Dogwood Lake (Daviess County) and Hardy Lake (Scott and Jefferson Counties)

Supplemental Crappie Survey

Dates of Survey:

Dogwood Lake: February 28 to March 15, 2017

Hardy Lake: October 31 to December 7, 2017

Assistant Biologist: Andrew Bueltmann

Survey Objectives: Conduct monitoring of Dogwood and Hardy Lake crappie populations following a 2016 implementation of a 9-in minimum length limit.

Methods: Trap nets were used at both lakes; Michigan style nets at Dogwood Lake and both Indiana standard and Michigan style nets at Hardy Lake. Nets were soaked overnight and every 24 hour period was considered a unit of effort (i.e., net lift). Dogwood Lake consisted of 10 Michigan style net lifts; Hardy Lake consisted of 14 Michigan style and 23 Indiana standard net lifts. All Crappie collected were measured to the nearest 0.1 inches (in.), weighed to the nearest 0.01 pounds (lb.), and otoliths were removed from a subsample of Crappie for ageing purposes. Size structure was evaluated using indices provided by Anderson and Neumann (1996) to establish proportional size distributions (PSDs). Relative weights (Wr) were used to evaluate body condition using the standard weight equations provided by Murphy et al (1991). An age length key was constructed, using otolith ages from the subsample, in the Fishery Analysis and Modeling Simulator (FAMS) to assign ages to unaged fish (Slipke and Maceina 2014). Mean length at age was then used to evaluate growth rates. A weighted catch curve was used to estimate total annual mortality (AM) and an average of FAMS estimators was used to estimate conditional natural mortality (cm). Lastly, a comparison between size distribution and catch per unit effort (CPUE) was conducted for Hardy Lake Black Crappie to provide guidance on which gear to use in future surveys. To do so, a two sample Kolmogorov Smirnov test was used to compare size distribution between gear types (i.e., Indiana standard and Michigan style trap nets) and CPUE was anecdotally evaluated based on which gear type had the higher value.

Dogwood Lake Summary: A total of 207 Black Crappie (BLC) was collected and throughout the rest of this summary all information will pertain to Black Crappie since White Crappie (WHC)





were not collected. Lengths ranged from 7.0 in to 12.4 in and weighed a total of 87.48 pounds (Figure 1). Proportional size distribution was 90, PSD-9 was 64, and PSD-10 was 23 which were all higher, except PSD-10, than in 2016 which were: PSD of 77, PSD-9 of 56, and PSD-10 of 30 (Table 1). Body condition was poorest of all years given the following relative weights: Wr-5 was 85, Wr-8 was 88, Wr-10 was 88, and Wr-12 was 82. The following relative weights were from 2016 and 2014: Wr-8 was 104 and 101, Wr-10 was 95 and 101, and 2014 Wr-12 was 97. Ages ranged from 2 to 7 years old (Figure 2) with mean length at ages as follows: age 2 was 8.2, age 3 was 9.6, and age 4 was 10.6 inches. In 2016 mean length at ages were similar: age 2 was 8.1, age 3 was 9.7, and age 4 was 10.3 inches (Table 2). Total annual mortality (AM) was 0.64 and conditional natural mortality (cm) was 0.44. Total annual mortality was higher than previous years: 2016 was 0.56 and 2014 was 0.50 and cm was slightly lower than in 2016 which was 0.46.

Hardy Lake Summary:

Black Crappie: A total of 358 Black Crappie was collected, of which, 288 were collected with Michigan style trap nets and 70 were collected with Indiana standard trap nets. Lengths ranged from 3.7 to 12.0 in and weighed a total of 141.96 pounds (Figure 3). Proportional size distribution was 86, PSD-9 was 75, PSD-10 was 31, and PSD-12 was 1 which were higher than in 2016 and 2014. In 2016 PSD was 59, PSD-9 was 36, and PSD-10 was 7; and in 2015 PSD was 20, PSD-9 was 11, and PSD-10 was 5 (Table 3). Body condition was poorest of all years given the following relative weights: Wr-5 was 88, Wr-8 was 82, Wr-10 was 81, and Wr-12 was 77. The following relative weights were from 2016 and 2015: Wr-8 was 102 and 92, Wr-10 was 95 and 85. Ages ranged from 0 to 4 (Figure 4) with mean length at ages as follows: age 0 was 4.8 in, age 1 was 7.7 in, age 2 was 9.5 in, age 3 was 10.2 in, and age 4 was 11.1 in. All mean length at ages were higher than in 2016, except for age 3: age 0 was 3.9 in, age 1 was 7.6 in, age 2 was 9.2 in, and age 3 was 10.7 in (Table 4). Annual mortality was 0.67 and cm was 0.54. Annual mortality was lower than in 2016 (i.e., 0.75) and cm was higher than in 2016 (i.e., 0.51).

White Crappie: A total of 153 White Crappie was collected, of which, 118 were collected with Michigan style trap nets and 35 were collected with Indiana standard trap nets. Lengths ranged from 5.2 to 12.5 in and weighed a total of 55.12 pounds (Figure 5). Proportional size distribution was 85, PSD-9 was 58, PSD-10 was 33, and PSD-12 was 3. Relative weights were: Wr-5 was



84, Wr-8 was 81, Wr-10 was 81, and Wr-12 was 84. Ages ranged from 1 to 3 years old (Figure 6) with mean length at ages as follows: age 1 was 8.8 in, age 2 was 10.1 in, and age 3 was 11.3 in. Annual mortality was 0.50 and cm was 0.60. In previous years, White Crappie were collected; however, samples were not sufficient to analyze population parameters. As such, this was the first year population parameters were evaluated for White Crappie at Hardy Lake.

Gear Comparison: Results of the Kolmogorov Smirnov indicated the size structure collected was not significantly different between gears (Dstat: 0.097; Critical D: 0.181) (Figure 7). Further, the CPUE is greater for Michigan style trap nets (CPUE: 20.6) than Indiana standard trap nets (CPUE: 3.0). Since size structures do not differ and CPUE is greater for Michigan style trap nets, it is suggested that future efforts use Michigan style trap nets to carry out Crappie surveys on Hardy Lake.

Overall Summary: Both lakes seem to be exhibiting similar growth rates as in previous years given the aforementioned mean length at ages. Further, an increase in PSD values were observed indicating the size structure collected has shifted towards a composition of larger crappie. Such an observation may be indicative of positive effects from the 9 in minimum length limit; however, more data is needed to support such an inference. Although it may be too early to infer the effects on crappie size and growth since the minimum length limit, a decline in body condition for both lakes was observed. Given the variable nature of crappie populations, these observations may have been caused by numerous different factors and as such may not be related to the minimum length limit change. Therefore, monitoring should continue as outlined in Bueltmann (2015) to investigate if numbers of large crappie continue to increase and if the decline in body condition is a trend or just a variable year due to other factors (e.g., prey abundance, habitat, etc.).





Literature Cited

- Anderson, R. O. and R. M. Neumann. 1996. Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 447-481 in B.R. Murphy and D.W. Willis, editor. Fisheries techniques. 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda Maryland.
- Bueltmann, A. T. 2015. Dogwood Lake (Daviess County) and Hardy Lake (Scott and Jefferson Counties) supplemental crappie survey. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Fisheries Section. 17 pp.
- Murphy, B.R., D.W. Willis, and T.A. Springer. 1991. The relative weight index in fisheries management: status and needs. Fisheries, 16.2:30-38.
- Slipke, J. W., and M. J. Maceina. 2014. Fishery Analysis and Modeling Simulator (FAMS). Version 1.64. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Submitted by: Andrew Bueltmann, Assistant Southern Fisheries Research Biologist

Date: January 18, 2018

Approved by: Sandra Clark-Kolaks, Southern Fisheries Research Biologist

Approved by: **L**

Dan Carnahan, South Region Supervisor

Date: August 17, 2018





Year	PSD	PSD-9	PSD-10	PSD-12	Wr-8	Wr-10	Wr-12
2014	60	43	19	3	101	101	97
2016	77	56	30	-	104	95	-
2017	90	64	23	1	88	88	82

Table 1. Dogwood Lake Black Crappie PSDs and relative weights (Wr) from spring samples.

Table 2. Dogwood Lake Black Crappie mean length (in) at ages.

Year	age 2	age 3	age 4
2014	7.8	9.6	10.1
2016	8.1	9.7	10.3
2017	8.2	9.6	10.6

Table 3. Hardy Lake Black Crappie PSDs and relative weights from fall samples.

Year	PSD	PSD-9	PSD-10	PSD-12	Wr-8	Wr-10	Wr-12
2015	20	11	5		92	85	_
2016	59	36	7	-	102	95	-
2017	86	75	31	1	81	82	77

Table 4. Hardy Lake Black Crappie mean length (in) at ages.

age 1	age 2	age 3
6.9	9.5	-
7.6	9.2	10.7
7.7	9.5	10.2
	age 1 6.9 7.6 7.7	age 1age 26.99.57.69.27.79.5







Figure 1. Dogwood Lake length (in) frequency histogram of Black Crappie from 2014, 2016, and 2017 spring samples (n=sample size).







Figure 2. Dogwood Lake age frequency of Black Crappie from 2014, 2016, and 2017 spring samples (A=total annual mortality).







Figure 3. Hardy Lake length (in) frequency histogram of Black Crappie from 2015, 2016, and 2017 fall samples (n=sample size).







Figure 4. Hardy Lake age frequency of Black Crappie from 2015, 2016, and 2017 fall samples (A=total annual mortality).







Figure 5. Hardy Lake length frequency (in) of White Crappie from 2017 fall samples (n=sample size).



Figure 6. Hardy Lake age frequency of White Crappie from 2017 fall samples (A=total annual mortality).





Figure 7. Hardy Lake Black Crappie two sample Kolmogorov Smirnov results with Indiana standard trap nets (standard) and Michigan style trap nets (Michigan).





LAKE SURV	/EY REPORT		Type of Survey	al Sur	vey	Х	Re-Survey	
Lake Name			County			Date	e of survey ((Month, day, year)
Dogwood Lake			Daviess			2/28-3/15, 2017		
Biologist's name						Date	e of approva	l (Month, day, year)
Andy Bueltman	n, Sandy Clark-Kol	aks, Ryan Alrd	ridge				8	3/17/2018
			LOCATION					
Quadrangle Name			Range			Sec	tion	
	Glendale		6	W			1,2,3,	10,11, and 12
Tow nship Name			Nearest Tow n					
	1N & 2N				Мо	ntgo	omery	
			ACCESSIBILIT	Υ				
State ow ned public	access site		Privately ow ned	public	access site		Other acces	s site
	Two boat ramps							
Surface acres	Maximum depth	Average depth	Acre feet		Water level			Extreme fluctuations
1,414	42 mark] 10	14,140			470		Minor
Name		Location	INLETS		Origin		and a state of the	
Mud Creek			T1N, R5W, S6					
Name Mud Creek Water level control		Location Southwest co	OUTLETS					
Concrete drop b	ox with 48 inch val	ve.						
P	00L	ELEVATION	(Feet MSL)		ACRES		1	Bottom type
TOP	OF DAM							Boulder
TOP OF FLOOD	CONTROL POOL							Gravel
TOP OF CONS	ERVATION POOL							X Sand
TOP OF MI	NIMUM POOL							X ^{Muck}
STRE	EAMBED	-						X Clay
		. I	lina					Mari
Watershed use								a
Glendale State I	Fish and Wildlife A	rea, agriculture	, and surface co	oal m	nining.			
Development of sho	preline							
Check station, t	wo concrete boat r	amps, two fishi	ng piers, boat c	JOCK	for rental b	oat	s, boat mo	oring sites and
a 121 campsite	campground area.							
Previous surveys a Fisheries survev	nd investigations in 1968, 1970, 19	73, 1976. and 1	977. Creel sure	eys in	n 1978, 200		2006 and 2	2012. Total renovation
and restocking.	fall of 1978. Fish m	nanagement su	rvey in 1980. 19	981.	1983, 1985	, 19	987, 1989.	1991, 1995, 2001.
2005, and 2012.	Bass sampling 19	991 to 2004, 200	06, 2008, 2010,	and	2012. Cra	opie	sampling	in 2006, 2012,
2014, 2015, and	2016.	,					1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
, _, unu	_ · - ·	······································			·····			





		A States		SAM	PLING EFF	ORT				
ELECTRO	FISHING	Day hours			Night hours			Total ho	urs	
TRAP	TRAP NETS Number of traps					Number of Lifts Total effort				
MICHIGAN -	MICHIGAN TRAP NETS					Number of Lifts Total effort				
ROTE	NONE	Gallons	ppm	Acre I	Feet Treated	SHOREL		Numb	er of 100 Foot S	ine Hauls
		BL				etice				
Color		FT	ITSICAL /		Turbidity	TARACIERI	31103			
Alkalinity (ppm	*	<u> </u>			nH	Feet		Inches (SECCHI DISK)	
	Surface:			PIT	Surface:			Bottom:		
Conductivity:			micromhos		Air temperatu	re:		۴F		
Water chemist	ry GPS coordir	nates:	NI		J					
					D DIODOLV					
DEPTH (FEET)	Degrees (F)	D.O. (ppm)	DEPTH	FEET)	DEGREES (F)	D.O. (ppm)	N (D.O.) DEPTH	I (FEET)	DEGREES (뚜)	D.O. (ppm)
SURFACE	50		36	3			7	2		
2			38	3			7	'4		
4			40)			76			
6			42	2			78			
8			44	ļ			80			
10			46	3			8	2		
12			48	3			8	4		
14			50)			8	6		
16			52	2			8	8		
18			54	l			9	0		
20			56	3			9	2		
22			58	}			9	4		
24			60)			9	6		
26			62	2			9	8		
28			64	•			10	00		
30			66	3						
32			68	3						
34			70)						
Water chem	ietny wae no	tmeasured		(COMMENTS					
Water Temp	: 50°F	measureu	•							
*ppm-parts per	million									





	NUMBER, PERCENTAGE, WEIGHT, AND AGE OF BLACK CRAPPIE										
TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUM BER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH	TOTAL LENGTH (inches)	NUM BER COLLECTED	PERCENT OF FISH COLLECTED	AVERAGE WEIGHT (pounds)	AGE OF FISH		
1.0					19.0						
1.5					19.5						
2.0					20.0						
2.5					20.5						
3.0					21.0						
3.5					21.5						
4.0					22.0						
4.5					22.5						
5.0					23.0						
5.5					23.5						
6.0					24.0						
6.5					24.5						
7.0	7	3.4	0.16	2	25.0						
7.5	14	6.8	0.22	2	25.5						
8.0	32	15.5	0.26	2	26.0						
8.5	22	10.6	0.32	2,3	TOTAL	207					
9.0	38	18.4	0.39	3							
9.5	46	22.2	0.47	3		÷					
10.0	20	9.7	0.57	3,4							
10.5	15	7.2	0.63	3,4,5							
11.0	7	3.4	0.73	4,5,7							
11.5	3	1.4	0.79	3,4,6							
12.0	3	1.4	0.94	5,6,7							
12.5											
13.0											
13.5											
14.0											
14.5											
15.0											
15.5											
16.0											
16.5											
17.0											
17.5											
18.0											
18.5											





BLACK CRAPPE AGE-LENGTH KEY												
Length	Total	Sub-				Age						
group (in)	number	sample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
7.0	7	6		7								
7.5	14	3		14								
8.0	32	6		32								
8.5	22	4		17	5							
9.0	38	6			38							
9.5	46	5			46							
10.0	20	7			9	11						
10.5	15	5			3	3	9					
11.0	7	5				3	3		1			
11.5	3	3				1		2				
12.0	3	3					1	1	1			
Total	207	53	0	70	101	18	13	3	2			

	Black Crappie Age-Length Key Summary											
Age	Number	Mean TL	Var	SE	Lo 95%Cl	Up 95%Cl						
1	-	-	-	-	-	-						
2	70	8.2	0.21	0.05	8.1	8.3						
3	101	9.6	0.17	0.04	9.5	9.7						
4	18	10.6	0.23	0.11	10.3	10.8						
5	13	11.0	0.19	0.12	10.7	11.2						
6	3	11.9	0.08	0.17	11.6	12.3						
7	2	11.7	0.42	0.42	10.8	12.5						





LAKE SURV	LAKE SURVEY REPORT				Type of Survey					
Lake Name	ake Name Hardy Lake					Date of survey	(Month, day, year)			
Hardy Lake			Scott & Je	fferson		10/3	1-12/07, 2017			
Biologist's name						Date of approva	al (Month, day, year)			
Andy Bueltman	n, Sandy Clark-Kol	aks, Rebecca I	Munter, and	Ryan A	ldridge		8/17/2018			
				<u>DN</u>						
Quadrangle Name	- 1 4000 Db-t		Range			Section	10 10 01 05 00			
Deputy, II	na. 1968 Photorevis	sed 1988	Nearest Tow	7E, 8E		13,14,	18,19,24,25,30			
	4N		Hearest row		Г)enutv				
			1			Joputy				
			ACCESSIBI	LITY						
State ow ned public	access site		Privately ow r	ned public	access site	Other acces	ss site			
	Four boat ramps									
Surface acres	Maximum depth	Average depth	Acre feet		Water level		Extreme fluctuations			
741	38 feet	16 feet	11,85	56	6	500	598.5-601.5 feet MSL			
Location of benchin	TEIK									
			INLETS							
Name		Location	rpor of loko		Origin	l rupoff				
QUICK CIEEK		Southeast col			Valeisnet					
				e						
Name		Location	COTLET	.						
Quick Creek		West end of la	ake at princi	pal spill	wav					
Water level control							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
principal spillway	is a concrete tower	with drawdown	tubes prese	nt. Grass	s emergenc	yspillwayis a	t south end of dam			
P	OOL	ELEVATION	(Feet MSL)	A	CRES		Bottom type			
TOP	of DAM	613	3		1,200		Boulder			
TOP OF FLOOD	CONTROL POOL	603	3		870		X Gravel			
		600			7/1		Sand			
TOP OF CONS	ERVATION POOL	000			/4		Muck			
TOP OF MI		5/0)		90					
STRE	EAMBED						X Clay			
							Mari			
Watershed use			· ····							
Watershed cove	rs 12 square miles	(50% agricultu	Iral, 37% for	est, 8%	residential.	5% pasture/	old field			
Development of sho	oreline	. <u> </u>		,						
State-owned car	mpground, beach,	marina, overloo	k area, two	fishing p	iers, and fo	ur boat ramp	S.			
A private campo	round and approxim	mately 24 home	es are locate	ed along	and near th	he norther an	d eastern shoreline			
- price ouripg		natory 24 nonite		a along		is northor an				
Desidence	and have a film of the second									
Fishery surveys	: 1971-1975, 1978,	1990, 1995, 19	998-2000, 20	003, 200	7. Walleye	study: 1983,	1984.			
Angler ereel our										
Anglei cieel sur	veys: 1975, 1977, ·	1978, 1981. 199	99, 2003, 20	07, 201	0. Submers	ed vegatation	n surveys: 2004-2013.			
Striped bass/Hy	veys: 1975, 1977, brid striped bass s	1978, 1981. 199 urveys: 2005, 2	99, 2003, 20 2007, 2009, 2	07, 2010 2013. La	0. Submers argemouth t	ed vegatation bass populati	n surveys: 2004-2013. on estimate: 2010.			





				SAM	PLING EFFO	ORT				
ELECTRO	ELECTROFISHING Day hours Number of traps							Total ho	urs	
TRAP	NETS	Number of traj	os 23		Number of Lif	ts 1		Total eff	ort 23	
MICHIGAN 7	RAP NETS	Number of net	s		Number of Lif	ts	ort			
		Gallons	7	Acro	Feet Treated	2		- Numb	14 er of 100 Eoot S	Saina Haule
ROTE	NONE	Galions	ppin			SHO	INING			
O-lar		PH	YSICAL A	ND CH		IARACTI	ERISTI	CS		
Color					TUPPIOITY	Feet		Inches (SECCHI DISK)	
Alkalinity (ppm)	*				рН					
Conductivity	Surface:	-4.111111111111111111111111111111111111	Bottom		Air tomoratu	Surfa	ace:		Bottom:	
Conductivity.			micromhos		Air temperatu	10.		°F		
Water chemistr	y GPS coordir	nates:	N					w		
		TEM						<u> </u>		
DEPTH (FEET)	Degrees (뚜)	Date	DEPTH (F	EET)	DEGREES (F)	Date		EPTH (FEET)	DEGREES (F)	Date
SURFACE	56	10/30/2017	36					72		
SURFACE	57	11/7/2017	38					74		
SURFACE	52	11/14/2017	40					76		
SURFACE	49	11/20/2017	42					78		
SURFACE	48	11/28/2017	44					80		
SURFACE	48	12/5/2017	46					82		
12			48					84		
14			50					86		
16			52		x			88		
18			54					90		
20			56					92		
22			58					94		
24			60					96		
26			62					98		
28			64					100		
30			66							
32			68							
34			70							
Motor char	lator week	t mossure d'		C	OMMENTS					
vvater cnem	istry was no	n measured.								
*nnm-narte ner	million									
Phin barta her										



	NUMBER, PERCENTAGE, WEIGHT, AND AGE OF BLACK CRAPPIE											
TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF	TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF			
(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH	(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH			
1.0					19.0							
1.5					19.5							
2.0					20.0							
2.5					20.5							
3.0					21.0							
3.5	2	0.6	0.02	0	21.5							
4.0	5	1.4	0.03	0	22.0							
4.5	4	1.1	0.04	0	22.5							
5.0	2	0.6	0.06	0	23.0							
5.5	5	1.4	0.07	0	23.5							
6.0					24.0							
6.5	4	1.1	0.13	1	24.5							
7.0	14	3.9	0.17	1	25.0							
7.5	24	6.7	0.20	1	25.5							
8.0	10	2.8	0.24	1	26.0							
8.5	27	7.5	0.30	1,2	TOTAL	358						
9.0	60	16.8	0.36	2,3								
9.5	92	25.7	0.44	2,3								
10.0	56	15.6	0.50	2,3								
10.5	35	9.8	0.60	3,4								
11.0	14	3.9	0.66	3,4								
11.5	3	0.8	0.75	3,4								
12.0	1	0.3	0.83	4								
12.5												
13.0												
13.5												
14.0												
14.5												
15.0												
15.5												
16.0												
16.5												
17.0												
17.5												
18.0												
18.5												





NUMBER, PERCENTAGE, WEIGHT, AND AGE OF WHITE CRAPPIE									
TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF	TOTAL LENGTH	NUMBER	PERCENT OF FISH	AVERAGE WEIGHT	AGE OF
(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH	(inches)	COLLECTED	COLLECTED	(pounds)	FISH
1.0					19.0				
1.5					19.5				
2.0					20.0				
2.5					20.5				
3.0					21.0				
3.5					21.5				
4.0					22.0				
4.5					22.5				
5.0	3	2.0	0.05	0	23.0		<u> </u>		
5.5	5	3.3	0.06	0	23.5		·····		
6.0					24.0				
6.5					24.5				
7.0	4	2.6	0.15	1	25.0				
7.5	11	7.2	0.20	1	25.5				
8.0	14	9.2	0.22	1	26.0				
8.5	27	17.6	0.25	1,2	TOTAL	153			
9.0	22	14.4	0.31	1					
9.5	16	10.5	0.37	1,2					
10.0	16	10.5	0.44	1,2					
10.5	15	9.8	0.50	2,3					
11.0	10	6.5	0.64	2,3					
11.5	6	3.9	0.78	2,3					
12.0	3	2.0	0.80	2,3					
12.5	1	0.7	0.98	3					
13.0									
13.5									
14.0									
14.5									
15.0									
15.5									
16.0									
16.5									
17.0									
17.5									
18.0									
18.5									
10.5	L				I				l





BLACK CRAPPE AGE-LENGTH KEY								
Length	Total	Sub-			Age			
group (in)	number	sample	0	1	2	3	4	
3.5	2	2	2					
4.0	5	5	5					
4.5	4	5	4					
5.0	2	2	2					
5.5	5	5	5					
6.0								
6.5	4	4		4				
7.0	14	5		14				
7.5	24	6		24				
8.0	10	3		10				
8.5	27	7		4	23			
9.0	60	4			45	15		
9.5	92	7			66	26		
10.0	56	5			22	34		
10.5	35	4				26	9	
11.0	14	5				11	3	
11.5	3	3				1	2	
12.0	1	1					1	
Total	358	73	18	56	156	113	15	

	Black	Crappie	Age-Leng	jth Key S	Summary	
Age	Number	Mean TL	Var	SE	Lo 95%Cl	Up 95%Cl
0	18	4.8	0.51	0.17	4.5	5.2
1	56	7.7	0.25	0.07	7.6	7.8
2	156	9.5	0.21	0.04	9.5	9.6
3	113	10.2	0.37	0.06	10.1	10.3
4	15	11.1	0.24	0.13	10.8	11.3





WHITE CRAPPE AGE-LENGTH KEY								
Length	Total	Sub-		Age				
group (in)	number	sample	0	1	2	3		
5.0	3	3	3					
5.5	5	5	5					
6.0								
6.5								
7.0	4	4		4				
7.5	11	4		11				
8.0	14	6		14				
8.5	27	5		16	11			
9.0	22	3		22				
9.5	16	6		8	8			
10.0	16	4		8	8			
10.5	15	3			5	10		
11.0	10	5			6	4		
11.5	6	5			2	4		
12.0	3	3			1	2		
12.5	1	1				1		
Total	153	57	8	83	41	21		

	White	Crappie	Age-Leng	th Key S	Summary	
Age	Number	Mean TL	Var	SE	Lo 95%Cl	Up 95%Cl
0	8	5.6	0.07	0.09	5.4	5.7
1	83	8.8	0.66	0.09	8.7	9.0
2	41	10.1	1.05	0.16	9.8	10.4
3	21	11.3	0.39	0.14	11.0	11.5



