OPTIONAL COMPETITIVE PREFERENCE PRIORITY (Up to 3				
0 points	1point	2 points	3 points	
Applicant opts not to	Area of focus	Area of focus	Area of focus is clearly defined and <i>all three</i>	
address this element, OR	is indicated,	is clearly	elements fully addressed: (1) Expected targets	
narrative does not focus	but only one of	defined, and	and outcomes are clearly described; (2)	
upon any of the	the three	two of the	Targets/outcomes are supported by qualitative	
designated priority areas	optional	three optional	or quantitative data or specific measurable and	
(Early Childhood,	preference	preference	accessible goals; and (3) Unique populations	
Postsecondary, or Rural)	elements is	elements are	are clearly defined and described	
	fully described	fully described		

#### REQUIRED ELEMENTS

1. CHARTE	R SCHOOL V	ISION and E	EXPECTED OUTCOMES (Up to 6 Points)
0 points	1-2 points	3-5 points	6 points (1 point per element)
No description	Only 1-2 of	At least 3-	All six elements are fully developed and described. (1)
provided or	the required	5 of the	Vision; (2) Need and Communication Plan; (3) Curriculum
cited within	six elements	required	Framework and Key Evidence-based Instructional Practices;
Application;	are fully	six	(4) Specific Strategies Support All Students in
applicant only	described.	elements	Meeting/Exceeding Indiana Academic Standards; (5)
cites pages in		are fully	Development of 21st Century Skills or Preparing Students to
charter	1 point per element	described.	be College & Career Ready; and (6) Sustainability beyond
application	element	1 point per	CSP Grant Funding
		element	

2. EXPERTISE OF CHARTER SCHOOL DEVELOPERS (Up to 6 Points)			
0 points	1-2points	3-4 points	5-6 points
No description	Key personnel	Key personnel are	Key personnel are identified and their strong
provided or	are identified,	identified and solid	qualifications are clearly described and relevant to
cited within	but descriptions	descriptions	the proposed program. Team members appear to
Application;	are vague and	provided showing	exhibit exceptional expertise and the previous
applicant only	qualifications	each individual's	successful experience needed to bring about
cites pages in	not directly	qualifications	academic growth and student achievement.
charter	aligned to	aligned to the	-
application	proposed	proposed program	Applicants that intend to <b>REPLICATE</b> or
	program		<b>EXPAND</b> must also provide data analyses findings
			to be scored within the 5-6 point range.

#### (Up to 9 Points Total) 3. CHARTER SCHOOL GOALS & COMMUNICATION PLAN A. Charter School Goals (up to 7 points for this element, under Part A) 1-2points 3-5 points 6-7 points 0 points Goal descriptions are No No less than three specific, No less than three specific, measurable description partial, vague or measurable goals are goals are clearly described. Academic provided or unclear; or applicant identified. Some goals may outcomes of all students (all grade levels cited within has only identified served) will be addressed. All goals not appear rigorous. Application; appear rigorous, yet attainable. one or two goals; Methods for measuring applicant and/or goals are not success toward goals Applicant specifies who will do what, only cites aligned to proposal described but may be by when, and based upon what pages in priorities (e.g., somewhat unclear. Some measurement. Applicant MUST STEM, Early charter key proposal priorities (e.g., include at least one goal aligned to a application Childhood, etc.) STEM) do not have aligned State Assessment to be scored within goals. the 6-7 point range.

<b>B.</b> Communication Plan (up to 2 points for this element, under Part B)					
0 points	1point	2 points			
Communication	A communication plan is outlined to	A communication plan that has been well thought			
plan regarding	describe school goals to some	out and includes multiple avenues to reach all			
goals not	stakeholders (e.g., to staff and students	stakeholders (staff, students, families) has been			
addressed	but not to families)	articulated with specificity			

#### 4. USE of CSP FUNDING

(Up to 6 Points)

# A. Detailed Budget Narrative and Budget Worksheet Addressing all Expenditures Aligned to the Proposal (up to 4 points, for Part A)

the Proposal (up to 4 po	the Proposal (up to 4 points, for Part A)					
0 points	1point	2-3 points	4 points			
No budget narrative, and	Many budget	Detailed budget	Detailed budget narrative			
detailed budget worksheets	narrative descriptors	narrative	descriptors including pre-award cost			
are not attached to proposal.	are partial, vague or	descriptors are	justifications are provided for			
	unclear. Some costs	provided for most	nearly all line items and are directly			
OR, budget narrative is unclear	have not been	line items and	aligned to anticipated			
and does not align to detailed	described within the	costs are aligned to	initiatives/costs described within the			
budget attached and provides	proposal.	initiatives	proposal narratives.			
very limited or no detail to		described within				
justify proposed expenditures	Several	the proposal	The Planning or Implementation			
including pre-award cost	discrepancies exist	including pre-	budget worksheet totals agree			
justification.	between the	award cost	with the Budget Summary			
	Planning or	justification.	worksheet totals.			
There are many	Implementation					
discrepancies between the	budget worksheet	Most <i>Planning</i> or	Applicant MUST adhere to			
Planning or	totals and the	Implementation	maximum of \$300K in Planning			
<i>Implementation</i> budget	Budget Summary	budget worksheet	year or a maximum of \$900K in			
worksheet totals and the	worksheet totals.	totals agree with	<i>Implementation</i> year to be scored			
Budget Summary worksheet		the <i>Budget</i>	within the 4-point range.			
totals.		Summary				
		worksheet totals.				

#### B. School's Capacity to Continue Implementation & Operation (up to 1 point, for Part B)

0 Points

Explanation of how school will develop and maintain required capacity to continue the program after grant life is either not provided, inappropriate, or not adequately described

1 Point
Explanation of how school will develop and maintain required capacity to continue the program after grant life is clearly articulated and sufficiently described

### C. Costs are Reasonable, Allocable and Necessary (up to 1 point, for Part C)

#### 0 Points

Many costs appear either unreasonable, or unallowable, or unnecessary (as they cannot be directly tied to activities or personnel described within the applicant's proposal narratives)

#### 1 Point

All – or nearly all costs – appear reasonable, allocable and necessary

#### 5. GOVERNANCE PLAN & ADMINISTRATIVE RELATIONSHIPS (Up to 6 Points)

Six Required Elements (A-F each worth one point, for a total up to 6 Points)

- A. All applicants provide description of governance structure of the school. **If the school uses an** EMO/CMO, applicant *also* must describe that partnership and why the EMO/CMO was selected
- B. Description of how school operates (how charter school leaders are empowered to make daily decisions and how school staff work together)
- C. Description of process to select board members and summarize member expectations
- D. Description of governance training for board members, current and prospective

#### SCORING RUBRIC, Cohort 5, Revised November 2020

- E. Description of relationship between the charter school leadership, governing board, or authorizer with the EMO/CMO to ensure no apparent or real conflict of interest involved.

  IF the school does not use an EMO/CMO, scored as one point
- F. Description of how the charter school will ensure timely and accurate data submission for State and federal reporting requirements.

6. STUDENT RECRUITMENT & ADMISSIONS PROCESSES			(Up to 3 Points)
0 points	1point	2 points	3 points
No description	Student recruitment plan	Student recruitment plan	A multi-pronged student
provided or cited	description is partial, vague	is described and evidence	recruitment plan is clearly
within	or unclear. Evidence to	of compliance with IC	articulated and there is solid
Application;	show compliance with IC	20-24-5 is offered but	evidence of compliance with
applicant only	20-24-5 is not offered.	may not be complete. A	IC 20-24-5 presented. An
cites pages in	Public lottery process is	public lottery process is	appropriate public lottery
Charter	poorly described or not	adequately described.	process is clearly described.
Application	present.		

7. NEEDS of	7. NEEDS of EDUCATIONALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS (Up to 6 Points)				
0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5-6 points		
No description	One or two student	Three or four student	All five student groups are		
provided or	groups sufficiently	groups sufficiently	sufficiently addressed by the		
cited within	addressed by applicant.	addressed by applicant.	applicant (generating 5 points); and		
Application;	OR more than two	OR more than three groups	the applicant descriptions are		
applicant only	groups addressed but	addressed but explanation	viewed as exemplary, demonstrating		
cites pages in	explanation of strategies	of strategies does not seem	the school's commitment to		
Charter	does not seem	appropriate or sufficiently	ensuring that special population		
Application	appropriate or	adequate for all groups.	needs are met (generating 6 points).		
	sufficiently adequate.		.= • • • •		

8. COMMUNITY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES					
1point	2 points	3 points			
Evidence of parent,	Evidence of parent, teacher	Clear evidence of the			
teacher and community		involvement of parents,			
involvement in the	in the planning and design of	teachers, and community			
	the charter school is offered	in the planning and design			
	but does not seem fully	of the charter school is			
partial, vague or unclear	explained	presented			
	<b>1point</b> Evidence of parent, teacher and community	1 point Evidence of parent, teacher and community involvement in the planning and design of the charter school is  2 points Evidence of parent, teacher and community involvement in the planning and design of the charter school is offered but does not seem fully			

		•			
9. FISCAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (Up to 6 Points)  A. Internal Controls over Expenditure & Record Maintenance (up to 2 points, for Part A)					
0 Points	1 Point	\ <b>1</b>	2 Points		
No description provide cited within Applicati applicant only cites pa in Charter Application	on; controls over expenditures and reco	ord but	A plan or process for maintaining internal controls over expenditures and record maintenance is clearly articulated		
B. Charter School I	eadership Responsible for Grant Man	agemen	t (up to 2 points, Part B)		
0 Points	1 Point		2 Points		
No description	Grant management process is	Grant management process fully-described			
provided in narrative;	described, but not fully-developed.	for decision-making, budget & tracking			
or applicant only	Charter school leaders mentioned as	arter school leaders mentioned as purchases. Charter school leaders are			
cites pages in Charter	responsible for grant, but EMO/CMO	dem	onstrated to be responsible for all		

Application	explanation not fully-developed (if applicable)		aspects of grant, and not EMO/CMO (if applicable).	
C. Other State & Federal Funds Support School Operations (up to 2 points)				
0 Points		1 Point	2 Points	
No description provided or cited		Minimal/disjointed explanation for	or Solid descriptions for how other State	
within Application; applicant		how State/federal funds will supp	ort and federal funds will support school	
only cites pages in Charter		school operations & student	operations and student achievement	
Application		achievement		

10. FACILITIES	(Up to 3 Points)		
0 points	1point	2 points	3 points
Applicant opts not	One of the three	Two of the three	All <i>three</i> elements are
to address these	anticipated elements is	anticipated elements are	described: (a) how the facility
elements, OR	provided, i.e., (a) safe,	provided, i.e., (a) safe,	is safe, secure and sustainable;
narrative provided	secure & sustainable	secure & sustainable	(b) how enrollment impacts
does not focus upon	facility; or (b) how	facility; and/or (b) how	facility needs; and (c) a
the facility or	enrollment impacts	enrollment impacts	transportation plan that is
transportation plan	facility needs; or (c)	facility needs; and/or (c)	aligned with the needs of the
	transportation plan	transportation plan	school

11. SIGNED CHAR	(Up to 3 Points)		
0 points	1point	2 points	3 points
None of the required	One of the three required	Two of the three required	All three required
signatures have been	signatures submitted, i.e.,	signatures submitted, i.e.,	signatures submitted, i.e.,
obtained and	charter authorizer, or	charter authorizer, and/or	charter authorizer, project
submitted with the	project contact person, or	project contact person,	contact person, and board
proposal	board president	and/or board president	president

## 12. REQUIRED APPENDICES (Up to 8 Points) **Eight Required Appendix Elements** (1 point for each element, items A-H below) A. Charter Application to Authorizer (for new or replication proposals) or Amendment to Existing Charter (for expansion proposal) B. Budget Worksheet C. Most recent Expanded Annual Performance Report (IDOE Compass) NOT APPLICABLE to new charter schools (scored as automatic point).

- D. Proof of Non-Profit Status of governing board, or proof that application for such status has been made
- E. Enrollment or Student Admissions Policy
- F. Agreement/contract between governing body and management organization. NOT APPLICABLE if applicant does not use an EMO or CMO (scored as automatic point).
- G. School's Discipline Policy (promotes retention/reduces overuse of practices that remove students from
- H. School's Safety Plan is attached in the appendix and evidence that it was submitted to the State Board of Education is present. NOT APPLICABLE to new charter schools opening the 2022 - 2023 school year (scored as an automatic point).

13. OVERALL ORGANIZATION of PROPOSAL			(Up to 3 Points)
0 points	1point	2 points	3 points
Information was not	Information requested	Applicant	Applicant's proposal narrative
provided in	was provided, but not	followed requested	clearly presented, following
anticipated	consistently in the	sequence and	prescribed format, making the
sequence; and/or	anticipated sequence.	stayed within page	location of information and
information was	OR applicant exceeded	limitations.	anticipated key elements readily
nearly always	30-page narrative limit.	Generally,	available. Applicant did not exceed
difficult to locate.		information was easily	30-page narrative limit.
		located.	

Summary of All Scored Quality Counts Proposal Elements	<b>Points Possible</b>
Optional Competitive Preference Priority (Up to 3 points)	
1. Charter School Vision & Expected Outcomes	6
2. Expertise of the Charter School Developers	6
3A. Charter School Goals	7
3B. Goals Communication Plan	2
4A. Detailed Budget Narrative & Budget Worksheets	4
4B. School's Capacity to Continue Implementation & Operation	1
4C. Costs are Reasonable, Allocable and Necessary	1
5. School Governance Plan & Administrative Relationships	6
6. Student Recruitment & Admissions Processes	3
7. Needs of Educationally Disadvantaged Students	6
8. Community Outreach Activities	3
9A. Internal Controls Over Expenditures & Record Maintenance	2
9B. Charter School Leadership Responsible for Grant Management	2
9C. Other State & Federal Funds Support School Operations	2
10. Facilities & Transportation	3
11. Signed Charter School Assurances	3
12. Required Appendices	8
13. Overall Organization of Proposal	3
TOTAL POINTS	68