ——> Subrecipient

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA): TBRA is a rental subsidy program similar to the Housing Choice Voucher program that grantees can provide to help low-income households access affordable housing. The TBRA voucher is not tied to a specific unit, so tenants may move to a different unit without losing their assistance, subject to individual program rules. The subsidy amount is determined in part based on household income and rental costs associated with the tenant's lease.

Transgender: Transgender is defined as a person who identifies with, or presents as, a gender that is different from his/her gender at birth.

Veteran: A veteran is someone who has served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States. This does not include inactive military reserves or the National Guard unless the person was called up to active duty.

Housing Opportunities for Person with AIDS (HOPWA) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outputs and Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 10/31/2017)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. In Chart 3, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists grantees or project sponsors carrying out their administrative or evaluation activities. In Chart 4, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement to provide HOPWA-funded services to client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282). *Note: Please see the definition section for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.*

1. Grantee Information

1. Grantee Information					
HUD Grant Number			ear for this report		
INH14F999		From (mm/dd/yy) 7/01/2014 to 6/30/2015			
Grantee Name		•			
Indiana Housing and Communit	y Development Authori	ty			
Business Address	30 S. Meridian Street, S	uite 1000			
City, County, State, Zip	Indianapolis	Marion		IN	46204
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	35-1485172	l			l
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	086870479		Central Contractor Registration (CCR): Is the grantee's CCR status currently activ		ently active?
Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address	IN-7				
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-1, IN-2, IN-3, IN-9	IN-4,	IN-5, IN-6,	IN-7,	IN-8,
*City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s): Cover all State of Indiana EXCEPT for Indpls HOPWA area and KY/Louisville areas. See Counties area.	Gary, Valparaiso, Evans Wayne, Evansville, Terr Lafayette, Bloomingtor Lawrenceburg, South Be Elkhart	re Haute, n, Muncie,	Boone, Hamil Hancock, Mar Hendricks, M Shelby. (Indp KY/Louisville Washington, S Floyd, Harriso	iton, Marion, Put organ, Jo Is HOPV e HOPW Scott, Cl	dison, nam, ohnson, VA)

Organization's Website Address	Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee service Area? Yes No
www.ihcda.in.gov	If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered.

^{*} Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

2. Project Sponsor Information

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Financial Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, <i>if app</i>	olicable	
AIDS Ministries					
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Leeah Hopper				
Email Address	LHOPPER@AIDSMINISTRIES.ORG				
Business Address	210 S. WILLIAM	IS			
City, County, State, Zip,	SOUTH BEND				
Phone Number (with area code)	574-234-2870				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	35-1902136		Fax Nu	mber (with are	ea code)
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	793023276				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-2				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-2				
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: South Bend				Joseph, Elkhart, ke, Pulaski, Fulton
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$143,337				
Organization's Website Address					
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes No	Does your organizati	on maint	ain a waiting li	ist? Yes No
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the	narrative	e section how th	his list is administered.

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Parent Company Name, if applicable				
ALIVENESS					
	na				
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Tammy Morris, Executive Director				
Email Address	tmorrisap@aol.co	<u>om</u>			
Business Address	5490 Broadway S	uite L-3			
City, County, State, Zip,	Merrillville, India	na, 46410			
Phone Number (with area code)	219-985-6170				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	35178024		Fax Nu	mber (with are	ea code)
(219	9-985-6097	7
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	800678740	<u> </u>			
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-1				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-1				
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Gary		Cou	ınties: Lak	ke, Porter, LaPorte
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$186,208				
Organization's Website Address					
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes	Does your organization	on maint	ain a waiting li	ist? Yes No
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the n	narrative	e section how th	nis list is administered.

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable			
AIDS Task Force of NE Indiana					
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Arien Dillon, Housing Coordinator				
Email Address	Arien@Positive Resource Center FW.org				
Business Address	525 Oxford Street				
City, County, State, Zip,	Fort Wayne IN 46806				
Phone Number (with area code)	260-744-1144				
	Ext 211				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	31-1191147		Fax Nur	nber (with a	rea code)
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	196314459				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-3				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-3,IN-5, IN-6				
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Ft. Wayne		Counties: Allen, Adams, Wells, Noble, Whitley, Steuben, DeKalb, LaGrange, Wabash, Huntington, Kosciusko		
Total HOPWA contract amount for this	\$128,640				
Organization for the operating year Organization's Website Address	·				
9					
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes No	Does your organizati	ion mainta	ain a waiting	list? Yes No
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the	narrative	section how	this list is administered.
Deci- 4 Common Assess Name		Daniel Carren Na	···· :c ····	P 1.1 -	
Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable			
Aspire Indiana, Inc.					
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Melissa Stayton, l	Director of Strat	egic In	itiatives	
Email Address	melissa.stayton@	aspireindiana.or	·g		
Business Address	9615 E. 148 th Street				
City, County, State, Zip,	Noblesville IN	46060			
Phone Number (with area code)	317-571-1818				
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	3513141204		Fax Number (with area code) 317-674-0060		
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	121585822				
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-4				

Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-4, IN-6			
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s) Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	Cities: Kokomo, Muncie, Lafayette, Anderson, Richmond, Lawrenceburg, Crawfordsville		Counties: Benton, Carroll, Clinton, Fountain, Jasper, Montgomery, Newton, Tippecanoe, Warren, White, Blackford, Delaware, Grant, Jay, Randolph, Cass, Howard, Madison, Miami, Tipton, Decatur, Fayette, Henry, Ripley, Rush, Union, and Wayne	
Organization's Website Address				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	_		on maintain a waiting	list? Yes No
Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		in yes, explain in the	narrauve section now	tins list is administered.
Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Nar	ne, if applicable	
IU Health Bloomington				
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Jill Stowers, Clini	ical Lead Manag	ger	
Email Address	JStowers@IUHea	ılth.org		
Business Address	333 East Miller D	r		
City, County, State, Zip,	Bloomington IN	47401		
Phone Number (with area code)	812.353.3250			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	35-1720796		Fax Number (with a	rea code)
` '			812-234-238	6
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	07-205-2137			
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-9			
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-9, Senate 40, I	House 61		
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Bloomington Counties: OWEN, GRE LAWRENCE, MONRO BROWN, BARTHOLO		E, MONROE,	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$82,502		•	
Organization's Website Address				
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes No	Does your organizati	on maintain a waiting	list? Yes No
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.		

Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Name, if applicable					
Terre Haute Housing Authority	ite Housing Authority						
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Jennifer Fancher, HOPWA Coordinator						
Email Address	jfancher@terrehautehousing.org						
Business Address	PO Box 3086 – 2001 N 19 th Street						
City, County, State, Zip,	Terre Haute IN 47803						
Phone Number (with area code)	812-232-1381 Ext 119						
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	35-6007274		Fax Nu	mber (with ar	ea code)		
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	50349430						
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-8						
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-8						
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Terre Haute		Counties: Vigo, Vermillion, Clay, Sullivan, Parke				
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$45,000		•				
Organization's Website Address							
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes	Does your organizati	on maint	ain a waiting l	ist?		
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization	ı. 🔲				ist? Yes No		
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization	ı. 🔲	If yes, explain in the	narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization	ı. 🔲		narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSIET Hills Name and Title of Contact at Project	ı. 🔲	If yes, explain in the	narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name Hoosier Hills	ı. 🗆	If yes, explain in the	narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSIET HILLS Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Dorothy Waterho	Parent Company Naruse, Treasurer	narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSier Hills Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency Email Address	Dorothy Waterho	Parent Company Naruse, Treasurer adaids.win.net	narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSIET HILLS Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency Email Address Business Address	Dorothy Waterho dwaterhouse@ccl 1301 Akers Aven	Parent Company Naruse, Treasurer adaids.win.net	narrative	e section how t			
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSIET HILLS Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency Email Address Business Address City, County, State, Zip,	Dorothy Waterho dwaterhouse@ccl 1301 Akers Aven Jeffersonville, Cla	Parent Company Naruse, Treasurer adaids.win.net	me, if app	e section how t	his list is administered.		
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSIET Hills Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency Email Address Business Address City, County, State, Zip, Phone Number (with area code) Employer Identification Number (EIN) or	Dorothy Waterho dwaterhouse@ccl 1301 Akers Aven Jeffersonville, Cla 812-288-2706	Parent Company Naruse, Treasurer adaids.win.net	me, if app	e section how t	his list is administered.		
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization Project Sponsor Agency Name HOOSIET HILLS Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency Email Address Business Address City, County, State, Zip, Phone Number (with area code) Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	Dorothy Waterho dwaterhouse@ccl 1301 Akers Aven Jeffersonville, Cla 812-288-2706 35-1987523	Parent Company Naruse, Treasurer adaids.win.net	me, if app	e section how t	his list is administered.		

Area(s)	Cities: Jeffersonville		Counties: JACKSON, JENNINGS, ORANGE, CRAWFORD, JEFFERSON,
			SWITZERLAND
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$25,000		
Organization's Website Address			
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No		Does your organizat	ion maintain a waiting list?
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the	narrative section how this list is administered.
Project Sponsor Agency Name		Parent Company Na	me, if applicable
AIDS RESOURCE GROUP OF	EVANSVILLE		
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Stacey Easley, Int Molly Hartshorn,		
Email Address	mhartshorn@arge	evansville.org	
Business Address	201 NW 4 TH ST,	STE B-7	
City, County, State, Zip,	Evansville, Vande	erburgh IN	47708
Phone Number (with area code)	812-421-0059		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	35-1834665		Fax Number (with area code)
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):			812-424-9059
Bert & Bradsteet Admiser (Berts)	827745647		
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	IN-8		
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	IN-8		
City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Evansville	_	Counties: KNOX, DAVIESS, MARTIN, DUBOIS, PIKE, GIBSON, POSEY, VANDERBURGH, WARRICK, SPENCER, PERRY
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$178,763		
Organization's Website Address			
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization?	Yes No	Does your organizat	ion maintain a waiting list?
Please check if yes and a faith-based organization Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.		If yes, explain in the	narrative section how this list is administered.

3. Administrative Subrecipient Information

Use Chart 3 to provide the following information for <u>each</u> subrecipient with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists project sponsors to carry out their administrative services but no services directly to client households. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. (Organizations listed may have contracts with project sponsors) These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Subrecipient Name	NA	Parent Company Name, if applicable
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient		- 1
E 7 A 11		
Email Address		
Business Address		
City, State, Zip, County		
Phone Number (with area code)		Fax Number (include area code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or		
Tax Identification Number (TIN)		
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		
North American Industry Classification		
System (NAICS) Code		
Congressional District of Subrecipient's		
Business Address		
Congressional District of Primary Service		
Area		
City (ies) and County (ies) of Primary Service	Cities:	Counties:
Area(s)		
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this		
Organization for the operating year		

4. Program Subrecipient Information

Complete the following information for each subrecipient organization providing HOPWA-funded services to client households. These organizations would hold a contract/agreement with a project sponsor(s) to provide these services. For example, a subrecipient organization may receive funds from a project sponsor to provide nutritional services for clients residing within a HOPWA facility-based housing program. Please note that subrecipients who work directly with client households must provide performance data for the grantee to include in Parts 2-7 of the CAPER.

Note: Please see the definition of a subrecipient for more information.

Note: Types of contracts/agreements may include: grants, sub-grants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders.

Note: If any information is not applicable to the organization, please report N/A in the appropriate box. Do not leave boxes blank.

Sub-recipient Name	NA	Parent Company Name, if applicable		if applicable	
Name <u>and Title</u> of Contact at Contractor/ Sub-contractor Agency					
Email Address					
Business Address					
City, County, State, Zip					
Phone Number (included area code)			Fax Numbe	r (include are	ea code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)					
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs)					
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code					
Congressional District of the Sub-recipient's Business Address					
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area					
City(ies) <u>and County(ies)</u> of Primary Service Area	Cities:		Counties:		
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year					

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. *Note: Text fields are expandable.*

The Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority (IHCDA) is a HOPWA grantee supporting activities in the Indiana. Within the State of Indiana there are 12 HIV Care Coordination Regions as established by the Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH.) IHCDA ensures that sponsor organizations in each eligible organization receive a portion of HOPWA funds to support assistance to Hoosiers with HIV and/or AIDs.

Each HOPWA sponsor was selected by a Request for Qualifications process that solicited applications from the Indiana Department of Health care coordination sites. HOPWA awards were then determined by meeting required thresholds and then based on their proposed budget needs, proposed activities, current housing needs and the ISDH-published HIV/AIDS epidemiology reports from the previous year.

The HOPWA activities that were funded: long-term rental assistance, long term program delivery short-term rental assistance, mortgage assistance, utility assistance (STRUM), short term program delivery, facility-based operations, permanent housing placement, housing information services, supportive services and program administration.

The ISDH HIV Care Coordination Regions are as follows:

REGION 1

Counties Served: Lake, Porter, LaPorte

Sponsor Agency: AIDS Task Force of Porter and LaPorte County, Inc., dba The Aliveness Project

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$186,208

REGION 2

Counties Served: St. Joseph, Elkhart, Starke, Marshall, Pulaski, Fulton Sponsor Agency: AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist of North Indiana, Inc.

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$143,337

REGION 3

Counties Served: LaGrange, Steuben, Kosciusko, Noble, DeKalb, Whitley, Allen, Wabash,

Huntington, Wells, Adams

Sponsor Agency: AIDS Task Force, Inc. 2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$128,640

REGIONS 4, 5, 6, and 9

Counties Served (Region 4): Newton, Jasper, Benton, White, Carroll, Warren, Tippecanoe,

Clinton, Fountain, Montgomery

Counties Served (Region 5): Grant, Blackford, Jay, Delaware, Randolph

Counties Served (Region 6): Cass, Miami, Howard, Tipton, Hamilton, Hancock

Counties Served (Region 9): Henry, Wayne, Rush, Fayette, Union, Decatur, Franklin, Ripley,

Dearborn, Ohio

Sponsor Agency: Aspire Indiana

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$172,261

REGION 7

Counties Served: Boone, Hendricks, Marion, Morgan, Johnson, Shelby, Madison

*Not served by IHCDA

REGION 8

Counties Served: Vermillion, Parke, Putnam, Vigo, Clay, Sullivan Sponsor Agency: Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$45,000

REGION 10

Counties Served: Owen, Greene, Monroe, Lawrence, Brown, Bartholomew

Sponsor Agency: Indiana University Health Positive Link

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$82,502

REGION 11

Counties Served: Jackson, Jennings, Orange, Washington, Scott, Jefferson, Switzerland,

Crawford, Harrison, Floyd, Clark

Sponsor Agency: Hoosier Hills AIDS Coalition

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$25,000

REGION 12

Counties Served: Knox, Daviess, Martin, Gibson, Pike, Dubois, Posey, Vanderburgh, Warrick,

Spencer, Perry

Sponsor Agency: AIDS Resource Group, Inc.

2014-2015 HOPWA Award: \$178,763

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your program year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

In 2014 HOPWA sponsors assisted 292 individual households with housing subsidy assistance, of which 90 received tenant-based rental assistance; 180 received short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance; 19 received TH; 24 received support through operating subsidies for permanent housing facilities; and there were 21 households that we served more than one time. Additionally, 292 households received housing information services.

HOPWA funding was awarded and the budgets were: \$404,622 on tenant-based rental assistance; \$102,489 on operations; \$160,657 on short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance; \$12,505 on permanent housing placement services; \$204,862 was housing information services; \$19,103.39 was awarded for housing services/program delivery, \$57,472 was award to sponsors for program administration, and \$28,418.00 was award to IHCDA for grant administration.

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program's success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps

currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

With the limited funds, our sub grantees were able to support 292 households in 2014. In the previous year, we had rollover funds to help sub grantees extend their resources farther. This year we had very limited roll-over funds to help support the housing need of HIV/AIDS clients. Thanks to the efforts of our grantees, households were able to find safe, decent, sanitary housing in spite of the HIV/AIDS barriers that often serve as obstacles for clients.

Sub grantees provided 105 households for housing information services which represents 40% increase compared to the goal. The STRMU goals were not met due to the continued limited availability of affordable housing around Indiana.

This year 8 households exited to negative or unstable arrangements. This represents less than 3% of the households served.

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

Mainstream housing and supportive service resources for 2013 came from leverage sources such as the Ryan White Program, Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH), CDBG and other sources. Leveraging for the Ryan White program totaled \$180,000. Leveraging from ESG operations was \$23,588. Leveraging from CDBG was \$9,000. Leveraging from case management sources was \$138,136. Leveraging from ISDH was \$681,070. Grants, donations, in-kind and food pantry donations totaled \$414,947.41. Total leveraging was \$1,446,741.41.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

There were no program technical assistance needs reported by program beneficiaries.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

Criminal records of clients as well as stigma related to AIDS/HIV+ individuals remain significant barriers for HOPWA clients in establishing stable housing. Further, economic conditions have made the acquisition of reasonably priced rental housing marginally more difficult. In certain rural areas and in the Southeast Indiana there have been difficulties finding housing that meets the housing quality standards. There is very little affordable quality housing in this area.

Also, in the area of sponsor – Hoosier Hills, specifically in Scott County (Louisville KY HOPWA area), there has an increase of HIV/AIDS diagnosis due to the increase of intravenous substance

abuse in that community. It has gone from 26 cases HIV/AIDS (according to December 31, 2014 Indiana Department of Health epidemiology report) and as of June 10, 2015 it has increased to 166 cases. Due to this sudden increase, some of the areas of Indiana HOPWA - Jefferson and Jennings Counties have seen an increase due to people moving out of Scott County to neighboring counties.

2. Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

Due to the increase of persons with HIV/AIDS in Southeast Indiana, Jefferson and Jennings counties in the past six months have also seen an increase of persons being diagnosed with HIV/AIDS that are needing housing assistance and treatment for substance abuse.

The Indiana State Department of Health is working closely with local health departments, health care providers and others to contain the spread of HIV in southeastern Indiana. Disease intervention specialists are in the area, interviewing each newly identified HIV positive individual to obtain information about needle sharing and sex partners, as well as recommending care coordination services, medical care and HIV prevention information. Other State agencies – such as the Division of Mental Health are also providing assistance for substance abuse treatment.

Indiana Housing & Community Development Authority will be sending out an RFP to local areas to apply for a rental assistance grant that will provide temporary rental assistance (short-medium term) for up to 2 years. This will supplement the HOPWA grant for the Southeast Region.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public. $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}$

d. Unmet Housing Needs: An Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

In Chart 1, provide an assessment of the number of HOPWA-eligible households that require HOPWA housing subsidy assistance but are not currently served by any HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance in this service area.

In Row 1, report the total unmet need of the geographical service area, as reported in *Unmet Needs for Persons with HIV/AIDS*, Chart 1B of the Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), or as reported under HOPWA worksheet in the Needs Workbook of the Consolidated Planning Management Process (CPMP) tool.

Note: Report most current data available, through Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), and account for local housing issues, or

☐ HOPWA/HUD Regulations	Planning	☐ Housing Availability	☐ Rent Determination and Fair Market
□ Discrimination/Confidentiality	☐ Multiple Diagnoses	☐ Eligibility	Rents Technical Assistance or Training
☐ Supportive Services	☐ Credit History	☐ Rental History	☐ Criminal Justice History
☑ Housing Affordability	over 166 cases starti		Increase of HIV/AIDS rose to the Southeast area – Scott A funding)

changes in HIV/AIDS cases, by using combination of one or more of the sources in Chart 2.

If data is collected on the type of housing that is needed in Rows a. through c., enter the number of HOPWA-eligible households by type of housing subsidy assistance needed. For an approximate breakdown of overall unmet need by type of housing subsidy assistance refer to the Consolidated or Annual Plan (s), CPMP tool or local distribution of funds. Do not include clients who are already receiving HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance.

Refer to Chart 2, and check all sources consulted to calculate unmet need. Reference any data from neighboring states' or municipalities' Consolidated Plan or other planning efforts that informed the assessment of Unmet Need in your service area. **Note:** In order to ensure that the unmet need assessment for the region is comprehensive, HOPWA formula grantees should include those unmet needs assessed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating within the service area.

1. Planning Estimate of Area's Unmet Needs for HOPWA-Eligible Households

Total number of households that have unmet housing subsidy assistance need.	95
2. From the total reported in Row 1, identify the number of households with unmet housing needs by type of housing subsidy assistance:	75
a. Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) b. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility payments (STRMU)	19
 Assistance with rental costs Assistance with mortgage payments Assistance with utility costs. 	13 0 6
c. Housing Facilities, such as community residences, SRO dwellings, other housing facilities	1

- 2. Recommended Data Sources for Assessing Unmet Need (check all sources used)
- X = Data as reported in the area Consolidated Plan, e.g. Table 1B, CPMP charts, and related narratives
- X = Data established by area HIV/AIDS housing planning and coordination efforts, e.g. Continuum of Care
- X = Data from client information provided in Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS)
- X = Data from project sponsors or housing providers, including waiting lists for assistance or other assessments on need including those completed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating in the region.
 - = Data from prisons or jails on persons being discharged with HIV/AIDS, if mandatory testing is conducted
 - = Data from local Ryan White Planning Councils or reported in CARE Act Data Reports, e.g. number of clients with permanent housing
- X = Data collected for HIV/AIDS surveillance reporting or other health assessments, e.g. local health department or CDC surveillance data

End of PART 1

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support.

Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

	[2] Amount of Leveraged	[3] Type of	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other
[1] Source of Leveraging	Funds	Contribution	Support
Public Funding			
Ryan White-Housing Assistance	0		☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance☐ Other Support
Ryan White-Other	\$180,000	Case Mngt	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Housing Choice Voucher Program	0		Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Low Income Housing Tax Credit	0		Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
НОМЕ	0		Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Shelter Plus Care	0		Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Emergency Solutions Grant:	\$23,588	Operations – CoC South Bend	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Public: Indiana state dept of health	\$681,070	Case mngt;	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Public: Community block grant	\$9,000	Case mngt	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Public: LTC	\$138,136	Case mngt	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Public:	0		☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Public:	0		☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance☐ Other Support
Other Public:	0		☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance☐ Other Support
Private Funding			
Grants	\$250,040	Prevention OP	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Grants	\$3,500	Client Asst	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Grants	\$28,500	Indiana AIDS Fund	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
In-kind Resources	0		☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance☐ Other Support
Other Private:	\$15,000	Food Pantry	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Private:	\$63,000	Financial Asst;	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Other Funding			

Grantee/Project Sponsor/Subrecipient (Agency) Cash	\$32,719.41	Donations	☐ Housing Subsidy Assistance ☐ Other Support
Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord	\$22,188		
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	\$1,446,741.41		

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A., report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of <u>program income</u>. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

	Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected	Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)		
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	0		
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	\$38,691		
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	\$38,691		

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on HOPWA programs		Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)			
1.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	\$138,035.15			
2.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non- direct housing costs	\$46,607.00			
3.	Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	\$184,642.15			

End of PART 2

PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

Note: The total households assisted with HOPWA funds and reported in PART 3 of the CAPER should be the same as reported in the annual year-end IDIS data, and goals reported should be consistent with the Annual Plan information. Any discrepancies or deviations should be explained in the narrative section of PART 1.

1	HODWA	Darformanca	Planned	Cool and	Actual Outputs
1.	DULWA	Periormance	Pianneu	Goal and F	Actual Outbuts

1. I	IOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs						
		[1] Output: Households			useholds	[2] Output: Funding	
		HOPWA			everaged		
	HOPWA Performance	Assis	tance	He	ouseholds	HOPW	A Funds
							_
	Planned Goal	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.
	and Actual		la Fa		l E	. ₹	<
	ana netaar	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA	Budget HOPWA Actual
		Ð	A	g	A	Ĥ	ğ H K
	HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	[1] Output: Households			seholds	[2] Outpu	ıt: Funding
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	110	90	10	17	413,236.57	\$410,554.65
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities:	110	70	10	17	113,230.37	
	Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	20	24	23	24	\$86,017.41	\$85,424.67
	Transitional/Short-term Facilities:						
	Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	9	10	0	10	¢16 471 55	16 471 55
3a.	(Households Served) Permanent Housing Facilities:	9	19	9	19	\$16,471.55	16,471.55
Ja.	Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year						
	(Households Served)	0	0	0	0	0	0
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities:						
	Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	0					
4.	(Households Served) Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0
		207	180	86	98	164,161.56	\$148,762.32
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services	31	12	0	2.	12,505.30	¢11 275 05
6.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)	31	12	U	2	12,303.30	\$11,275.95
		-2	-33	37	42		
7.	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance						
	(Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e. and f. equal the sum of Rows 1-5)	444	292	7.4	0.4	\$692,392.39	¢672 490 14
	Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)	444	4 292 74 94		\$092,392.39	\$672,489.14	
	processing beverapment (construction and becautasmp of facility based nousing)	[1] Output: Housing Units		[2] Output: Funding			
8.	Facility-based units;						
	Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stewardship Units subject to 3 or 10 year use agreements	0	0				
	Total Housing Developed						
	(Sum of Rows 8 & 9)	0	0			0	0
	Supportive Services		1] Outp	ut Hous	seholds	[2] Outpu	it: Funding
11a.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that also delivered	126	202			\$6,985.36	Φ5 025 25
114	HOPWA housing subsidy assistance Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that only provided	126	292				\$5,835.36
	supportive services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that only provided supportive services.		0			0	
12.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)		0				
	Total Supportive Services		0				
	(Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 11 a. & b. minus Row 12; Columns e. and						
	f. equal the sum of Rows 11a. & 11b.)	126	292			\$6,985.36	\$5,835.36
	Housing Information Services	[1] Output Households		[2] Output: Funding			
14.	Housing Information Services	100	105			204,861.69	\$191,149.22
15.	Total Housing Information Services	100	103			204,001.09	7 20 2/2 10122
15.	2 our 22 ouring intormution but rices	100	105			204,861.69	\$191,149.22
							,

	Grant Administration and Other Activities	[1] Output Households		[2] Output: Funding		
1.6						
16.	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources					
17.	Technical Assistance					
	(if approved in grant agreement)					
18.						
	(maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)				28,418.00	\$28,418.00
19.	Project Sponsor Administration					
	(maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)				57,471.56	\$57,314.93
20						
	(Sum of Rows 16 – 19)				85,889.56	\$85,732.93
	Total Expended					HOPWA Funds
					Budget	Actual
21.	Total Expenditures for program year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)				990,129.00	\$955,206.65

IHCDA Comments: The unexpended funds are being allocated to the 2015-2016 HOPWA year - \$34,922.35

2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

	Supportive Services	[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u>	[2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance		
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services		
3.	Case management	292	\$5,835.36
4.	Child care and other child services		
5.	Education		
6.	Employment assistance and training		
	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved		
7.	Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310		
8.	Legal services		
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)		
10.	Meals/nutritional services		
11.	Mental health services		
12.	Outreach		
13.	Transportation		
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:		
15.	Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)		
16.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)		
17.	TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	292	\$5835.36

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a., enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g., report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a., column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a., column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b. and f., respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b., c., d., e., and f. equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b., c., d., e., f., and g. equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

Н	ousing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)	[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u> Served	[2] Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance	180	\$148,762.32
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY.	9	7,264.26
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.	11	8,717.11
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY.	83	66,831.17
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.	23	18,887.07
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY.	54	43,585.54
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		3,477.17

Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type. In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column[1]. **Note**: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Nu Households that ex HOPWA Program; the Status after Exi	ited this eir Housing	[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes	
			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing	9	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
			3 Private Housing	14		
Tenant-Based Rental	90	62	4 Other HOPWA	0	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
Assistance	90		5 Other Subsidy	1	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
			6 Institution	0		
			7 Jail/Prison	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	3	Onstable Arrangements	
			9 Death	1	Life Event	
			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			2 Temporary Housing	4	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	
			3 Private Housing	2		
Permanent Supportive	24	16	4 Other HOPWA	0	Stable/Down on out Housing (DH)	
Housing Facilities/ Units			5 Other Subsidy	0	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)	
racinues/ Units			6 Institution	0		
			7 Jail/Prison	0		
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	0	Unstable Arrangements	
			9 Death	2	Life Event	

B. Transitional Housing Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Nu Households that ex HOPWA Progran Housing Status after	ited this 1; their	[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	0	Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing	0	Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
Transitional/ Short-Term		0	3 Private Housing	60	
Housing	61		4 Other HOPWA	1	Stable/Domeson and Housing (DH)
Facilities/ Units			5 Other Subsidy	0	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			6 Institution	0	
			7 Jail/Prison	0	Luctable Amone consute
			8 Disconnected/unknown	0	Unstable Arrangements
			9 Death	0	Life Event

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].

In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor or subrecipient's best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.

Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required. At the bottom of the chart:

- In Row 1a., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
- In Row 1b., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

[1] Output: Total number of households	[2] Assessment of Housing Status		[3] HOPW.	A Client Outcomes	
	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)	86			
	Other Private Housing without subsidy				
	(e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)	0	Stable/Perm	anent Housing (PH)	
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	0	State to 1 cm	anen 110 asing (1 11)	
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	8			
180	Institution (e.g. residential and long-term care)	0			
100	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	75	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessnes		
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term				
	(e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)	0			
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement (e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)	8			
	Emergency Shelter/street	0	Unstable Arrangements		
	Jail/Prison	0			
	Disconnected	3			
	Death	0	I	ife Event	
1a. Total number of those h STRMU assistance in the pryears).	47				
	b. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received TRMU assistance in the two prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in three consecutive				

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c. to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d. to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that did <u>NOT</u> provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b. below.

Total Number of Households							
 For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded services: 							
a. Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	300						
b. Case Management	292						
c. Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	300						
d. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a.b. minus Row c.)	292						
 For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded service: 							
a. HOPWA Case Management	0						
b. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients without Housing Subsidy Assistance	0						

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable ongoing housing	184	0	Support for Stable Housing
Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management)	184	0	Access to Support
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan	109	0	Access to Health Care
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	110	0	Access to Health Care
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	175	0	Sources of Income

Chart 1b., Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name
- MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name
- Veterans Affairs Medical Services
- AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)
- State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name
- Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance

Chart 1b., Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- Earned Income
- Veteran's Pension
- Unemployment Insurance
- Pension from Former Job
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
- Child Support
- Social Security Disability Income (SSDI)
- Alimony or other Spousal Support
- Veteran's Disability Payment
- Retirement Income from Social Security
- Worker's Compensation

- General Assistance (GA), or use local program name
- Private Disability Insurance
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
- Other Income Sources

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor/subrecipients or obtained outside this agency.

Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1 For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	11	

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

Permanent	Stable Housing	Temporary Housing	Unstable	Life Event
Housing Subsidy	(# of households	(2)	Arrangements	(9)
Assistance	remaining in program		(1+7+8)	
	plus 3+4+5+6)			
Tenant-Based				
Rental Assistance				
(TBRA)				
Permanent Facility-				
based Housing				
Assistance/Units				
Transitional/Short-				
Term Facility-based				
Housing				
Assistance/Units				
Total Permanent				
HOPWA Housing				
Subsidy Assistance				
Reduced Risk of	Stable/Permanent	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of	Unstable	Life Events
Homelessness:	Housing	Homelessness	Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term	nousing	Homelessiess	Till Tungements	
Assistance				
Short-Term Rent,				
Mortgage, and				
Utility Assistance				
(STRMU)				
Total HOPWA				
Housing Subsidy				
Assistance				

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

- 3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.
- 4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.
- 5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).
- 6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

- 1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).
- 7 = Jail /prison.
- 8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary <u>Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: <u>Stable Housing</u> is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other <u>Temporary Housing</u> is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. <u>Unstable Situations</u> is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements Unstable Situation is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

PART 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Certification of Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

Not Applicable

HUD Grant Number(s)		Operating Year for this report				
		From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy)				
NA		\square Yr 1; \square Yr 2; \square Yr 3; \square Yr 4; \square Yr 5; \square Yr 6;				
VA.		☐ Yr 7; ☐ Yr 8; ☐ Yr 9; ☐ Yr 10;				
Grantee Name		Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy)				
NA						
2. Number of Units and Non-HOPW	A Expenditures					
Facility Name:	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year				
Total Stewardship Units	NA	NA				
(subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)						
3. Details of Project Site						
Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	NA					
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	NA					
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	NA	NA				
s the address of the project site confidential?	Yes, protect information; do n	not list				
	☐ Not confidential; information	can be made available to the public				
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address						
for Persons with AIDS Program has operate	d as a facility to assist HOPWA- ned number of HOPWA-eligible	n, or new construction from the Housing Opportunities eligible persons from the date shown above. I also households at this facility through leveraged resources				
		ided in the accompaniment herewith, is true and accurate.				
Name & Title of Authorized Official of the organization that continues to operate the facility: Signature & Date (mm/dd/yy)						
Name & Title of Contact at Grantee Agency (person who can answer questions about the repo		act Phone (with area code)				

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)

Note: Reporting for this section should include ONLY those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services ONLY).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) <u>low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS</u> who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	292

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b., report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a. above.

	Category	Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	Continuing to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	100
New	Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year	
2.	Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	3
3.	Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher)	5
4.	Transitional housing for homeless persons	0
5.	Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	8
6.	Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	0
7.	Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0
8.	Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0
9.	Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	1
10.	Foster care home or foster care group home	0
11.	Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0
12.	Rented room, apartment, or house	130
13.	House you own	39
14.	Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	12
15.	Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0
16.	Other	2
17.	Don't Know or Refused	0
18.	TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	292

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c., indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b., Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c. do <u>not</u> need to equal the total in Chart b., Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	1	2

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a., report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of <u>Transgender</u>. *Note:* See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of <u>each</u> of the Charts b. & c. on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a., Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total Number
1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.)	292
2. Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	19
3. Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefited from the HOPWA housing subsidy	131
4. TOTAL number of ALL <u>beneficiaries</u> served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1,2, & 3)	442

b. Age and Gender

In Chart b., indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a. directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a., Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a., Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E. equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

	HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1)							
		Α.	В.	C.	D.	E.		
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)		
1.	Under 18	Ø	Ø	0	0	0		
2.	18 to 30 years	21	13	1	0	35		
3.	31 to 50 years	104	54	O	1	159		
4.	51 years and Older	75	22	1	0	98		
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	200	89	2	1	292		
		Al	l Other Beneficia	aries (Chart a, Rows 2	and 3)			
ŀ		Α.	В.	C.	D.	Е.		
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)		
6.	Under 18	46	34	0	Ø	80		
7.	18 to 30 years	14	9	O	0	23		
8.	31 to 50 years	20	7	0	0	27		
9.	51 years and Older	15	5	0	0	20		
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	95	55	0	0	150		
			Total Benefic	ciaries (Chart a, Row 4)			
11.	TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10)	295	144	2	I	442		

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c., indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4. Report the <u>race</u> of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the <u>ethnicity</u> of all HOPWA eligible individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the <u>ethnicity</u> of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

		HOPWA Eligi	ble Individuals	All Other Beneficiaries		
Category		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Rows 2 & 3]	[D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	
2.	Asian	1	0	0	0	
3.	Black/African American	81	1	46	0	
4.	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	1	1	1	
5.	White	207	11	93	9	
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	1	Ø	O	Ø	
7.	Asian & White	0	0	0	0	
8.	Black/African American & White	0	0	8	0	
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	O	Ø	0	0	
10.	Other Multi-Racial	0	0	2	1	
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	292	13	150	11	

Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

Section 3. Households

Household Area Median Income

Report the area median income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il2010/select_Geography_mfi.odn for information on area median income in your community.

	Percentage of Area Median Income	Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	214
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	61
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	17
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	292

^{*}Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor or subrecipient should complete Part 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a., Project Site Information, and 2b., Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. I	1. Project Sponsor/Subrecipient Agency Name (Required)					
A	IDS Ministries/AIDS Assist - PH					

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

11010.	1) units are sea	HOPWA	them as a group and unacr	Name of Facility:
Type of Development this operating year Funds Expended this operating year		Type of Development Expended this operating this operating Type of Development Expended this operating Type of Development Expended (if applicable)		AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist – Main St.
☐ Ne	ew construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check only one box.]
Rehabilitation		\$	\$	□ Permanent housing □ Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing
☐ Ac	equisition	\$	\$	Supportive services only facility
⊠ Op	perating	\$ \$25,528.45	\$23,588	
a.	Purchase/lease of	f property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):
b.	Rehabilitation/C	onstruction Dates:		Date started: Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:			Date residents began to occupy: Not yet occupied
d.	d. Date supportive services began:			Date started: Not yet providing services
e.	Number of units	in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units = 6 Total Units = 6
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		?	☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year
g.	What is the addre	ess of the facility (if differ	ent from business address)?	219-222 S. Williams St., South Bend, IN 46601

h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?	Yes, protect information; do not publish list
		No, can be made available to the public

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a. please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy- Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab	0	0	00	0
Rental units rehabbed	0	0	00	0
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)	0	0	0	0

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor or Subrecipient

<u>Charts 3a., 3b. and 4 are required for each facility</u>. In Charts 3a. and 3b., indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a.	Check one only
\boxtimes	Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
	Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor/subrecipient		Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units					
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling						
b.	Community residence						
c.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units	6	4	2			
d.	Other housing facility Specify:						

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor/subrecipient on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor/subrecipient
a.	Leasing Costs		0
b.	Operating Costs	6	\$49.116.45
c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) Specify:		

e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)							
f.	f. TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a. through d. minus Row e.) 5 49,116.45							
	1. Project Sponsor/Subrecipient Agency Name (Required)							
AJ	AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist – TH							

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year			Name of Facility: AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist – Williams Street
New construction Rehabilitation Acquisition Operating	\$ \$16,471.55	\$ \$ \$ \$22,627	Type of Facility [Check only one box.] ☐ Permanent housing ☐ Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing ☐ Supportive services only facility
. Purchase/lease of . Rehabilitation/Co	rproperty:		Date (mm/dd/yy): Date started: Date Completed:
. Operation dates:			Date residents began to occupy: Not yet occupied
d. Date supportive services began: e. Number of units in the facility:			Date started: ☐ Not yet providing services HOPWA-funded units = 9 Total Units = 9
Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?			☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year [14.616.No.: Fill a comparison of the list at the end of operating year]
g. What is the address of the facility (if different from the different from the		<u> </u>	614-616 Main Elkhart, IN 46516 Wes, protect information; do not publish list No, can be made available to the public

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a. please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy- Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
--	--	----------------------------------	-----------------------

Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab	0	0	00	0
Rental units rehabbed	0	0	00	0
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)	0	0	0	0

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor or Subrecipient

<u>Charts 3a., 3b. and 4 are required for each facility</u>. In Charts 3a. and 3b., indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a.	Check	one	only
-----	-------	-----	------

Perma	anent Su	pportive	Housing	Facility,	/Units
-------	----------	----------	---------	-----------	--------

Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Т	ype of housing facility operated by the	Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units					
	project sponsor/subrecipient	SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling						
b.	Community residence						
c.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units	9	5	4			
d.	Other housing facility Specify:						

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor/subrecipient on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor/subrecipient		
a.	Leasing Costs		0		
b.	Operating Costs	19	\$39,098.55		
c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units				
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) Specify:				
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)				
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a. through d. minus Row e.)	19	\$39,098.55		

1. Project Sponsor/Subrecipient Agency Name (Required)
AIDS Task Force Fort Wayne

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year		HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable)	Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable)	Name of Facility: Jack Ryan House – Aids Task Force of NE Indiana
☐ New construction ☐ Rehabilitation ☐ Acquisition		\$ \$	\$ \$	Type of Facility [Check only one box.] ☐ Permanent housing ☐ Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing ☐ Supportive services only facility
	Purchase/lesse of	\$59,896.22 \$45,000		Data (mm/dd/w): 04/12/1006
a. b.	Purchase/lease o	onstruction Dates:		Date (mm/dd/yy): 04/13/1996 Date started: Date Completed: 11/17/2006
c.	Operation dates:			Date residents began to occupy: Not yet occupied
d.	Date supportive	services began:		Date started: Not yet providing services
e.	Number of units	in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units = 17 Total Units = 17
f.	f. Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?			☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year
g.	What is the addre	ess of the facility (if differ	ent from business address)?	415 E Wayne Street, Fort Wayne, IN 46802
h.	Is the address of	the project site confidenti	al?	

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a. please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy- Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab	0	0	0	0
Rental units rehabbed	0	0	0	0
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)	0	0	0	0

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor or Subrecipient

Charts 3a., 3b. and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a. and 3b., indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a.	Check one only
\boxtimes	Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
	Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor/subrecipient		Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units					
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling						
b.	Community residence						
c.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units	5	11	1			
d.	Other housing facility Specify:						

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor/subrecipient on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor/subrecipient			
a.	Leasing Costs					
b.	Operating Costs	18	59,896.22			
c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units					
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) Specify:					
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)					
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a. through d. minus Row e.)	18	59,896.22			