

Randolph County Commissioners

March 21, 2022

The Randolph County Commissioners met at their regular meeting at 9:00AM in the Commissioners and Council Room in the Courthouse with the following members present: Board President Michael Wickersham, Tom Chalfant and Gary Girton. Also present was Randolph County Auditor Laura J Martin, Sheriff Art Moystner and Attorney Tom Cockerill.

Michael Wickersham, President presided over the meeting.

Pledge of Allegiance

Missy Williams – Randolph County 3rd grade History field trip

Missy said I just wanted to update you and seek your approval to use the courthouse interior and the courthouse grounds for the third-grade field trip. There will be 309 third grade students as the last count that was taken. The groups that will be working together on that will be Winchester Main Street, The Randolph County Historical Museum and also The Community Foundation, is going to be a partner. That's not financial partners because we had funding left over from last year but we do have some people who want to contribute to that fund. That fund will be held at the Randolph County Historic Museum and they will be paying the bills. They do a great job in having the kids come through that museum. We will set up about 8:00 am and should be cleared out by about 1:30 pm.

Mike asked that is when?

Missy said it is the first Friday May 6th and we would invite you to come. I know Laura always comes out and helps out.

Mike said basically the programming will be the same as it's been the past few years?

Missy said we had a guest speaker the last couple years but we're going to use the third grader's who initiated collecting pennies for the court house clock tower, so we're going to have them do a little speaking. They've got somebody to lead them, their seniors in high school now.

Gary made a motion to approve the request to use the Courthouse and Courthouse lawn on May 6th from 8:00 am to 1:30 pm for the 3rd grade history field trip as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Randy Abel - Ordinance 2022-06 Amend Text of the Unified Zoning Ordinance

Randy said the Area Planning Commission has made a recommendation to change the sign ordinance. We've had a lot of variances and issue with the current sign ordinance as far as it relates to sign size. I think it was 10 square feet and it was obviously not something the county wanted. I did some research for them and went back to the Area Planning Commission and what

they decided on as the best way to advance this is would be to have signs be proportional to the visage of the building. Rather than just saying you can have a 300 square foot sign, it was thought to be more correct if you did proportional way. So, we went through and we did a lot of research on this. We had an excel file for the last, I don't know how many years it was on signs we've issued permits on and everything. We told the person pick the signs out that are in your area, go check them out, see if you think the signs are appropriately sized and check the formula's out that we were proposing and see if those formula's fit the signs. For the most part they all did. I read in some other ones that you got bonuses if you were further away from the road or if the speed limit past your business was a higher speed limit. So, we did put bonuses in and that was just for wall signs. Obviously, the pole signs are going to be sitting out by the roads anyway.

Mike said there were regulations for the pole signs that was changed as well?

Randy said the pole signs basically went to a formula also. The last one did not allow signs, two signs on a through lot, but the one ordinance we had back in 1999 did allow for a corner lot but not a through lot. What we ended up doing is allowing additional pole signs for a through lot, if you have a through lot with 50 feet of additional on the back side of a lot that faced the road, it had to be 150-foot-wide in order to qualify which most do, you could put an additional pole sign at the back of that property. The current one we have now only allowed one sign. It was based on street front, if you had a real small building and a big street frontage, you could have 300 square feet sign. So, that's why we decided to base it on the actual façade of the building.

Mike said I'm looking at a free-standing sign, a maximum height of 15 feet. That is a change isn't it?

Randy said no, that is the same as our current ordinance is.

Mike said I thought we had a 25-foot height.

Randy said that was in 1999. Our current one is 15 feet.

Mike said my understanding, I guess I thought that shrunk.

Randy said what we done with the ACLU on our tail is the current one that we have.

Mike said so the Pak-A-Sak sign is in violation of our ordinance?

Randy said I'm not sure which one that is.

Mike said the one on Washington Street, right down here that's well over 15 feet.

Randy said there's a bunch of them over 15 feet. Not too many that we issued in the last several years.

Mike said what about Casey's?

Randy said they applied right before the ACLU sign ordinance was passed.

Mike asked did they get an exception then?

Randy said they got a 25-foot sign.

Mike said if the standard was 15, how did they get 25?

Randy said they applied just before the new ordinance was passed.

Mike said so we changed that to 15 when?

Randy said I think it was in 2019, March of 2019.

Mike asked did anything else change in there, so if I redo my sign, am I grandfathered in?

Randy said technically if signs are changed, they're to be brought back into conformance. Otherwise you've got people, there's never been a variance given for a sign height. I guess the way the APC was looking at it was, how's it fair for you to be now required to put a 15-foot sign in and your neighbor is always going to have a 25-foot sign. In order to even the playing field between businesses, conformance is then brought back into the 15-foot limit upon changing of the sign.

Mike asked what does change mean?

Randy said most of it is 50% is one definition given and basically the sign face would be 50% of the sign.

Mike said so if Pak-A-Sak goes from Marathon to Phillip 66, is that a change?

Randy said yes.

Mike said did they seek a variance to do that?

Randy said we have very few people coming in and getting sign variances from us and that's been a problem. I mean if your going try to bring everybody into conformance, that's something that needs to be done.

Mike said I think that is over protection. Is going from a white light to a blue light, is that a change that requires me to come in to get permission to do that?

Randy said I don't think so, I think what we were looking at is how do you come back to conformance. It says in there if their signs damaged at 50%. So, the pole on a pole sign, those aren't ever damaged. The only thing that's ever damaged is the face of the sign. The poles last forever. So, there's really no option to come back to conformance if your saying a change of a

sign. That's the time to change if you've got the expense of putting a new face on the sign. I'm guessing you add another \$1,000.00 you can get a smaller sign, that's cheaper anyway and you can cut down the pole. If you were looking to go back to conformance, if you're not then the ordinance needs to reflect that and just go to whatever height standards you want.

Mike said or you can come in and get permission to do that?

Randy said you can try to come in and get permission to do that. The point is where do you, it's got to be even for everybody is what we were kind of looking at. The comment was made if your putting a roof on your house, guess what, you have to tear off two layers and put it on, you're not allowed, and you may have to resheet. So, you have to come back to the code when you start changing things, if you change a roof you have to come back to the standard, you've got to put drip edge on, you've got to put your paper on right, everything has got to be brought back to standards.

Tom said you say back to standard but to them it's not bringing it back it is bringing it to a new standard.

Randy said bringing it up to the new standard, that's correct. It's not just in the zoning ordinance that this is done, it's in all codes.

Mike said so, if Kentucky Fried Chicken wants to move in where Burger King is, they only get a 15-foot sign, which can't be seen from the highway. Which gives the advantage to McDonalds and Arby's and the quick chicken place at Pak-A-Sak because they all have 25-foot signs.

Randy said and eventually on the days that they replace the face of their sign, everybody will be at 15.

Mike said only if we go out and enforce that.

Randy said yes.

Mike said it hasn't been done at Pak-A-Sak. Pak-A-Sak changed the face of their sign from Marathon to Phillip 66 and it's probably going to happen again.

Randy said the point is, you could go enforce that. If somebody comes and signs a complaint and notifies us of that, we send them a letter.

Tom asked who would enforce that?

Randy said the Area Planning Commission would, just like we enforced other zoning type ordinances. Usually most of those are solved by a letter. Every once in a while, you get an individual that doesn't want to comply. I try to explain to them if you don't comply and we send it to Jason, you might be looking at fines, you might be looking at attorney fees. So, usually a simple letter rectifies the problem. Like I said, we have to be notified. We can't go out, I don't run the roads daily looking for problems.

Mike said I'm not going to complain because a 25-foot sign at Pak-A-Sak doesn't bother me, I think it's fitting for that. I think it's fitting for McDonalds, Arby's, Burger King or Kentucky Fried Chicken. I think a sign of 25-foot around the square of downtown Winchester might be a negative. But, on a state highway that's in a commercial district, I'm not sure I agree with. I'm remiss for not having this conversation when we approved the sign ordinance the first time. I guess I was under the impression and having talked to someone on the Area Planning Commission, we discussed this and I thought it had changed this time.

Randy said the point we try to make in the meetings that whatever's passed goes for everybody. You've got to look at some of our zoning and it's just not real consistent. We have houses that are not consistent with what a zoning ordinance should be. So, we really got to be careful of what we allow in certain zoning districts because there are houses that are in C1, 2, 3's and all that and you start allowing signs to go next to residential sometimes you have a problem. Some of what we been trying to put into the ordinance as far as construction, we try to do residential use and started changing some of the ordinance to include the word use rather than strictly zoning. Hopefully that will correct some of those issues. Like I said I just did the research, I let the Area Planning Commission decide on what details they want in there. I'm not saying one's any better than the other, I'm not saying 25 foot is not good, I'm not saying 15 foot isn't good. My personal opinion I think it ought to be an even playing field and a way to obtain an even playing field.

Gary asked have you had any contact with Union City Council on the signs that are lit or changing.

Randy said the Mayor was in the office the other day, I did talk to him. I don't think he understood the totality of what the ordinance said on that. I said you realize animated signs haven't been allowed even back in the 90's, those have been prohibited. So, Union City did not get a building permit or a sign permit or we would have told them those signs weren't permitted. We've had several issues with those types of signs and the ones that we have permitted we set specific standards in there saying that you will not animate them and have flashing lights that, I think the state code, most of the ordinances I see the very minimum will say nothing that you can mistake for a traffic light or an emergency vehicle. So, they try to do away with the flashing on those signs. In the variances that we've got, to allow those electronic messaging centers, we try to limit the animation and the flashing. We've done that in a couple of cases and I'm not sure how successful that is because I still see the flashing going on. I think they need to be sent a letter. Basically, after we decide on this ordinance and what we think's reasonable for everybody, I think just a few letters to be sent out to those electronic message centers, you can program those, it's not like you have to replace the sign all you have to do is reprogram it, it's pretty simple. I think Union City's worry about there sign has improved in the ordinance and that is not the case at all. We do have limitations in this ordinance, the amendment eliminating the illumination and the intensity of the illumination. I think that was one of the things the people are most concerned about. Some of those electronic message centers are really bright at night and so the standards we put in here were taken out of a sign association recommendation. I assume those are pretty consistent with what a sign company would approve of, since the association is the one that made those recommendations.

Mike said what about the speed of the message, is the speed of the message regulated in this ordinance?

Randy said yes, the ten seconds was put in there. I've seen it anywhere from you can't change them for a whole day all the way down to 8 seconds. Ours is ten seconds. What I told everybody to do and I don't know how many people actually did it. I know a few of them did, I said get in their car and drive by your electronic message center and see if you can read your message and the ones that flip within, there are some that flip every five seconds and three seconds, you can't read them. If your driving down the road, the first time you can actually read the sign it's about 7 to 10 seconds and your past the sign. So, if your message is flipping rapidly, your probably not going to be able to read the message at all. If it's shorter than 10 seconds, there's a chance you're not even going to be able to read the message. In looking at other ordinances throughout the state, I see very few that are any quicker than that. I think again it's just trying to get away from the flashing, what looks like to be flashing.

Mike said are we the first representative body to look at this?

Randy said no, I think Union City did.

Mike asked did they pass it?

Randy said they did not because of the animated signs. They were worried about having their animated sign for the city being ruled out. I did talk to the Mayor and I said I'll come back and talk to you again but I think they were worried about that and they didn't fully understand the concept there. Electronic messaging centers are allowed, it's just the animation I think they were trying to get away from and the brightness of it.

Mike asked are there any other questions for Randy? Tom you're on the Area Planning Commission. Are you in favor of this?

Tom said to bring it out of committee, but I didn't like the sign ordinance the first time we looked at it.

Mike said evidently, I don't like the existing one.

Randy said this is far better than the existing one.

Mike said it is.

Randy said my point is, if we want to keep improving it, that's something we can do as we go along.

Mike said I could see an area where a need for a 15-foot sign limit might be required but I can also see an area where a 25-foot sign would be appropriate. For me right now, I can't think of anywhere in the county where that becomes an argument. This ordinance from my respect

probably doesn't affect, I'm looking at basically Winchester, Union City, Farmland and Parker. Which their legislative bodies will have opportunities to review these.

Randy said amendments can be proposed in the future too. To change this. We're just trying to get this done.

Mike said I think size wise this is a step forward.

Tom said it's still very complicated, the speed limit, if you change the speed limit somewhere then your signs thrown out of compliance. I would just like something simpler that people could understand rather than having to hire council or someone else to explain it to them.

Randy said we did put an example on this, if you look on the sheet, it gives an example on how to figure it. That should assist most people. I don't think the people that are actually selling the signs, I think they deal with this all the time.

Mike said if you take Lynn for example, the speed limit was 55 mph south of Lynn and it became 30 mph pretty quickly, that would affect this example quite a bit, so you would be out of compliance.

Tom said another question is, do we have the enforcement capability now or are we going to have to increase staff to be able to enforce these regulations.

Mike said I don't see that happening, I'll ask Randy, do you see this creating more issues with enforcement than the previous version?

Randy said currently the stance we take is that unless somebody comes in and signs a complaint, we don't enforce. I could drive around and see dozens and dozens a day of violations but that's not what we're really about. If you did that you'd have to go back to 4 or 5 people in there every day. Like I said most of the issues that we've had, we've been able to send a letter out to correct it. There are a few that want to be obstinate and so forth.

Mike asked is there any further discussion.

ORDINANCE NO. 2022-06
AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE TEXT OF THE UNIFIED ZONING
ORDINANCE OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA

WHEREAS, the Area Planning Commission of Randolph County, Indiana, has recommended that the text of the Unified Zoning Ordinance of Randolph County, be amended;

WHEREAS, the Area Planning Commission of Randolph County, Indiana held, pursuant to notice, a public hearing in the Commissioner's Room of the Randolph Center for Family Opportunity, Winchester, Indiana on February 23, 2022 on the proposal to amend the text of the Unified Zoning Ordinance and has, by vote, made a Favorable Recommendation to amend the text of the Unified Zoning Ordinance of Randolph County, Indiana in a manner consistent with Proposed Amendment 2022-1-A which is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMISSIONERS OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA that the text of the Unified Zoning Ordinance of Randolph County, Indiana be amended in a manner consistent with Proposed Amendment 2022-1-A which is specifically set out in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto, incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this Ordinance.

Gary made a motion to adopt Ordinance 2022-06 An Ordinance to Amend the Text of the Unified Zoning Ordinance of Randolph County Indiana in title only after the first reading. Mike seconded. 2 aye votes. One nay vote (Tom Chalfant). Motion carried.

Duane Petry - Farmland EMS Service

Duane said I talked to the Fire Chief about building a bay on the east side of the fire department and he said that wasn't possible because they've got a building just north and east. I did talk to him and he mentioned that we could possibly put the ambulance in that red barn and then the crew could still stay in the fire department, they'd just have to go a little further to get to the

ambulance. At least it will be in out of the weather and maybe that would get us down the road a little further until next fall anyway as far as keeping it in Farmland.

Mike asked do you think that's doable?

Duane said yes, as long their Council agrees with it, \$500.00 may be a little high to pay them since we're not using any heat or anything. I think that was the big thing on that, but that is up to them and you guys to decide on a price.

Mike asked is the barn easy to get in and out of.

Duane said yes, it's got a sliding door, we just have to slide that open.

Tom said would you want to keep the doors locked, if they're not there or do you feel comfortable they can watch it.

Duane said I think it's all right but we will probably go back to bringing it back to Winchester at night.

Mike said as you say that will gain us some more time to maybe find a better solution.

Tom said I have a person that would maybe try to remodel or build onto the car wash and possibly put a site there for us and have a long-term lease.

Duane said Parker Fire Department sent me an email again and asked me how we were getting along and they said they are still willing to put us over there, add on and remodel or whatever but being in Parker, the response, we got to cover east and everything, Parker is still a last resort.

Mike said you don't gain anything.

Duane said right.

Mike said if you think the red barn would work going forward, I would take your recommendation and temporarily move forward with that. At least see what Council wants to do. If we have to pay \$500.00 a month to do that, it's still less than what we were paying Culberson, although we had more expenses with covering ourselves.

Gary said I agree. Personally, after talking with Duane and looking at the situation, I think Farmland is the place to be. I think we need to pursue that area, if we can get by through the summer. We need to come up with a plan of something that will be available for us, probably sometime in October.

Jake Donham – Mowing quote

Mike said we have a quote here to mow Camp Slingshot, the price for mowing is \$315.00 and that's everything that's basically been mowed out there.

Jake said it's approximately 7 ½ to 8 acres. Like Mike said that's everything that was previously mowed last year the best we can tell. It's kind of a grey area between the old infirmary shooting range and who's actually doing all the mowing, road front around that area. If we get going and something is not getting mowed we will adjust accordingly. That would be from the highway all the way back and around everything. In that quote, he put in there an estimate for spraying, he said probably a couple times a year for gravel areas, around all the buildings, the mulch beds and stuff like that, his idea is to spry them at least twice a year otherwise the vegetation is going to overtake that gravel area pretty quick. He put that as an estimate at the bottom of that quote as well.

Mike said it's getting to be that time of year.

Jake said I think that was why I was having trouble getting somebody. I had four different companies look at it and two out of the four looked at it but said they didn't have room in their schedule to fit it in after they seen how large it was and the other guy never got back with me. Shoestring got with me and went ahead and put a quote together. That's going to be getting green pretty quick.

Gary said there's one section of that, I've not been back there in a long time. Is there any section of that that we cannot mow part of the time and mow it with a bush hog?

Tom said I would think all that by the tower doesn't need to be mowed, maybe once a month or something with a bush hog or even the access road, just like a farm side ditch just mow it once a month or twice a summer or something would be adequate for that. It's not going to be open to the public. Cosmetically I don't think it's that important to looking like it always has been if it's not going to be used by the public.

Mike said if it can be mowed once a month, obviously that could save us a lot of money but I'm not sure, can you get a bush hog in all those places.

Gary said that's what I'm asking, I don't know.

Tom said not around the buildings, around the buildings you would have to use a regular finish mower but the other places I would think you could use bush hogs.

Mike asked Jake do you want to go back and talk to them.

Jake said I will just call all four of them again and tell them to rebid it. Tell them we're looking at something different.

Gary said you could say something to Shoestring.

Jake said I would want to be fair to all of them. If they're going to rebid it, it's going to be different. I think it's fair to ask all four of them. I'll just call and explain the direction we've got, if they want to bid fine, if not I'll see what they want to do. That's no problem, pretty much

around the buildings we'll just do regular mowing and then anything we can bush hog, bush hog?

Mike said I think that's the direction.

Gary said around the buildings will have to be mowed at least every ten days, maybe more.

Jake said yes, that's the smallest portion of it.

Gary said right, that's a call they would have to make.

Mike said there are places around where the tower is that you wouldn't be able to get a bush hog in very easily.

Gary said you would just do that along with around the buildings.

Jake said I will probably just tell the guys to look at it again and tell me what they can mow with the regular mower and what they can mow with the bush hog and let them make that decision because they got the equipment and know what they can and can't do and how to do it efficiently. I will just have them rebid it and see where we go, see if anyone is interested in it. That's not a problem.

Mike asked what else do you have for us?

Jake said I am probably going to contact Luther Mock or someone with Foursquare Solutions or someone. Our Community Corrections building roof is starting to get where it is going to need a really hard look at replacement. Over the winter we had a lot of screw pops which is the underlayment underneath the rubber starting to push through. I patched one hole already and there are several others that once it gets dried out and hot enough, I'm going to try and get up there and get those taken care of before they start popping more and we get a roof leak. Some of the seams are starting to lay open. Unless you are wanting to do something different, we're going to have to do a roof on that this year or next, just guessing. That being said there's a lot of HVAC equipment that's on top of that roof that's probably going to need a hard look at too because of the age of it. It's got a couple of AC coils for the first floor and then the two bigger units are actually heating and air for the second floor of that building. When they do the roof, they will have to pop them off to recurve them anyways.

Tom said more than likely if they move them they won't replace them.

Jake said I wouldn't because if we put them right back and then something does die on that unit, we got to replace it then we're looking at a new unit and recurving it and redoing the new roof to fit the new unit and so forth.

Tom asked do you have a rough idea of how many thousands we're talking about?

Jake said it could be \$150,000 to \$250,000.00, I have no idea really without putting it out there. It's not going to be cheap. There're a few dead items up there that is going to need to be addressed as far as old equipment that's never been taken down, it's just dead.

Tom asked do we have any reserve from our rent, the money we get for that building?

Mike said that's our building, we don't collect rent. If you think we need to do it this year or next, we probably need to develop a plan and Luther Mock seems to have worked for us well in the past. Do you want to give him the go ahead to reach out the Luther?

Gary said I do, they looked at that when they were doing the jail roof four or five years ago and at that time there were issues. I think we just need to get a quote and see where we're at and plan because we don't want to find out that like you said we have one of those units that's going bad and we may have to mess around with it. If we can replace it, just get a quote.

Tom said we're probably two years out to even find somebody to fix it.

Jake said I think we can keep it until next year but if they looked at and a roofer thinks it's under the threshold, which I doubt it's going to be. It's something to look at probably for next year.

Mike said I appreciate you bringing it to our attention and I think you probably should reach out to Luther and see what his schedule looks like.

Art said I want to the Commissioner's attention and the Council members that are here today, the last six weeks with Charlie not being in the building for maintenance, Jakes been a pretty big asset for us. He's caught a couple things over there and I just wanted to bring it to your attention that he's a stepped in and helped us and I want to tell him thank you.

Laura Martin – Business System Consultant IN.gov Web site approval

Laura said I forwarded all of you the email from Emily Davis, she did a presentation on their web site building process several months ago. I contacted her since Ted is wanting to get out of the web site business and she said their price hasn't changed which is \$1200.00 annually and there is no added fee for them to build, to take our existing and move it over to their platform. They have designed any of the in.gov web sites that you go to, it would be similar to that, they only have like two different plans to choose from and the \$1200.00 is the for the lower one but I think it would probably serve our purpose. I need based off of her email when I contacted her, she would put a project ticket in and then they would send a questionnaire and start working on getting it built. I need your approval before I can tell her to put that ticket in.

Mike asked do we know how many pages we have now?

Laura said I don't but I did ask her, there was a list of questions I sent her. One thing was because Ted mentioned that we need to have accessibility for the disabled and this is something we don't have now and yes that would be assessible for the disabled. I ask her if she would use the existing content to build our site and she said yes, they could do that. I ask her if each department would have their own page as it is no the existing site and she said we can do that.

Seventy-five pages are included on the initial build out and then we would have the ability to build unlimited pages.

Mike said our price changes with that does it not?

Laura said she did not indicate that.

Mike said I'm looking at her quote and \$100.00 a month includes 75 pages, \$250.00 covers 150 pages.

Laura said she felt like we weren't over the 75 pages but I don't know that for a fact.

Mike asked does anybody know how many pages we have?

Missy said you are at about 41 pages.

Mike said so, we would have room to grow a little bit. We don't have any web forms that we can complete.

Laura said I don't know what you mean by that.

Mike said an online form that you can fill out.

Laura said she said she would be sending out questionnaires and Randolph County site maps. So, I assume they will do that once they create the ticket.

Mike said we are kind of stuck, our current provider says he doesn't want to help us anymore. I think we earmarked some money for that.

Laura said this is actually half of what we pay.

Mike said I think if they can keep it as close to what we have right now, at least for the time being I think it would be a pretty good move to go that direction.

Gary made a motion to move forward with a new web site with IN.Gov. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike Haffner – Equipment purchase

Mike Haffner said equipment purchases for 2020 naturally we normally try to go after a couple of tandem dump trucks, that's just not going to be possible this year, so we are kind of changing gears, we've already carried over from the two pickup's that didn't come in last year, one of them is in and the other one will be in sometime this week. Hopefully we can close that. Joe, we are pricing SUV's for him. That's getting to be a problem getting availability on this equipment. We've had quotes at \$51,000.00, \$49,000.00 and about \$37,000.00, \$38,000.00 but nobody wants to guarantee that price until they actually show up. This would be a vehicle that we'd be purchasing straight out. I guess what do we want to do because, I got a call from Fuqua Chrysler

saying we've got a vehicle coming in, you might want to come and look at it. Well, we went and looked at it and it's already sold. It was sold off the truck.

Mike asked you can't order a vehicle?

Mike Haffner said there is no time frame for when you will actually get it delivered and the invoice, you don't know what it is going to cost until it arrives. They will not commit, they will not give any government discounts, they will not give employee discounts. The only place is Chevy that will, where we been buying these pickups are a good \$10 to \$12,000.00 less. He's got one coming in supposedly anytime, that he's promised us first chance. I've told him to definitely hold it. He can get me a rough price and I've got rough prices from the other guys so I guess I need some guidance on what we can do there. I would like to, we've got some 2017 trucks, four door trucks I would like to possibly trade one of them in and update my vehicle this year and I'd like to update our rubber tire roller. That's probably going to be under \$90,000.00 or so, I would like to get quotes and see what it would take to update that. Like I told Council last year, if we can't get tandems, I'd like to save a pretty good chunk of our money for the tandems and carry this money over into next year and try to go after three the following year. So, we're not looking to spend to much money this year on new equipment other than updating the rubber tire roller and getting an SUV and another pickup. I can go after some quotes, I think we've got plenty of time, I don't for see a problem on the rubber tire roller, getting at least three or four quotes there. The other pickup I think we can hopefully get it traded toward the end of the year but this SUV is creating me a little bit of hardship trying to figure out how to get three quotes and be able to hold a vehicle before they sell it.

Gary asked what is Joe driving now?

Joe said ½ ton Chevy pickup.

Mike Haffner said he's driving what was originally slated for our assistant and I put him in another vehicle for the time being.

Mike asked where is the new pickup going? We've got two pickups, you got one new pickup and a second one arriving this week.

Mike Haffner said those are to replace 010 and 011, they're our trash trucks, our auxiliary trucks. Them are single cap, just long beds.

Mike asked your problem is you can only get one firm quote on the SUV?

Mike Haffner said we've got two, but that was for them vehicles, well they've done sold them and they won't commit. I can order one from Fuqua, that he says he can get within a couple months but you don't get any kind of discount and he was the highest of all the ones we quoted.

Tom asked they won't give the government discount?

Mike Haffner said Chrysler will not give any type of government discount, we've even tried jeep and other places and so far, Chevy, GMC.

Tom said the big three was doing that just as a courtesy?

Mike Haffner said I guess they just don't have enough vehicles to go around, it's pretty much asking price and a lot of these vehicles are going four and five thousand dollars over manufactured prices. The only one I've found that will give us the discount is General Motors in Richmond where we been buying all these other pickups. You're at the mercy when one shows up on his lot you've got to say yes.

Mike said he's holding that one for you?

Mike Haffner said he's got a hold on that one for me. I'm hoping when that comes in I can get three quick quotes from other resources and bring it to you to get approval.

Mike asked wouldn't an online quote work for you?

Mike Haffner said we can try that.

Mike asked what kind of money are we talking about?

Mike Haffner said on this one he said it would probably be in that \$37,000.00, \$38,000.00 area.

Mike asked you need three quotes on that?

Gary said it's only for \$50,000 and over isn't it?

Mike Haffner said over \$50,000 I do but under \$50,000 I don't technically.

Mike said then I say let's do it.

Mike Haffner said as courtesy I always try to get three.

Mike said I understand that but we are kind of in special circumstances. I guess if we need a vehicle.

Mike Haffner said I am suggesting that we keep this one on hold and when we get some prices, I'll at least email you guys and get your blessing. I'm sure he'll wait two weeks. Mainly do I have your blessing to tell this guy to hold this vehicle for us?

Mike said at this point in time unless you can point to something better I would give you the blessing to buy the thing.

Mike Haffner said Joe has looked over in Henry County as well and can't get anything going either.

Joe said General Jeep Grand Cherokee they want \$49,000 for it. Sticker.

Gary asked you say your trading in two pickup trucks this year or three?

Mike Haffner said the two old trucks. We've got two 2017 four doors, more like trucks like I drive and mine's getting like 94,000 miles on it and if I can I'd like to maybe update that because right now the used truck market is pretty strong and I can get a pretty good trade in price out of it. Basically, in addition to what we've got coming, basically the SUV, trading my truck off and a rubber tire roller. Theoretically I'd like to hold the rest of the money and carry over into next year to try to be able to make up for not being able to buy a dump trucks this year.

Joe said I do have a source for a used tandem dump truck, with less than 80,000 miles but he won't get rid of it until the new ones come in, he's hoping later on in the year they'll come in. I'm holding money in Henry to pick up, he's going to get rid of three. I'd like to get two for Henry and if you're interested.

Mike Haffner said I'd like to go look at them.

Gary asked are those leased trucks?

Joe said no, they're bought out right. Another county has them.

Gary said some of the counties are doing that and then after two or three years, then they just roll them over. I thought maybe they were leased.

Joe said no, he owns it.

Mike Haffner said I've got one more question. The two pickups and the SUV, we have a line for equipment purchase, local road and streets. It helps if I use that line to buy equipment and then that helps me on my MVH 50/50 because I can do more road work with my 1173 fund. I'm asking permission, instead of using my 1176 MVH road equipment purchase, can I buy this equipment out of the local road and streets line equipment purchase.

Angela said I will have to look up and see what the fund uses can be used for.

Joe said its allowable, I bought mine out of local road and street.

Mike said if it's allowable and it works, I don't see a problem with it.

Joe Copeland – Bridge 173 (quote from E&H Bridge), SJCA Task Order 15, Supplement for Bridge Inspection load rating

Joe said I've got a proposal based on the annual bid from E&H Bridge and grading for the super structure which includes the steel beams, the attached guardrail on structural radial, the decking, the middle grid decking, all of it is what they call 588 steel, weathering steel, you don't have to paint it. Total price \$82,418.00 delivered. I'd like to get that approved so I can get it on order

and have it ready. I'd like to put it in, in the middle of summer between planting and harvest. Get in and get it knocked out but it's going to be up to the contractor's schedule to be able to get in there and get it done.

Mike asked do you have that contractor lined up?

Joe said yes, I've already been in contact with him, he's on line for 159, we're going to be starting it here in a couple weeks or so. He ordered the rebar, but this one I sent him this and all the other's I've got up in the north east I want to get done. I've not heard back from him. He told me he's work me around, it may be late in the year before we get it, I don't know, we'll see what he says. We're at his mercy pretty much.

Tom said you've got a little leverage with him too.

Joe said he's going to get a fair amount of work. Yes.

Tom made a motion to approve the purchase of an all steel bridge from E & H Bridge and Grading for bridge 173. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Joe said the next item is small structure inspection, inventory and load rating services by SJCA, the Council approved it, so I am bringing task order number 15 a supplement to the prime agreement to begin this work.

Mike said I thought we approved this last meeting?

Joe said yes, I need a signature on the task order.

Tom made a motion to approve Task order number 15, supplemental to the prime agreement with regards to small structure inspection by SJCA at a total cost of \$298,086.00. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Joe said the next item, we're back to the bridge inspection, load rating supplement that INDOT is requiring all the consultants to do for all the counties. They're having to add some things in the load rating. You approved an agreement before, we sent it to INDOT, they didn't like it. So, they submitted another one that says they want a supplemental agreement number 1 put on the front page. They done that, it's been revised, same numbers, the total amount is \$9,845.00 and the net to the county is \$1,969.00 after the 80% reimbursement.

Tom made a motion to approve. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike asked when you say the net to the county, that sounds like a savings to me.

Joe said it's after the reimbursement, what we have to end of paying. We pay it and then we get 80% back.

Mike said it is my understanding that Erin is leaving and you've hired a temporary replacement. Can we have an executive meeting to talk about the hiring of personnel?

Tom Cockerill said you can have an executive for that.

Mike said I think it would behoove us to have a meeting with you to talk about the secretary's position. I'd like to do that in executive session, sooner than later. Can you meet Friday morning at 9:00 am?

Gary said I would like to add the Pyramid thing into that too because of that contractual issue.

Mike asked will Meeks be back for that?

Tom Cockerill said he will not be back until Monday.

Mike said why don't we do Friday. When is Erin leaving?

Mike Haffner said she was actually originally slated for this Thursday being her last work day but she has agreed to stay on for a week or two to help train.

Mike said I think it would behoove us to meet on Friday.

Mike Haffner asked is there anyway possible I can have Joe in that meeting with me.

Mike said that's fine.

Jake Donham

Jake said I called Andy Fahl at Shoestring Enterprises, ran that past him. He said he is okay with doing the bush hog type mowing. He said what that would do, would put him roughly at \$150.00 per grasshopper mowing. He said that is going to be the out-front sign area, a portion of the drive, the buildings. He said three times a year. I'm going to say 3 to 4 on the rough-cut mowing, he said that he will just play it by ear, when he gets a feel for what he can get around. He said it would be around \$310.00 per bush hog mowing at three to four times a year. If it's something where we're going to have a bunch of people out there we could probably contact him and he could probably squeeze in something to clean it up if need be. He said he can do that, that's fine. He said just keep in mind the higher the grass everywhere is the more rodent problems we're going to have. Obviously, it's not going to look as good. He just wanted to make sure he through those points out there.

Mike asked what do you think?

Tom asked how much difference is that?

Jake said his original is \$315.00 to grasshop mow everything. That could be 8 days, 10 days. Depends on what mother nature is going to do, you can't out guess that, every year is different. And then he also had in the estimate for spraying and weed control twice a year at \$250.00 if we

needed to or chose to. Now he's at \$150.00 per grasshopper mow, which is road-front, sign, a portion of the drive, around the buildings and then he'll try to rough cut three to four times a year on everything else he can for \$310.00. Obviously, he is looking at another trip with another piece of equipment that's bigger, which obviously is more money too.

Tom said how much does that save us?

Mike said without guessing how many mows you have it's going to be hard to calculate but I think it's going to save and it boils down to I think we've kind of made the decision that it all doesn't need to be grasshopper mowed. Regardless of how much it saves us I'd say we go with the bush hog.

Gary said it would be a good idea to spray it too. That's going to reduce your mowing somewhat because there's a lot of weeds back there.

Jake said he was just talking about driveway area's and mulch beds for spraying. Around a lot of those buildings they've got gravel around the buildings, around the parameters rather than cement, so that's why he's referring to spraying. He said that stuff, if we let it go a year, it's going to all turn green.

Mike said do you want to give him the green light to go with the second quote of \$150.00 on the grasshopper mows when it needs it and \$310.00 on the bush hog, three to four times a year and then spray twice. I'll make that motion.

Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Ed Thornburg - locator service

Ed said the fiber that we own, about 4800 feet. The gentleman that has been doing our locating for us is thinking about retiring and I made a couple of calls. The 811 service is 95 cents a time. That is just forwarding a phone call to someone.

Gary said 95 cents?

Ed said yes, if you call a locate in across from the jail where this line goes by. We come up on the map, we'll pay 95 cents to 811 for making a phone call to a locator service. The challenge I run into so far, the notice I see is the one service that we see here all the time, they're already coming here to locate, we need to hire somebody that's going to be here anyway. I've tried to call a couple of the main businesses in town, trying to call on a Friday afternoon didn't work well. Calling USIC, I've got a half hour of time in which is not a big deal, I don't mind doing it but I've yet to get a live body to talk to. This is to become their customer. I know I just haven't hit the right number on the extension list. I don't think at this point, I can't say what they are going to charge us for this. I don't think it will be more than we are paying currently. I thought I was going to have good news for you and have somebody lined up by the time we got here, so far that hasn't happened.

Mike asked are there any questions for Ed?

Gary said just have to keep working on it.

Other Business:

Art Moystner, Randolph County Sheriff

Art said we have the resolution for surplus. A couple of things I wanted to update you on. You guys had asked me a couple months ago when Sheriff Bud Harris came in asking about the stone from the old jail. I contacted Thursa Short she has a lot to do with the Randolph County Historical Museums and stuff and she has been in contact with Arlington Cemetery to find out some information on what they use on their stones, she is going to help me with that. The big issue we are going to have is getting it moved someplace and whether we want to set that on any type of a foundation, which would probably have to be built. That's something that when the weather gets a little bit better, we can discuss a little more in depth of where we want that moved to, if we want it moved and then we'll go with those chemicals that she's recommending that Arlington uses on the stones at Arlington. I think we've put that in good hands.

Mike said that sounds good.

Art said I will share with you that the IT room air conditioner should be put in this week at some point. Salyer Taylor's going to do that. I would also share, I haven't received any bills yet but we had an issue with the chiller last week, it had a couple of pin hole leaks that they had to work on and get some replacement gas that goes inside, but they fixed it and we had to rebuild a grinder. That's some things that will probably be coming this way.

Mike said the chiller is not on the new equipment?

Art said it's the old chiller on the roof. It's the equipment upstairs that runs the whole building, Jake actually caught that issue. That's a good thing. Last week we filled the open road position we have, which is the good news, the bad news is we filled it with one of our jailers so it's going to create another opening in the jail. I think we will be at three currently.

Mike said it's good to promote from within.

Art said we did and hopefully we will get somebody to fill that. We're in the application process now for the maintenance position at the jail.

Resolution 2022-07 Surplus Property

Mike said the next item is Resolution 2022-07, the sheriff's department has a 2014 Dodge Ram that they want us to consider surplus and unneeded property this morning.

RESOLUTION 2022-07

A RESOLUTION TO DECLARE CERTAIN PERSONAL PROPERTY OF

RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA,
AS UNNEEDED, AND
TO BE CONSIDERED SURPLUS PROPERTY FOR DISPOSAL

WHEREAS, the Randolph County Board of Commissioners (“Board”) is empowered to declare unneeded property to be surplus property; and

WHEREAS, the Board may authorize the disposal of surplus property pursuant to IC 5-22-22 *et seq.*;

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board that:

1. Pursuant to IC 5-22-22-8, the items listed on Exhibit “A”, attached hereto, shall be considered to be surplus and worthless property (“Property”) for purposes of disposal.
2. The value of the property is less than the estimated costs of the sale and transportation of the property.
3. The Property may be sold at public or private sale, and the Sheriff shall sell or otherwise dispose of the Property.
4. Proceeds from the sale of the items set forth in Exhibit “A”, shall be placed in the fund from which the item was purchased. If no fund can be identified for a particular item, the proceeds shall be placed in the General Fund.
5. If the Property fails to sell at public or private sale it may be demolished or scrapped, or, if hazardous, be disposed of for recycling.
6. The Property may be removed from the Randolph County Indiana fixed asset inventory.

2014 Dodge Ram
VIN 1C6RR7KT5ES393428

EXHIBIT “A”

Gary made a motion to approve Resolution 2022-07 as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Minutes of December 20 & 29, 2021 & January 4, 2022

Mike said we received the minutes of December 20th and 29th of 2021 and January 4, 2022 via email. I trust that you’ve had a chance to review them. If there is no additions, corrections or deletions to these minutes I would entertain a motion to approve all three sets.

Tom made a motion to approve all three sets of minutes as presented. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Payroll Claims \$228,870.35

Tom made a motion to approve the payroll claim as presented. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Payroll Expenses \$266,430.18

Laura said I feel like this is double approving but State Board of Accounts said we have to do this, we are going to try and work this out differently but right now.

Mike said is this for the next payroll?

Laura said this is for the last one.

Tom made a motion to approve this claim. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Regular Claims \$1,912,552.44

Gary made a motion to approve the regular claims as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

BF&S Claim \$13,201.08

Mike said this is for the architectural engineering and planning services for the airport grant project which I believe is a new hanger project and taxi lane design phase I.

Gary made a motion to approve this claim as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike asked, have we been asked to match this project?

Angela said nothing been presented.

Mike asked is this claim coming out of the FAA grant?

Angela said yes, it is.

Mike said typically they would ask us to match 5% on that. I'm just curious why they have not.

Washington Twp. Library claim \$13085.76

Mike said this is for the roof project we approved out of EDIT a few weeks back in the amount of \$13,085.76.

Gary made a motion to approve this claim as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

SJCA Claim \$6,038.00

Mike said the SJCA claim in the amount of \$6,038.00 is for the Randolph County Windfarm post construction inspections. This has EDPR's name on it.

Tom said they reimburse us.

Mike asked have they reimbursed us yet?

Angela said if you look at the invoice date on it, I believe that one was sent to the highway and was not paid. SJCA got a hold of the Auditor's office to see if we could get that paid but we've not received any information on it, that's all I know.

Mike said where are we paying this from?

Angela said the inspection money that was given to us.

Mike asked we have inspection money to cover this?

Angela said yes.

Tom made a motion to approve this claim. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Tax Refund Claim \$598.00

Mike said this tax refund claim in the amount of \$598.00 is for overpayment by the claimant, the claimant being Todd Landis.

Tom made a motion to approve this claim. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

MPX Claim \$27,000.00

MPX Claim \$9,628.15

Pyramid Claim \$4,000.00

Pyramid Claim \$233.28

Pyramid Claim \$2,280.00

Pyramid Claim \$8,000.00

Cobalt Civil Claim \$3905.25

MPX Change Order 03C-02

Mike said these claims are the ones we have tabled for several months now. MPX, MPX and 4 Pyramid claims. I trust we want to continue to table those?

Gary said yes.

Tom said yes.

Mike said we have a Cobalt Civil Claim for \$3905.25 which is for retainage. What do we want to do with this?

Gary said in my opinion we still need to hold it because we still have issues on the north tower.

Mike said we will table that one as well, as well as the MPX change order.

Randolph County ARPA plan

Mike said that brings us to the Randolph County ARPA plan, which we received a copy of and it looks like we have a plan that meets the standard of ARPA payments and lists the ARPA expenses that we have paid to date or promised to pay to date. Are there any questions or comments about the ARPA plan?

Tom asked will this relieve our arrangement with Barnes and Thornburg?

Mike said I would think that it would eliminate our retainage arrangement. If our council doesn't feel confident in being able to amend the plan, then we would hire them to do that. I think I'd probably want to wait and talk to Meeks about that because he's had communication with them and about our retainage. I guess this is something we sign?

Laura said there is no place to sign, I would just say just approve it.

Tom made a motion to approve the Randolph County ARPA plan updated as of March 21, 2022. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Centerstone lease

Mike said the next item is our Centerstone lease that we revised at our last meeting and Meeks has changed it, we revised it with the lease amount that has for 2022, 2023 and 2024 the cost of \$10.21 per square foot and beyond that 2024 through the remainder of the lease \$11.23 per square foot. We are here today to determine if we want to approve this lease as the landlord and then submit it to the tenant to determine whether or not they want to accept it.

Tom made a motion to approve the lease as submitted. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike asked Laura are you going to take it to Centerstone?

Laura said I will email it to them.

EDIT Plan amendment

Mike said our EDIT plan amendment adds our READI grant of \$15,000.00 that we added to the plan last week. We have an EDIT board meeting scheduled for Wednesday at 6:00 pm to take this before the EDIT Board so we need to approve this amended plan this morning.

Gary made a motion to approve the amended EDIT plan as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Treasurer's monthly Reports January & February

Mike said we received a copy of the Treasurers reports via email. Are there any questions or comments about the treasurer's reports?

Gary made a motion to approve the two treasurers reports as presented for January and February. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Resolution 2022-08 Health Department Surplus property

Mike said that brings us to Resolution 2022-08 a Health Department surplus property resolution. They have a Duramax model DWX716TA2.

RESOLUTION 2022-08

A RESOLUTION TO DECLARE CERTAIN PERSONAL PROPERTY OF
RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA,
AS UNNEEDED, AND
TO BE CONSIDERED SURPLUS PROPERTY FOR DISPOSAL

WHEREAS, the Randolph County Board of Commissioners (“Board”) is empowered to declare unneeded property to be surplus property; and

WHEREAS, the Board may authorize the disposal of surplus property pursuant to IC 5-22-22 *et seq.*;

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board that:

1. Pursuant to IC 5-22-22-8, the items listed on Exhibit “A”, attached hereto, shall be considered to be surplus and worthless property (“Property”) for purposes of disposal.
2. The value of the property is less than the estimated costs of the sale and transportation of the property.
3. The Property may be sold at public or private sale, and the Board shall allow Randolph County Health Department to sell or otherwise dispose of the Property.
4. Proceeds from the sale of the items set forth in Exhibit “A”, shall be placed in the fund from which the item was purchased. If no fund can be identified for a particular item, the proceeds shall be placed in the General Fund.
5. If the Property fails to sell at public or private sale it may be demolished or scrapped, or, if hazardous, be disposed of for recycling.
6. The Property may be removed from the Randolph County Indiana fixed asset inventory.

Tom made a motion to approve Resolution 2022-08. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

JRDS – Request for field trip to courthouse on April 28 from 9:30 to 11:00

Mike said the final item on the agenda is Jay-Randolph Developmental service request for a field trip to the courthouse on April 28th from 9:30 to 11:00 am.

Laura said they called me asking about bringing 5 to 6 clients to the courthouse and touring. I have contacted the offices in the courthouse and other than the courts I’ve got an okay from the offices. I told her I would let her know where she can take them.

Tom made a motion to approve the JRDS field trip on April 28th from 9:30 to 11:00 am. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Tom Chalfant- Camp Slingshot water heater lease, Airport Board appointment

Tom said the water heater at Camp Slingshot, I don't see any reason for us to keep hot water out there until we know what we're going to do. They're not going to remove that water heater as old as it is, I don't think we need to lease it.

Mike said do you want to contact them and tell them we're done?

Tom said yes.

Mike asked are you okay with that Gary?

Gary said yes.

Tom said I assume your working on the replacement for our Airport Board, the fella that's moving to Texas?

Mike said I haven't thought about that. We would need a Democrat. I would take any suggestions.

Gary Girton – PSC Towers

Gary said I got an email Friday saying they had to get a new piece of software to update the system they're putting in. So, they're doing that today and tomorrow, hopefully then as soon as that goes in they'll finish driving the county. Have you heard anything Art on the radios?

Art said I have not.

Gary said I haven't either, the lady I've been communicating with has been off for surgery. As soon as the radios come in, they're going to start putting them on line and getting that going. I don't understand why we have to have new software already. It has been a year and a half since we bought that system.

Mike said I would hope they'd cover that.

Mike Wickersham- Tourism appointments, Camp Slingshot

Mike said we still need to make Tourism appointments and it's my understanding that the Tourism board is recommending Janette Sickels continue, Owen Griffith continue and Dick Wise and Jim Nunez become new members to the Tourism Commission to replace Angie Martin and Lori Comer. Based on that recommendation I would entertain a motion.

Tom made a motion to appoint Jeannette Sickels, Dick Wise, Jim Nunez and Owen Griffith to the Tourism Board. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike said I was going to talk about Camp Slingshot, we do have it back obviously and I didn't know if there was any discussion in February about what to do with it. A lot of people have shown interest in it at varying degrees to do varying things with it. I'm in no hurry to get rid of it unless there's strong interest of either one of you guys to move it and move it in a hurry. I do know there is a man in Muncie that might be interested in removing the tower and the zip line. I thought I'd bring that up this morning to see if that's something we want to have removed.

Gary said I'm not in favor at the present time. I'd like to have some time to let this settle down a little bit. We didn't discuss it at all why you were gone.

Tom said I did show it to a builder and I don't think it's very well situated to develop for housing. The size and shape of it probably doesn't lend itself to being a good place to develop houses. I haven't seen any more interest on that.

Mike asked would it be possible if we included more land?

Tom said I'm sure yes, if we could square it up, it would make it much more attractive. If we could go back to the other road, that would be a much more desirable property for a lot of different purposes.

Mike said it just seems like it is stuck in the middle of everybody's property and to lose control of that.

Tom said unless we get a good price, I'm not interested.

Mike said I will let the man know in Muncie that at this point in time, we're not interested. That is all I have at this time.

Citizen Comments

John Bartlett said you are probably wondering who I am. I'm John Bartlett, I'm running for State Representative in District 33. I feel it is very important for our State Representatives to attend Council and Commissioner's meetings. I think we really need to know what's going on and what your issues are and when we go back to the state, what we can do at the state to help you.

Mike asked where are you from?

John said I currently live on the farm my grandparents bought 70-years ago, just south of Parker City. I'm a Randolph County native, I grew up just east of Albany, with a Ridgeville address, a Redkey phone, went to Parker City elementary and graduated from Monroe Central in 1989. I'm very well versed with Randolph County and the things here. I'm into genealogy, I'm a seventh generation Hoosier in most of my lines, eight in a couple of them. My family has deep roots in Randolph County, up around Franklin Township, the northern part, 1840's the Quakers in the southern half of eastern Delaware County in 1836 so I was able to give the sheriff how to clean grave stones, so I was able to give him the chemical we use. I will not be able to attend all of the

meetings because you guys are one of three counties that have their Commissioner's meeting at the exact same time.

Tom asked is the district changing to Jay, Blackford and Randolph?

John said the district now is all of Blackford County, the southern 2/3 of Jay, all of Randolph, the eastern 1/3 of Delaware and one township in Henry.

Mike asked are there any other citizens that would like to make a comment.

Adjournment

Tom made a motion to adjourn. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Reviewed and signed this 6 day of June, 2022.

RANDOLPH COUNTY COMMISSIONERS







ATTEST: 

Laura J Martin, Auditor of Randolph County