

Randolph County Council

August 2, 2022

The Randolph County Council met for its regular meeting at 9:00 AM in the Commissioners and Council Room of the Courthouse with the following members present: Council President Gary Friend, Rick Brown, Tom Kerns, Max Holaday, Missy Williams and Beverly Fields. Also present was County Auditor Laura J Martin.

Council President Gary Friend presided.

Pledge of Allegiance

Wendy McDavid – Covid test site funding

Wendy said our test site was given a \$50,000.00 grant to continue running from June until December. We haven't got that grant yet. It's coming. So, we were, we're short on funds, so we were wondering if you could cover it until our money came in so we could pay our staff.

Gary said we do have that bridge with Rainy Day Funds, when your money comes in you pay it back.

Wendy said I'm not sure how long it will be before it gets here.

Laura said no it's fine. We did a resolution the first of the year to be able to bridge any gaps with rainy day.

Gary said we just have to approve this expenditure to that. Someone make a motion to allow that expenditure out of Rainy Day to be paid back.

Max made a motion to approve the request. Bev seconded. 5 Aye votes. 1 Abstain (Rick). Motion carried.

Amy Alka – 4-H budget change

Amy said I'm filling in for Julie today because she is sick. I found out this morning that I needed to come in and share this with you. I know Julie has spoken with Missy and so we just wanted to come in and be transparent about what's going on with contractual services. So, Purdue is requesting a 5% increase in the county contractual services for 2023. And this is a figure that's given to us by Purdue. Julie had put some information into this document for you to take a look at. At the bottom there, it's comparing contractual services from the current year until next year. So that increase we're looking at, we just didn't want you guys to get down to budget time and it be a surprise. That would give you guys some time to think about it, and if we need to adjust some other things, Julie said we could.

Gary said you have to go see the Auditor after this, the office. This has to be put into our budget, which once it's advertised and submitted, there's no change to it.

Amy said okay.

Gary said we're in time, correct.

Laura said yeah just see Danita.

Amy said okay.

Laura said do you have a copy of this.

Amy said yes. So, when I leave here, just go down and do that.

Laura said yeah.

Gary said 5% is not bad, all things considered right now.

Max said how was the turnout for your fair.

Amy said it was great. I think, I mean we ran out of, different groups ran out of food earlier, had to go get more so we had a larger attendance than we had anticipated. But we had some new fun offerings for the community and stuff this year. We think it went really, really well. We were super happy with that. We took projects down Friday and I worked Saturday down there and we all have different responsibilities during the State fair coming up.

Gary said I don't think we need to pass anything. I think you need to get it into your budget for the budget approval. Would that be correct.

Amy said okay, alright.

Gary said I don't see a problem with 5% at all. Anything else.

Amy said no that's all. Just wanted to let you guys know what's going on.

Gary said okay. Mike can you remain until Greg comes up.

Greg Beumer - 4-H Future needs

Greg Beumer said I tell you what, you guys are cranking it today. Members of the council, good morning. Mike and I are here to give you kind of a brief update on our attempts to make corrections and additions to the 4-H fairgrounds. And with that, Mike, I'll turn it over to you, other than to add one last thing. I think everyone is aware that money from the state of Indiana through the READI program has been committed to this project, roughly \$230,000.00. That would require, that could be no more than 20% of the total project cost. We are going to

eventually be looking for funding from the county to help make this happen for improvements to the Best Way building and also construction of a new livestock show arena. So, with that, Mike, what do you have.

Gary said before you start, what's the allowable governmental contribution. Is that still one fourth.

Greg said you can go higher because when, as Ceann explained it to me, was that the portfolio average of all the 9 counties that are participating, there's a limit to that. But if we exceed ours in public funding, that allows another county potentially, who maybe can't raise that much money for their project. So, there is an essence, no limit.

Gary said got it. I understand.

Greg said in that regard.

Mike Reed no we just been working with the contractor to get a new estimate. I mean obviously, numbers have changed since we started this project in 19. And that's been tough. We've been in contact with him on a regular basis, trying to get a hard number of where we're at today. But, those guys are swamped, short on help, and they've been out in the field working too. But, in going back and forth about maybe some small changes as far as that. So unfortunately, we haven't got a quote. I think we're close to getting that number but, probably it's going to be more like a 2 million-dollar project. I think originally it was like 1.2, 1.3, probably looking at more of a 2 million-dollar project for both, both projects. We're concentrating on Husted Hall, the cafeteria, restroom areas, mainly first. It's a flat roof and during the fair we had to take some tables down because of leaks and stuff there, so we want to make sure we concentrate on that get that project started first.

Gary said do you have an idea of how much contribution from the county you'll be looking at.

Greg said we met with the commissioners, Roger Gough and I met with the commissioners yesterday. And we gave them the figure that we don't think the project will, not to exceed \$2 million, and we asked for at least \$600,000 from the county. That would be matched with roughly \$230,000 in READI funds. We understand we would have to raise funds from the private sector, capital campaign. And I do think it's important now that we establish some kind of a commitment from the county. That's going to help us raise the funds, and it's also going to give these contractors a little better feel that we can actually get this money and make it happen.

Gary said yeah you can't do anything on hope.

Greg said yeah for them to take us seriously.

Gary said so \$600,000.00 is on my take, a respectful ask. I don't have a problem getting there. Exactly how we would bill that \$600,000 is for other meetings, but I don't have a problem myself a problem with committing \$600,000.00 to this overall project. Missy.

Missy said I agree.

Bev said I think so too. I agree that sounds like a good plan.

Gary said Rick.

Rick said yeah.

Gary said Max.

Max said yeah, a couple of questions. The show barn, it's got to be close to 70 years old at this point.

Mike Reed said I believe so.

Max said what are you planning on, refresh my memory on what you are.

Mike Reed said well like on the total structure is 70 by 90, that lays north and south. A new structure would be 80 by 200, and lay east and west, and have, that would encompass the outdoor restrooms, bathrooms, concession stand on the west end, and then the other two thirds of that building would be for storage, livestock shows, any type of conferences and things that may want to rent that out.

Gary said is it fair to say the cafeteria is going to be ripped off and start over.

Mike Reed said yes, yeah. From the, from that concrete wall there of the main Husted Hall, from there east would all be removed.

Gary said okay. Max anything else.

Max said thank you. I am in favor of whatever we can do.

Gary said Tom.

Tom said I don't have anything. Definitely support it.

Gary said from the councils' side is we will work with the Commissioner's.

Greg said I was just going to ask. I don't know that we need to formalize it. The commissioners did not make a commitment. So, we will possibly ask them to make, it sounds like, I don't know, for our purposes, it doesn't require a vote. I think you've all said you like the project, you're in favor. We didn't over reach. So, but depending on prices and costs, we're going to go with the numbers we have right now. We're waiting on the commissioner to get their okay, then as well.

Gary said and I suspect that the councils are all talking about the agreement of \$600,000 right now. So, I think that can be fluctuated. But we have to work the commissioners here together that comes out of the energy, the Wind Farm funding, some may be EDIT. But I'm in favor of giving you the money you need to budget for it. And council as well, correct.

Greg said thank you all very much.

Gary said anything else. I was there Sunday when it rained. There was a lot of water.

Mike said yes. Always two things you can count on at the fair. It's going to rain and it's going to be hot.

Ed Thornburg – ARPA funds request

Ed said on the note that I got from yesterday, I didn't get back to functionality until today. The commissioners asked me to come back with two more bids so I guess it may be moot at this point.

Gary said I don't know that the council is even aware.

Ed said making a request for ARPA funding. The South Street bridge, it was replaced five, six years ago, has a water problem that was not addressed during construction. And I was taxed with coming up with the solution that would work on it. And I've come up with a solution which is a new storm inlet, new storm water inlet on the north side, new tile through, not through the bridge, but through the existing seawall beside it. It would involve removing four or five trees that's growing up right there. And putting in a catch basin in the street. And we originally had a price in the low twenties to put this in. The quote, when I had them revise the quote, different estimator, same company, we came in at roughly \$51,000.00. And like I say, with, when it was presented to the commissioners yesterday, they mentioned that they want two more quotes on it. So, I'm going to get two more quotes.

Gary said we're at \$51,000 right now.

Ed said yeah, I have no problem, I'll come up with two more quotes.

Gary said for the council, when do you think this is going to land for us to consider and why ARPA funding.

Ed said ARPA, okay, why ARPA funding, is it, this is a large part of the whole deal here. This is not a legal drain. This is Salt Creek. I have four legal drains that dump in the Salt Creek upstream. But Salt Creek from the Bill Fruit's property line on to town is a private ditch or otherwise known as a common drain. Nobody, nobody taxes it, nobody maintains it. When we've had problems, normally the problems are tree falls either in or out of bridges, the city's been taking care of that on their end. It's just when they were creating legal drains, they never created one here.

Gary said I'm going to go a little deeper on this. I'm not anti but why is this the county's responsibility. South Street is in the city of Winchester right.

Ed said yes but the bridge is a county bridge. The county owns every bridge structure in Randolph County over twenty feet.

Gary said how is the bridge causing the drainage problem.

Ed said the bridge is causing the drainage problem because when they, the old bridge had a storm drain on each side of the street. Both of them were like twenty-inch drains. They replaced with a single eighteen-in drain. Now, my first thought is I see an issue with an engineering firm.

Gary said you saying the eighteen-inch drain won't drain the water and that's the whole problem.

Ed said that is, well that's part of the problem. When they built the bridge, this bridge is raised. If you go down there, it's, you go up over the bridge is a hump. When they did all this and, the water could have run off through the adjacent yard into the stream, except they put in a good tall curb and water actually gets to be over a foot deep before it runs over into the yard, into the ditch. I've, the neighbors have all been kind enough to send videos of their cars under water, all that good stuff. And we tried, we did try to worked together, he found, Chris found a perforated grate for where the storm line crosses through the street. And that catches some of that water, but it doesn't, you know, and this happens three or four times a year normally. You get a good pouring, raining, water's up to the lady's step going up to the sidewalk to her house.

Gary said is that out of, is that unnormal. What was it five years ago before the bridge.

Ed said before the bridge, there was a second catch basin and a twenty-inch drain on that side, and it caught the water and shot it over where it needed to go.

Gary said you never had this problem before the bridge was put in.

Ed said no the problem did not exist to my knowledge until we replaced the bridge.

Gary said and this is all the county's responsibility with the city input at all, because they've got ARPA funds too. Would you have a percentage of weight. What's the county's responsibility, what would be considered the cities.

Ed said honestly, when we replaced the county bridge, we caused the problem.

Gary said okay.

Ed said I would say, I'm not, they're not ready to throw rocks at you guys, but that's the way it was.

Gary said when we replaced it, it caused the problem.

Ed said we caused it.

Gary said alright. The commissioners are recommending this is an ARPA fund expenditure.

Ed said yes.

Gary said so do you expect it to be what, in the high Fifties.

Ed said I expect it to be in the fifty to sixty, I don't think it'll go over sixty. Like I say, when it hit fifty, I'll be honest, I was surprised, because the original, the original bid from the same company was under thirty considerably. But two things happened. One, personnel changes, new estimator. Now this estimator is experienced. He's not a new guy. But different estimators see things a different way. We've only had an upgrade of a couple of things. One thing I did add, instead of another, I went with twenty-four-inch reinforced concrete pipe instead of steel wall plastic. And part of that being that the city may be adding a storm drain order later that will come from the east and catch some of this water before it gets there, they can pull a hole in the side of it, tack into it and not have to tear it, not have to build it over. And I'm trying to do it, trying to do our part so it only has to be done once.

Gary said I understand. Gary, if I could ask you, where's the commissioners on this.

Gary Girton said we are waiting for the other bids.

Gary said I guess the question is, the commissioners are all in on taking care of it.

Gary Girton said well we discussed it in the drainage board, and couldn't see that it was anybody else's problem, because of the issue that Ed mentioned, to our knowledge the issue wasn't there prior to the bridge was replaced.

Gary said okay. Thank you. How much did we pay for that bridge to be non-adequate? If you don't know, that's fine.

Ed said I absolutely don't know. I'm going to say five, six million.

Max said how long is the bid good for.

Ed said the current quote is a fifteen-day shelf life. I've already passed it. Now, these, I work with these guys all the time. They are, only reason they'll raise it is if fuel takes another Uncle Joe hit, if materials does something, basically, we've kind of capped off on the, so far, construction materials seemed to have leveled off for a while.

Gary said okay. Alright well I guess we will, now the council is waiting on the commissioners to approve the new bids. It looks like we would probably be in favor of working with the commissioners on it.

Ed said I got one question for you. Any idea what's the turn around on the ARPA funding. Once you approve a request, do you, when the county gets their part done with it, about what's the turn around on getting that money back.

Laura said it's the same as any other funding.

Gary said any claim.

Laura said you've got to go through the appropriation and everything. So, at least a month usually when it's all done.

Ed said reason I asked was I, somebody asking about that when I was talking about the rebid. I mentioned it to a contractor this morning, he said how soon are we looking at.

Gary said we're not applying for the government to send us funds. We have the funds.

Laura said we just have to go through the normal steps.

Ed said okay we're good, we're good.

Gary said so anybody have anything else for Ed on this. Okay well thank you.

Grace Minkis & Chris Beasley - Resolution 2022-11 Final Economic Revitalization Area Resolution

Gary said so we're all put together, Meeks has informed me we ready to pass the resolution, and be done.

Grace said yeah.

Gary said anybody have any questions for Grace before we consider this resolution.

Max said is your completion date still next year.

Grace said yeah. So, we're planning to mobilize to start construction here in mid-September.

Gary said alright. Somebody like to make a motion for Resolution number 2022-11 Final Economic Revitalization Area Resolution.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA

FINAL ECONOMIC REVITALIZATION AREA RESOLUTION

Resolution No. 2022-11

REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX ABATEMENT

WHEREAS, Riverstart Solar Park III LLC ("**Riverstart**") has received from the County Council of Randolph County, Indiana (the "**Council**") a ten-year real property tax deduction period and a ten-year personal property tax deduction schedule for a proposed solar-powered electric generating facility project of approximately ~~one~~two hundred (1200) megawatts of new generating

capacity, which will include real property redevelopment or rehabilitation and installation of new manufacturing equipment under Resolution No. 2022-09_____ (the “**Project**”);

WHEREAS, Riverstart has advised the Council that it intends to construct the Project in Union Township, as further described in the map attached to Resolution No. 2022-09_____ as Exhibit A (collectively, the “**Development Area**”);

WHEREAS, the Development Area has been designated an economic revitalization area (an “**ERA**”) for purposes of permitting such deductions;

WHEREAS, the property within the ERA, as depicted on Exhibit A, is the real property (the “**Real Property**”) upon which the new manufacturing equipment will be located;

WHEREAS, Riverstart has advised the Council that the Project will involve significant investment in new manufacturing equipment on the Real Property;

WHEREAS, Riverstart submitted to the Council a form SB-1/UD, Statement of Benefits in connection with the Project, and provided all information and documentation necessary for the Council to make an informed decision (the “**Statement**”);

WHEREAS, the Council is authorized under the provisions of I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-1 et seq. to designate areas of the County as an ERA for the purposes described herein;

WHEREAS, the Council has considered the Statement submitted by Riverstart and has conducted a complete and proper investigation of the Real Property and determined that the area qualifies as an ERA under Indiana statutes;

WHEREAS, the Council has considered the following factors under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-17 in connection with the Project:

1. The total amount of Riverstart’s investment in real and personal property under the Project;
2. The number of new full-time equivalent jobs to be created under the Project;
3. The average wage of the new employees under the Project compared to the state minimum wage; and
4. The infrastructure requirements for the taxpayer’s investment under the Project;

(collectively, the “**Deduction Schedule Factors**”); and

WHEREAS, Riverstart and the Council intend and understand that in the event that the Real Property is designated an ERA, pursuant to I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-2(i)(6), any real and personal property tax deductions received by Riverstart would be subject to conditions more particularly described in that certain economic development agreement entered into by Randolph County, Indiana and

Riverstart as of August 1, _____, 2022 (the “**Economic Development Agreement**”).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS FOUND, DETERMINED AND RESOLVED by the Council that:

1. That the estimate of the value of the redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property is reasonable for projects of that nature and the estimate of the cost of the new manufacturing equipment to be installed in connection with the Project is reasonable for projects of that type.

2. That the estimate of the number of individuals who will be employed or whose employment will be retained as a result of the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property and the installation of the new manufacturing equipment can reasonably be expected to result from the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property and the proposed installation of new manufacturing equipment.

3. That the estimate of annual salaries of those individuals who will be employed or whose employment will be retained can reasonably be expected to result from the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property and the proposed installation of new manufacturing equipment.

4. That the number of individual opportunities for employment, both temporary and permanent, and the compensation to be paid to employees, along with the value of the acquisition and construction of improvements as a result of the Project, create benefits of the type and quality anticipated by the Council within the ERA and can reasonably be expected to result from the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property and the proposed installation of new manufacturing equipment.

5. That the benefits described in the Statement can reasonably be expected to result from the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property and the proposed installation of new manufacturing equipment.

6. That the totality of benefits from the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property and the proposed installation of new manufacturing equipment is sufficient to justify an abatement schedule under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-17, including a ten-year real property tax deduction period and a ten-year personal property tax deduction schedule as specified herein.

7. That the Deduction Schedule Factors in connection with the Project justify granting the deduction schedule for both real and personal property under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-17 as specified herein.

8. That the Real Property constitutes an “economic revitalization area”, as defined by I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-1(1).

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the foregoing, the Council further RESOLVES, FINDS AND DETERMINES:

1. That all of the conditions for the designation of the ERA and all of the requirements for the tax deductions to be granted hereby have been met, and the foregoing findings are true and all information required to be submitted has been submitted in proper form.
2. That the Statement submitted by Riverstart is hereby approved.
3. That the Real Property is hereby designated as an ERA pursuant to I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-1 et seq.
4. That all prior actions of the Council as reflected herein are hereby confirmed and Riverstart is entitled to real property tax deductions under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-4 for the proposed redevelopment or rehabilitation of the Real Property as part of the Project for a period of ten years and in accordance with the following abatement schedule under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-17, and personal property tax deductions under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-4.5 for the proposed installation of new manufacturing equipment as part of the Project for a period of ten years and in accordance with the following abatement schedule* under I.C. 6-1.1-12.1-17, (all as in effect on the date hereof):

YEAR OF DEDUCTION	% ABATED
1	100%
2	100%
3	100%
4	100%
5	100%
6	100%
7	100%
8	100%
9	100%
10	100%

* for any aggregate cost of real property improvements and new manufacturing equipment in excess of \$17538 million, Riverstart shall receive deductions in the following amounts, expressed as a portion of the assessed value: (i) first year - 100%; (ii) second year - 90%, (iii) third year - 80%, (iv) fourth year - 70%; (v) fifth year - 60 %; (vi) sixth year - 50%; (vii) seventh year - 40%; (viii) eighth year - 30%; (ix) ninth year - 20%; and (x) tenth year - 10%.

5. That the Council has held a public hearing for the purpose of receiving remonstrances and objections after public notice thereof, all as required by law.
6. That this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage.
7. This Resolution is supplementary to and in addition to any prior resolutions and, to the extent any prior resolutions are inconsistent herewith, they are hereby modified.
8. That, notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, the granting of the tax deductions described herein is conditioned on and subject to the terms in the Economic Development Agreement between Riverstart and Randolph County, effective August 2, 2022.

Max made a motion to approve Resolution 2022-11. Missy seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Other Business:

Report from Commissioners -Gary Girton

Gary Girton said we have dealt with most of the issues. I think some of you know, probably most of you that the communication towers are operating. There's still a number of bugs in the system, particularly with the VHF. Trying to work those out. Have resolved our issues that we had with MPX.

Gary said on the steel.

Gary Girton said steel and so on. And they guarantee the towers and they will, the way I understand, they will check them for us, for up to five years. Not sure about a fee for that, but they will come back and do inspections. So hopefully, that will be much cheaper for us to have that done. The issues that were there, they said are corrected.

Gary said are the commissioners are satisfied with that that this is all corrected.

Gary Girton said as well as we can be without hiring another company to come in and inspect them. They claim that they, although we do not have pictures to document that each of those items were corrected.

Gary said there was some discussion that there was some exaggerations to it. Did they find that to be true?

Gary Girton said the issues were there. It's just a matter of whether you considered it to be, which we didn't consider it to be a structural issue. A lot of it was cosmetic but when it come to cosmetic issues, the metal rusting and so on, it needs to be corrected, or it's going to continue to get worse. And so, if they did not do that, then that's going to be an issue in the future. But the question is, are we satisfied? Somewhat.

Gary said thank you.

Gary Girton said but without spending more money, they gave us their word that they were corrected and they'll stand by them. And so that's the best we could do. So, we released the funding to them, which we were holding. We resolved the other issues that we had with MPX. Pyramid, we still have a number of issues, we're not getting any communication so that'll be something for the future. The other thing we discussed yesterday was intending to get the county to be broadband ready, a broadband ready county. And we're working on that process to get that so we can be classified as such.

Gary said I think, aren't we classified as broadband ready.

Missy said I think we are already. I think we are.

Gary Girton said maybe, because it's our understanding that we have to have certain other things in place to be totally.

Meeks said you have to have, here's the four things. The appointment of a single contact. The establishment of procedures to allow for electronic submissions of all forms, applications and documents. I'm not sure we have that part. The requirement that all permit applications are approved or denied within ten business days. And assurance that all inspections related to broadband are to be completed in a timely and expeditious manner. I'm not sure we have all of those.

Missy said I'm not either, but the governor, lieutenant governor stated that we're broadband ready. I don't know what that means.

Gary Girton said right because.

Meeks said it means you can get some grant money.

Gary Girton said and that's why we're trying to meet those requirements. We think there is paperwork going around that says that we are, but we're trying to meet these requirement.

Gary said there's two types of broadband ready.

Meeks said no I think it's, we just need to implement these. We need to make sure we are.

Gary said the lieutenant governor is talking about we're eligible for granting. I understand. What else.

Gary Girton said that's it.

Gary said anybody have anything for Gary.

Missy said so if, Gary, when they looked at that tower, when it had the, you know, the bolts and all that, so do we have a written document from them stating that it is, and not just their word. I mean, I'd feel a lot better having a document that what they say.

Meeks said we have requested that.

Missy said okay.

Gary Girton said and they said that was not an issue.

Meeks said they said it was not an issue. We might have it.

Laura said I think we have it.

Meeks said I think we have it.

Laura said I think we do. We did a certification type thing, you guys signed and they signed saying, yeah.

Gary Girton said that's right.

Meeks said we have a written certification stating that.

Gary Girton said Gary asked is do we have actual documentation as far as credentials. We're still working with J&K on the communication system to make sure we get it up and going, and everything functioning properly. I know the sheriff says most of his radios are working quite well without any issues, but the fire department because they're on VHF. So that's where we are. Thank you.

Gary said sounds good thank you.

Minutes April 5, 2022

Max made a motion to accept the minutes as presented. Rick seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Forum of Funders Board appointment

Gary said the Funders Forum, I spoke with Dave because he can't be here today. And who else may want to have consideration, what does it entail. Where are the meetings, how many meetings, such, and so forth? Can we get a description of that and then take it to vote next month as people consider whether they want to be the council's appointments or not?

Laura said I'll try to get that information for you.

Gary said I'm not going to be in consideration because I'll be commissioner in January.

APC Attendance report

Gary said APC Attendance report.

Loan Grant form

Gary said Loan Grant form.

Laura said yeah Dave, and we've been tabling it. I don't know if you want to table it again.

Gary said I do because Dave's not here, and he's the creator of it. Anybody have anything to the Loan Grant form before we take it to vote next month.

Salary Ordinance amendment 2022-24

Gary said okay we have two salary ordinances. Amendment 2022-24 is for a line to allow someone to work with Paula when Laura finds one, correct.

Laura said yes. Hopefully, I'll find one before she leaves.

Gary said okay we have Ordinance number 2022-24, an ordinance to amend the salary ordinance, 2021-21 amending Randolph County Auditor's budget. Someone want to make a motion to approve on the first reading by title.

WHEREAS: There have been changes in the 2022 Salary Ordinance with regard to the Randolph County's Auditor Budget. It is necessary to amend the 2022 Salary Ordinance and to add the following salary to the Salary Ordinance effective the paid date of August 12, 2022 as follows:

AUDITOR

TRAINEE DEPUTY/PAYROLL AND BENEFIT ADMINISTRATION

1307.60 PER PAY

IT IS THEREFORE ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF RANDOLPH COUNTY INDIANA THAT:

SECTION 1. Ordinance No. 2021-21, 2022 Salary Ordinance pertaining to Randolph County's AUDITOR BUDGET shall be amended to read as follows:

AUDITOR

TRAINEE DEPUTY/PAYROLL AND BENEFIT ADMINISTRATION

1307.60 PER PAY

Max made a motion to approve Ordinance 2022-24. Bev seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said someone want to make a motion to have the second and third reading.

Max said I move the rules be suspended and have the second and third reading in title only and adopted the same day.

Gary said we have a motion that the rules be suspended and we have adoption the same day.

Rick seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Max said I move to adopt salary ordinance number 2022-24.

Rick seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Salary Ordinance amendment 2022-25

Gary said Salary Ordinance 2022-25. This salary ordinance here is from change we made in the building commission and area planning approved last month. So, it's an ordinance amending the salary ordinance number 2021-21, area planning commissioner executive director's pay, area planning commissioner's part-time secretary, building commissioner, building commissioner's pay. Somebody like to make a motion to approve this ordinance on the first reading by title.

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-25
AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SALARY ORDINANCE NO. 2021-21

WHEREAS: There have been changes in the 2022 Salary Ordinance with regard to multiple budgets. It is necessary to amend the 2022 Salary Ordinance and to change the following salaries to the Salary Ordinance effective the paid date of August 12, 2022 as follows:

AREA PLANNING COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1320.90 PER PAY

AREA PLANNING COMMISSION

PART TIME SECRETARY

14.00 PER HOUR

BUILDING COMMISSION

BUILDING COMMISSIONER

1489.60

IT IS THEREFORE ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF RANDOLPH COUNTY INDIANA THAT:

SECTION 1. Ordinance No. 2021-21, 2022 Salary Ordinance pertaining to multiple Randolph County's budgets shall be amended to read as follows:

AREA PLANNING COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1320.90 PER PAY

AREA PLANNING COMMISSION

PART TIME SECRETARY

14.00 PER HOUR

BUILDING COMMISSION

BUILDING COMMISSIONER

1489.60

Tom made a motion to approve Ordinance 2022-25. Bev seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Max said I move the rules be suspended, have second and third reading in title only and adopt on the same day.

Bev seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said somebody want to make a motion to adopt ordinance 2022-25.

Rick made a motion to adopt Ordinance 2022-25. Missy seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Additional Appropriations:
Ridgeville Public Library

Rainy Day \$1,600.00

Gary said Additional Appropriations, Ridgeville Public Library, \$1,600.00 out of Rainy-Day fund. They have to bring that to council. Somebody like to make a motion to approve that initial appropriation.

Rick made a motion to approve. Max seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Highway

Wheel tax \$500,000.00

Gary said Mike you want to come up here in case someone wants to ask you questions on these.

Wheel tax for \$500,000.00.

Mike Haffner said yes basically, this would be for road projects. When Mr. Copeland came on board we allotted \$500,000 and moved it into bridges, but we can't use wheel tax for bridge stuff, so we spent other funds, and used other funds to support that project. So, we're moving this to road projects. Again, just like the culverts and the stone, it's professional service. A lot of that is dealing with these small structures. We've got nine of them that we've already purchased. We've got to be able to install them and backfill them and stuff. Professional service line, we kind of, we want to start paying to outside vendors, specialists, we can't install every one, because some are just too big for us to handle. So, we're using professional service.

Gary said for culverts.

Mike Haffner said to install like if we hired Cobalt Civil on our annual bid, instead of paying them out of wheel tax, there's a professional installer.

Gary said oh I guess I thought that would be considered contractual services. Professional services usually relates to engineering, legal, things like that.

Mike Haffner said well according to Jessica Olsen's state board's account, they want us to start running more stuff through professional service, like when in the wintertime when we have a wrecker come in or if we hire like our boom lift truck, if we hire professionals to certify, they see all that as professionals outside services.

Gary said not contract.

Mike Haffner said not contract.

Gary said okay it's

Mike Haffner said so we're having to dump more into that line because that's what they're leading us to do. The bridge tech, the sign tech, originally that was set up to pay everything because it's a restricted qualifying event. But then some people plow snow and do other odds and end jobs that can't be paid out of 73. So, they have to be paid out of 76. So, a lot of the social security, perf, we're just trying to follow the new state board guidelines and readjust it in how we pay, and Paula and Danita and Jessica has all got out plan together to make sure.

Gary said is there some talk that snow plowing is going to be allowed.

Mike Haffner said it was in the future, it very well could be. It went through the legislation body but it didn't get on the final approval. But road patching and pothole repair, that used to be only 76, and they included that in 73. So they're getting to be more and more things they will allow, and that helps us. And last but not least, on the back page, we took some hits on signage that wasn't part of our budget. We've had all of our posted bridges. We now have to post them and you'll see here in the next few weeks, similar to Ohio that a single axle is allowed this and a double axle is allowed this. And you know, that's a federal mandate that we've had to order a bunch of new signage and stuff like that.

Gary said okay.

Mike Haffner said okay no problem.

Gary said okay on the, the first one here is the \$500,000.00.

Max made a motion to approve. Rick seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Highway

Social Security	\$15,000.00
Perf	\$25,000.00
Group Ins	\$70,000.00
Medicare	\$4,000.00
Culvert	\$110,000.00
Stone	\$50,000.00
Professional Services	\$150,000.00

Gary said and this one here is the Highway Department. Social Security, Perf, Group Ins, Medicare, Culvert, Stone and Professional Services. Someone want to make a motion to approve these additional appropriations.

Tom made a motion to approve. Max seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Highway

Bridge tech	\$21,000.00
Sign tech	\$13,000.00
Liability	\$21,000.00

Gary said for the Highway, this is for Bridge tech, Sign tech and Liability. Someone make a motion to approve.

Bev made a motion to approve. Missy seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

<u>Transfer:</u>	<u>From:</u>	<u>To:</u>
\$25,000.00	Hwy Road equipment	Road signs

Gary said and the end we have a transfer here. While Mike's up here, this is the transfer from the Highway Road equipment and the Road signs. Someone make a motion to approve.

Rick made a motion to approve. Bev seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said anyone have anything for Mike? Okay have a nice day.

General – Commissioner's budget

Employment separation	\$30,000.00
-----------------------	-------------

Gary said additional appropriation out of General for employ separation. We have two separating. This is to cover any other expenses. Someone make a motion to approve.

Rick made a motion to approve. Missy seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

EDIT

Forum of Funders	\$10,000.00
------------------	-------------

Gary said this is the appropriation for the Funders Forum we approved last month. Someone like to make a motion to approve.

Rick made a motion to approve. Bev seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said sheriff, anything.

Art said I don't have anything today.

Citizen Comments (3-minute limit)

None

Adjournment

Gary said someone want to make a motion to adjourn.

Rick made a motion to adjourn. Max seconded. All aye votes. Meeting adjourned.

Reviewed and accepted this 9 day of November, 2022.

RANDOLPH COUNTY COUNCIL

[Signature]

[Signature]

Beverly Fields

[Signature]

[Signature]

David Bentensdofer

[Signature]

ATTESTED: [Signature]
Laura J Martin, Auditor