

APC MINUTES
JANUARY 20, 2021

Members present: Adrian Moulton, Steve Hernly, John Reece, Andy Fahl, Tom Kerns, Don Calhoun, Tom Chalfant, Terry Alfrey, Amy Alka, Jim Hufford

Members absent: Bryn Albertson, Will Greer, Bob Lahey

Legal Representation: Jason Welch

Staff Present: Randy Abel, Executive Director

Staff Absent: Debra Johnting, Recording Secretary

Others present: Rick Sanders, Jim Nunez, Beth Denton, Rex Burk, Todd Longfellow

President Calhoun: We'll go ahead and get started with our Area Planning meeting of Randolph County. Does everybody have a copy of the minutes from the October and November meetings? Is there any changes or discussion about the minutes? Seeing none I would take a motion to accept the minutes.

J. Hufford: I make a motion to accept the October and November minutes as presented.

President Calhoun: It has been moved and seconded to accept the October and November as presented, all those in favor say aye, all those opposed, no. Motion passes. Next on the agenda is the election of officers for 2021. I guess we'll start out with president, I am more than willing to do it again if, does anybody wants to?

J. Hufford: Well, if current officers of Vice President and President are willing to stay on for another year I will make that motion.

A. Fahl: I'm fine with that.

President Calhoun: It has been moved and seconded that Vice President and President stay on for another year, all those in favor say aye, all those opposed no. Ok, appointment of the municipal member to the BZA, it's currently Jim Hufford, are you willing to do it again for next year?

J. Hufford: Yes, I'd be willing to do it another year, no problem.

President Calhoun: Is there anybody else that's interested?

D. Johnting: The three municipal would be Jim, John or Bryn, it has to be a citizen from a city appointment.

President Calhoun: It has been moved and seconded that Jim Hufford continue to be the municipal member, all those in favor say aye, all those opposed no. Motion passes. Now, the county citizen member is me and I am more than willing to do it again, unless somebody else it interested?

D. Johnting: You have two choices, it's you and Andy. You have to be a citizen appointment from the county.

A. Fahl: That's fine with me, I will have my spot down the road somewhere so it's fine. We appreciate you doing it, you too Jim.

President Calhoun: You want to make that a motion? It has been moved and seconded that I continue with the county citizen's member to the BZA, all those in favor say aye, all those opposed no. Next is the attorney contract to retain Jason Welch as the attorney for the Area Planning Commission for 2021. Is there any discussion about that?

J. Welch: I've submitted a contract, it's exactly the same as last year. I've also submitted a Uniform Conflict of Interest Disclosure form because I also represent the town of Farmland and Losantville. So, if the board wants to consider the contract they have to approve the Conflict of Interest Form and then approve the contract as well. And as I said it's the exact same terms as last year.

President Calhoun: Is there any discussion on that? Have we all gotten copies of the contract?

D. Johnting: Oh, no, I forgot to put that in your packets, would you want to pass that around, it is the same as last year?

J. Welch: It's the same as last year—just the standard contract, there aren't any changes.

D. Johnting: I can email those out to you tomorrow morning. I'm sorry.

J. Hufford: If there was a conflict of interest, if we are representing something from the city of Farmland, would you dismiss yourself, or do you have to find somebody else, or what?

J. Welch: It just depends on the circumstances, if there was an actual conflict then I would have to recuse myself. It's rare that something like that would come up but I just have to just disclose that to everyone that I represent so they all know and the public knows and it's transparent that I do represent Losantville and Farmland as well.

J. Hufford: Ok.

A. Fahl: Did you have that conflict in 2020?

J. Welch: Yes, I have submitted the form every year.

A. Fahl: Good enough. I move that we accept the contract to retain Jason Welch again for the APC for 2021.

A. Alka: Second.

President Calhoun: It's been moved and seconded that we retain Jason Welch as our attorney for the year 2021. All those in favor say aye, those opposed, no. Ok, motion passes. We've got at least one new member on the board for this year now, we want to start out with Steve and everybody introduce themselves with your name and where you're from.

S. Hernly: Steve Hernly, I represent Farmland.

J. Reece: John Reece, I represent Lynn.

D. Johnting: Deb Johnting, Area Planning.

J. Welch: Jason Welch, Attorney.

R. Abel: Randy Abel, Director.

A. Moulton: Adrian Moulton, I represent the school superintendents.

President Calhoun: Don Calhoun, I represent the county commissioners.

A. Fahl: Andy Fahl, Randolph County Council appointee.

J. Hufford: Jim Hufford, representing Union City.

T. Chalfant: Tom Chalfant, County Commissioner.

T. Kerns: Tom Kerns, County Council.

A. Alka: Amy Alka, Purdue Extension.

T. Alfrey: Terry Alfrey, represent Winchester.

President Calhoun: Thank you. On to the rest of our meeting now, we've got one petition, APC2021-1-Z, Rick Sanders, if you'd want to come forward. He's wanting to rezone from C-2 to a C-3 for automotive repair and storage. If you'd state your name and address, and let us know what you're wanting to do.

APC2021-1-Z, Rick Sanders

R. Sanders: Rick Sanders, my business address is 210 North Main Street, Winchester, Indiana. I purchased the old Prescott Seed building and the lot beside it, and it used to be an M-2, and the last owner had it rezoned to a C-2 and I am asking to put it to a C-3 to better fit the means my business of light auto repair and vehicle storage.

President Calhoun: Do any of the members have any questions or discussion?

R. Sanders: I believe that everyone has a copy of this? The second picture down is the proposed style of fencing that I want to put up. I don't want to put up a chain link, they're too easy to cut into, I don't want to do the chain link even with the mesh because you can still see through it. I'd like to do the barn metal fence, preferably 8' tall, I don't believe there are any height restrictions on the height of a fence for commercial. I'd like to do at least 8' tall for that. Over the period of the next few years, we'll be working on the building, getting some tuck point done and stuff like that, to try to preserve the building. I don't have much else really to say, if you have any questions I'd be happy to answer them.

A. Alka: I was interested in how many employees do you have?

R. Sanders: I have six full time employees including myself, and I also hire some part time here and there for extra projects.

President Calhoun: On your fence, are you going to fence in the whole area?

R. Sanders: I want to fence in the whole lot, at least the whole lot to the south. I'm probably not going to fence in the building in fence of course.

J. Hufford: This is not going to be used as salvage, or anything like that?

R. Sanders: I do not do, like a junk yard, like sell parts off of cars, and stuff like that. It's just when I tow a vehicle in, and it's considered abandoned, the person doesn't come and get it, I have to do paperwork on it. I have to do a title search, those take time. The state dictates how to do that. I have to give them so many days to come and get the vehicle and all that. So those vehicles will normally be stored uptown, almost inside the building. There's really no roof on part of the building there but it's all fenced in, you can't see it. I keep those up there until once we start the process on them. Once we start the process on them and they go to auction if nobody shows up for them and I'm stuck with the car, and then I will moved it over to this lot and get it prepped and ready to take to Burton's is where I take most of my cars, is out there to Burtons, I have to drain the fluids out of them before I take them out there and stuff. I have an oil burner in my building so I definitely drain the oils out of it to burn that in the heater. We probably won't be draining any fluids over there, there is a concrete pad outside so if I do, that's probably what I would do is build a lean to on that, and set up a spot right there to prep the cars. Most generally I end up taking the tow truck and picking the car up and taking it up to the building and inside the building and doing it up there. That's where I've got lifts and tools and everything. It's mainly just vehicle storage, I'd like to take some of my personal stuff that I have accumulated over the years and get it over there out of the way. Try to make it look a little better closer to the square where I'm at now. Most of that will be going into this fenced in lot so everything will look better.

President Calhoun: As far as your hours of business?

R. Sanders: The office hours are 8 to 5 Monday through Friday, most generally there would not be any cars being taken in and out of there outside of business hours. I'll be honest I personally try not to work on the weekend on that business, I have other things I try to take care of on the weekends. So I don't want to be up there doing stuff on the weekends. Most generally it's 8 to 5 Monday through Friday is when anything would be going in and out over there.

J. Hufford: Well, according to the map almost everything around him is either M-2 or C-3, except for part of West Street which is R-1, but other than that, it looks like everything around him is already been zoned higher than that.

A. Fahl: On the properties that you operate on now, how many cars would you say you have in storage now today?

R. Sanders: I really couldn't even give you an honest answer on that right now. Overall we have probably got about a hundred vehicles right now, and probably about a fourth of them is stuff that's

mine, that I'm not going to get rid of, as of yet. Some of it got damaged down there in my lot down on Martin Street. It was fenced in and they cut the fence. The back was still chain link because it was already there. And it's like a 10 foot chain link. They decided to cut into it and damaged about thirty vehicles, so there's a few of my vehicles in there that will probably get scrapped because it's probably not worth putting the money into them to fix them now. But part of the reason I've got that many right now is because the scrap price was so down that I would've actually lost money hauling cars in. It would have cost me more money to take them in than I would have ever made off of them. So, I was holding on to them. The price did go up, and in about two weeks we're going to start getting rid of some.

A. Fahl: So in the property in question this evening, what is your estimate on the capacity that it would hold?

R. Sanders: What it would hold? I think it would hold probably 300 cars, if I would need to. I hope not to have that many in there at once.

A. Fahl: So, a year from now, what's your plan, or what's your vision for this property in question tonight?

R. Sanders: A year from now is to have it fenced in and be working on the tuck point and getting some brick replaced on the building. And, any vehicles that are in there I hope are not long term vehicles, you know, I mean, there's going to be vehicles going in and out. We're going to bring them over there and store them long enough to get the paperwork done and then try to get them processed. It's one thing...I do run out of time during the day, and I run out of employees. I have tried and tried and tried to hire people. And everybody understands if they've got a business or whatever, it's. you can't find people that want to work. So, I do run out of time. I do. We get stuck with a lot of junk vehicles that people won't come and pay their bill, I'm stuck with it. And I do try to run an honest and legal business. I do the paperwork that the state says that I have to do. It costs me a hundred and twenty five dollars for every vehicle that I get stuck with. Before I take it to the scrap yard. So, I spend out approximately a hundred twenty five bucks for every vehicle. And I am just trying to do it the legal way. I've got a legal business license, I pay my insurance, I pay my taxes. I have got proper plates on my trucks, proper insurance on my trucks, it all comes down to—it's a lot of expense. That's one reason why I don't want to take the scrap cars in when the prices is down. Like I said it just, just started going back up.

A. Fahl: So, I'm going to ask you the same question, but 5 years from now. What's your plan or what's your vision for this property 5 years from now?

R. Sanders: Well, 5 years from now I would hope that the buildings been tuck pointed and bricked. I don't want to say painted because I love the natural color of the brick, but anything that's not safe, or anything like that would be taken care of. But, just keep the property maintained, keep it mowed, keep the weeds off of it, keep the fence looking good. As long as we have a fence like that nobody should really be seeing the cars that are in there. So there really wouldn't be any different than looking at a building there.

Al Fahl: So, other than the fence, is there any other security features you might have on this property.

R. Sanders: Yes, I will be putting in some extra lighting and cameras. Definitely will be doing that.

T. Kerns: Will the fence be put up before you start moving cars in?

R. Sanders: Yes, I have a few, I have a couple of extra tow trucks that were not even using that I would love to set over there but I but I won't even set them over there because I can't trust that they won't get stripped. I mean they have literally taken the jacks out of the trunks of the cars and tried jacking the cars up and taking the catalytic converters out of the cars at my other lot. Which I have no electricity at the other lot, that's part of the reason I don't have cameras. I did finally put a camera down at the other lot, a wireless motion camera. I just spent \$400 on one camera for that property. They're not cheap but I did it because I'm tired of people getting in there and tearing stuff up and stealing. I'm hoping maybe with this being a little closer to downtown that might deter some of the thefts, but I honestly don't think it will. Hopefully cameras and lighting will.

A. Alka: About how many of the cars from North Main are you planning on taking over there?

R. Sanders: I'd say right now probably about half of them will end up over there. Well, if I don't crush them they will end up over there. But they'll probably end up being processed and sent to the crusher before I have my fence done. Just because we are, in about two weeks we are going to start bringing cars in and getting them prepped.

R. Abel: I know we've had this discussion with you in our office before, about, do you have any feedback from the city on what kind of conditions they might want on this property?

R. Sanders: They haven't given me any conditions.

R. Abel: Ok, I just wanted to make the board aware that with all the zonings that we do, we can recommend conditions. So, the things he is saying, putting up a fence, putting up security cameras, putting up lighting that's directed downwards, operation hours. We can even put a condition on there that if he uses this for anything other than an impound yard, like he could sell it as an impound yard but nothing else under the C-3. That once it's sold for other than an impound yard it would return to the C-2 zoning. So, there's all kinds of conditions that we can put on this. And I haven't had any feedback from the city either, but I think it is the understanding of the code, that if we were to put conditions on it, and the city were to lessen them it doesn't have to come back to us. If he goes to the city and the city puts conditions on it, then it will have to come back to us. But that decision is pretty much up to Mr. Sanders and what he wants to do or what he feels the city would do. But that's something that I just wanted to make everyone aware of that it could be considered as part of the zoning. That conditions can be placed on it and when recorded it is actually binding on the next owner as well.

R. Sanders: I would ask that no conditions be put on it at this time, just for the simple fact that there's nothing really on the C-3 that is worse or better than what I am wanting to do. I am not saying that what I am wanting to do is even worse than any of the others, you know. I mean, they're all pretty much in a good group there for the C-3. The city I believe has been working on an ordinance, for a

junk yard fence, for fencing in junk yards, but what I have is not considered a junk yard, or a salvage yard, it is just vehicle storage. Impoundment, vehicle storage. The city of Winchester has their own impound lot, now, down by the animal shelter, off of Martin Street, that is for their ordinance violation vehicles, vehicles that people have left in their back yards, and they won't move them, and stuff like that. And their lot is I don't think is zoned C-3, or C-2 or even C-1 and they decided to put up a fence, chain link with the mesh in it, you can see right in there. You can tell what's in there. So to me they didn't follow the zoning and here I am trying to do the right thing, so as of right now, I would ask for you guys to take into consideration of not putting any stipulations on it and we'll see what the city of Winchester does.

A. Alka: Where do most of your cars come from, are they Randolph County?

R. Sanders: Yes, everything that's been towed in is, I don't want to say everything because I do pick up something from UCO once in a while, I haven't towed anything for them in a long time. But everyone once in a while I do. But I think everything that I have picked up from them has been picked up by the customer, the customer actually came in and picked it up. But yes, everything that I get stuck with has been from Randolph County.

President Calhoun: Is there any other questions from the members of the board? Is there anybody from the audience that is for or against that would like to speak? You can come up and state your name and address.

B. Denton: Beth Denton, 405 North West Street, I own a house that's kind of across the street from there. I'm not for it. I was here before and was at the meeting with the city. And I will say the same thing that I said before, is the best predictor of future behavior is past behavior. And I just don't think it's going to be a good thing for the neighborhood there and people who own homes that's residential. I have one of the nicer homes I think it will affect the value of it. I think it's just going to be trouble. As he says he's had problems with people breaking into the cars and that, and I think even with a fence people are going to try to get in there and do things. It's going to bring people close to my house that I don't want around my home. So, that's about all I have to say.

President Calhoun: Thank you, is there anybody else? Any of the board members have anything else? I will say that we are just a recommending committee, and so whatever we decide will go to the city of Winchester and they will make the final decision.

J. Hufford: Do we want to call for a roll call vote?

J. Welch: It would be for a favorable or unfavorable recommendation. Usually we do the favorable first.

J. Hufford: Ok, let's do the favorable first?

President Calhoun: Ok, favorable recommendation, can we have a roll call vote?

D. Johnting: Ok, Steve Hernly, yes, John Reece, yes, Andy Fahl, no, Tom Kerns, yes, Don Calhoun, yes, Tom Chalfant, no, Jim Hufford, yes, Bob Lahey, Bryn Albertson are absent, Terry Alfrey, no,

Amy Alka, yes, Adrian Moulton, yes, and Will Greer is absent. That is a favorable recommendation. This is 7 yes votes.

President Calhoun: That is a favorable recommendation, so now it will go to the city of Winchester, and they'll make the final say.

D. Johnting: And I called today, and Jim said they're thinking the next meeting will be February 15, at 6 pm, but I will verify that, and you should too.

Cell Tower Discussion, Article XVII

President Calhoun: Ok, possible discussion on cell towers is next on the agenda. Randy, do you have something about that?

R. Abel: Yes, we have some people I believe that want to speak on that. I think that's Article XVII, if that's correct, yes, Article XVII.

T. Longfellow: I'm Todd Longfellow, my address is 2944 South State Road 227, Union City, Indiana.

R. Burk: And I'm Rex Burk, 2639 South State Road 227 in Union City.

President Calhoun: Ok,

T. Longfellow: What it is we found out there is a business out of Illinois that is putting up a cell tower in a field that is right across from Rex, and right between me and the neighbor to the north. There's some concern about how close it is and the health ramifications and things like that. All the neighbors out there I know Rex was asked to put it on his property and he turned them down, Lynn Ricker turned them down.

R. Burk: First of all, is this the AT&T tower?

R. Abel: We have no idea, because nobody has applied to us and we haven't seen lane splits, or anything yet.

R. Burk: Ok, well, the guy who was doing the surveying couldn't tell me anything either. They were originally going to--my cousin owns the farm south of me across from Todd and Lynn Rickert, was going to put it up until I brought some things to his attention and they basically lied to us. It is the AT&T tower, they do emit radiation, and the gal finally came out and said yes they do, but as long as you're not within 3,000 feet of it you should be alright. So, that's a real concern. I've been doing a lot of research and they are having health issues. There are some states that are, you know, they can't be around houses and the population because of this. I also asked her, I have a medical implant, and she said well, it could affect that. And I don't want to go through that again. That's my concern is, and like Todd said, everyone in the neighborhood has turned them down except the one guy who lives in Ohio, that doesn't live around it. My big concern is health issues. They are starting to see a lot of them because of what they radiate. I was just trying to get some information to see what was going to happen.

R. Abel: Well, the way our ordinance is designed, anything that's under 300 feet does not have to have a hearing. All it has to meet is the criteria of the ordinance in order to be given an application and a permit. So, there are some setback rules, and that's the height of the tower plus 50 feet is what we have on our setback rules. They also have co-location rules, so they'd have to prove to the commission that any tower within 8 miles cannot be used. And there is a series of reasons in here, like the antennas will conflict with the antennas that are already on their tower, there's no room, or there's a series of reasons they can say is why they can't co-locate on another tower. But, they have to prove that for any tower within 8 miles. And I think for where your properties are, there's probably one in Union City, on the Ohio side?

J. Hufford: What?

R. Abel: Any tower structure.

J. Hufford: Oh, we've got one, no two on the Ohio side I believe.

T. Kerns: We have one on the Indiana side right behind the...

R. Abel: Oh yeah, right behind you. So there's 3 towers, at least there that they would have to prove that they cannot co-locate on.

T. Kerns: And there's a new one going up at the school right now.

R. Abel: The height of that one would probably be limiting for them.

T. Kerns: It's a hundred and eighty isn't it?

R. Abel: It's a hundred and eighty. I'm guessing that they're putting up a real cell tower like what you're talking about something that's going to be 300 or more.

T. Longfellow: Well, the surveyor wasn't sure, and he said something about a hundred and eighty foot, but he wasn't sure.

R. Abel: And it could be just a smaller tower, like a repeater tower.

R. Burk: I don't think a 300 would be far enough from the road where they've got the stakes.

T. Longfellow: Not where they've got it marked right now, They're not that far from the neighbors north of me. So, it can't be very tall.

R. Abel: Yes, those setbacks are to property lines. And then I think there's even, well I think it's maybe to residential zoning, rather than to the residence. Yes, it's a thousand feet to a residential district, so those are all ag out there so that wouldn't be a residential district.

A. Fahl: Are you sure it's a cell tower and not metering tower for renewables or something like that?

R. Burk: The one that they were going to put up on my cousin was going to be an AT&T cell tower. So, this guy was doing the survey, and he was just told to do the survey and he didn't know. And

nobody's ever talked to anybody so I have no idea. My concern is for my implant, where does liability lie?

A. Fahl: Sure.

R. Burk: You know?...With the county? I think it should be them. But you know, I know there are a couple of lawsuits going on now in Indiana because it's more affecting...well, it affected a big dairy. And now that tower is sitting, not being used. It's just the health issue. If I see a tower, I see a tower, but health is my concern. I don't know what else Todd has.

T. Longfellow: Well, I went to a lot of different sites to see, and there's all things, some people say it doesn't cause any problems, some say it do, if they're halfway backed with government money, they don't have a problem, neutral sites say that it's a problem, and there are some places that give you a political answer, we think so but we have to wait and see. That's what I found in about 10-12 different places today. But the health effects are headaches, memory loss, cardiovascular stress, low sperm count, birth defects and cancer. And that's the main list I have seen on all the sites. Now, my wife has got a lot of health issues too. A couple of these are on the list.

J. Welch: Do you have a specific proposal on what you would like to change? Because that would be the, I guess if that is what you're getting at the way the procedure would work is a change can be initiated by the director or by the governing body, legislative body, so, in the county it would be the commissioners. So, if you were going to try to make a change, I think the recommended course would be to go to the commissioners first, see what their thoughts are because regardless of what the recommending body here does, it would go back to them and they would either pass it or not pass it. So, anything in the county, you should really start with the commissioners to get their feel and get, you know, if they are willing to make some kind of a change then you really need some kind of a specific proposal on what you would want to be changed.

T. Longfellow: What I have seen on most of the sites, a couple of them said it should be a minimum of a quarter of a mile, from a habitable building, and one of them said a third of a mile. I am not talking about stopping anybody but if we could get to a safe, what everybody is saying is a safe distance, is what I am shooting for.

J. Welch: I think if you went to the commissioners first, and then they can initiate a recommended change, that would then be written up in the proper form, and it would come to the board, it would be published and there would be a hearing held on that. It would then go back out to all the legislative bodies including the county and all the cities and they could either accept the change or not accept the change. So, it's a fairly lengthy procedure to go through but if the final say is going to be the commissioners I think that would be a good place to start on something like this is to go and see if they are interested in preparing a modification or recommend change in the ordinance to see what they have to say first, because they are going to have the final say anyway on that. That would just be my thought on it.

R. Abel: It sounds like you're just talking about a setback change, right? That's all you're talking about, for health and safety? That's a pretty simple change, if you can get with the commissioners and see what they think is reasonable. Tom's taking the hit here tonight. [laughter]

T. Longfellow: I mean, there's a lot of room out there, and if you put something, just because you are a hundred eighty plus fifty, and right beside a house. That's got potential problems. There are houses pretty close.

R. Abel: I know initially, before we did this ordinance, you could put them where they could actually fall across property lines and hit a house. So, and obviously went to property lines now, where you have to go fifty feet plus the height so it can't even hit the property line. So, that's a little more inconvenient you know, rather than just sitting it where you want but, like I said it would just be like a one line change here in the setbacks.

T. Kerns: So, the current setback is from the property line, not from the structure or anything?

R. Abel: It's from the property line. So you assume, if it's not going to hit the property line, it's not going to hit your house.

T. Longfellow: But that's not the distance they're talking about, they're talking a quarter of a mile from a house.

T. Kerns: I was just curious.

T. Longfellow: What was strange on the search thing, are cell signals dangerous—or whatever it was on that search, there was a Pennsylvania realtor's association that it came up on, and part of that was they were talking about property values. And it said 79% of the people would not buy or rent within blocks of a house. That mentality is changing and 94% said they would be less interested, and would pay a lot less for the property, would offer a lot less than what it's asking for because it was so close to a tower. So, I was surprised to see that come up on a health related search, but it did.

R. Abel: And the only time that would affect one of these applications is if it was over 300 feet and went to the BZA. Because in the special exceptions, the findings of facts contains, there's a clause in there that says affects property value of the neighbor. If it could be proved on that to a negative point then it would be denied. Anyway, that's the only time it would come into play is if it went through the special exception.

R. Burk: Todd's not going to move, and I'm not going to move, but the house that's closest to it is going to be for sale here before too long. That's basically all I have.

T. Longfellow: So, we'll be on the agenda, Tom. [laughter]

President Calhoun: Do you have something John?

J. Reece: I've got a little experience with these, I've got my own, right next door. And back then, they didn't care where they put it, and I did some arguing with them just because my son's property was on top of the hill. We got them to move it, but also got them to change the three guy wires, the positioning

of them. In a perfect world, if that thing structurally collapses, the tension is supposed to make it go straight down on itself. Well, it's not a perfect world. You can find them on the internet where they destroy themselves. And instead of doing that, they take the furthest guy wire which is hundreds of feet and catapults it, which would come right through where he was living. He gave up and moved instead of building a house over this. The property that I gave to him, that's how detrimental it can be when you place them too close to a property. And the other thing they never mention, was this was just going to be a cell tower, sounds pretty simple. Well, mine's got nine more arrays on it, that those engineers, I've asked them, what does that do? We don't know. They just come and wire them and leave. But there are nine more apparatus that makes that potentially multiple times more dangerous. But nobody studies it, once they're there, you've got it.

R. Burk: The gal we talked to from Chicago, we had a conference call with her, said it would not only be theirs, they would be renting out all the space they could rent out on it. So you don't know, we asked her what would that be and she said, well, we don't know, it would be whatever we could rent out and get on there, we'll do it. So, just because they say it's a cell tower, doesn't mean it's just going to be a cell tower.

J. Reece: This one is so loaded, that the county couldn't use it for their emergency radio equipment, they had to put up other towers. Because there was so much junk on that thing already, that they couldn't even do that. And I've photographed stuff and sent it off and people try to tell me what it is, and they don't know. And every month, they're over there, up there, you can hear them screaming and hollering, partying, whatever they do up in the air, hooking up more equipment. And they put two more buildings at the base just to hold more equipment. So, it gets more powerful and more dangerous.

R. Abel: I will say one plus about this ordinance also is that any new tower going up under this ordinance has to reserve four spaces for the county to be able to use for their emergency equipment.

J. Reece: That one is already full.

R. Abel: Yeah, but I mean, so under this one the county has the option to put four antenna up there.

R. Burk: Thank you.

T. Longfellow: Thank you.

President Calhoun: Thank you. Is there any other business that we need to discuss?

D. Johnting: We do have a meeting next month, two more rezoning, and there's a list of meeting dates, and board members there if you need one.

President Calhoun: I'm glad everyone was able to make it tonight, it's nice to have a full house.

R. Abel: Yes, it is.

President Calhoun: If there's nothing else, why...

A. Fahl: I move to adjourn.

J. Hufford: Second.

President Calhoun: Meeting adjourned, thank you all for coming.

President, Don Calhoun

Vice President, Andy Fahl

Recording Secretary, Debra Johnting